

Learning Junta Distributions, Quantum Junta States, and QAC⁰ Circuits

Jinge Bao^{1,2} Francisco Escudero Gutiérrez³

¹U of Edinburgh ²CQT, NUS ³QuSoft & CWI

ICML 2026 • Seoul

The setting: learning from few samples

A core question in learning theory:

*If we know an unknown object has **structure**, how efficiently can we learn it?*

The setting: learning from few samples

A core question in learning theory:

*If we know an unknown object has **structure**, how efficiently can we learn it?*

We consider three structured families on n bits/qubits:

- **Junta distributions** $p : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ depends on only k bits

The setting: learning from few samples

A core question in learning theory:

*If we know an unknown object has **structure**, how efficiently can we learn it?*

We consider three structured families on n bits/qubits:

- **Junta distributions** $p : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ depends on only k bits
- **Quantum junta states** a state on k qubits, maximally mixed on the rest
(new)

The setting: learning from few samples

A core question in learning theory:

*If we know an unknown object has **structure**, how efficiently can we learn it?*

We consider three structured families on n bits/qubits:

- **Junta distributions** $p : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ depends on only k bits
- **Quantum junta states** a state on k qubits, maximally mixed on the rest
(new)
- **QAC⁰ circuits** constant-depth quantum circuits with Toffoli gates

The setting: learning from few samples

A core question in learning theory:

*If we know an unknown object has **structure**, how efficiently can we learn it?*

We consider three structured families on n bits/qubits:

- **Junta distributions** $p : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ depends on only k bits
- **Quantum junta states** a state on k qubits, maximally mixed on the rest
(new)
- **QAC⁰ circuits** constant-depth quantum circuits with Toffoli gates

One algorithmic idea handles all three.

The unifying message

Key observation

A function / state is **close to a k -junta** when its Fourier / Pauli spectrum is
low-degree concentrated *and* sparse.

The unifying message

Key observation

A function / state is **close to a k -junta** when its Fourier / Pauli spectrum is
low-degree concentrated *and* sparse.

- Juntas $\Rightarrow$ both properties hold by definition.

The unifying message

Key observation

A function / state is **close to a k -junta** when its Fourier / Pauli spectrum is **low-degree concentrated *and* sparse**.

- Juntas $\Rightarrow$ both properties hold by definition.
- QAC⁰ Choi states $\Rightarrow$ also satisfy both (our new contribution).

The unifying message

Key observation

A function / state is **close to a k -junta** when its Fourier / Pauli spectrum is **low-degree concentrated *and* sparse**.

- Juntas $\Rightarrow$ both properties hold by definition.
- QAC⁰ Choi states $\Rightarrow$ also satisfy both (our new contribution).

The algorithm: estimate the few low-degree, sparse Fourier / Pauli coefficients, then truncate.

That single recipe gives near-optimal bounds in three settings.

Result 1 — Junta distributions

Theorem 1. A k -junta distribution $p : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ can be learned to d_{TV} -distance ε from

$$O\left(\frac{2^k k \log(n/\delta)}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \text{ samples.}$$

Result 1 — Junta distributions

Theorem 1. A k -junta distribution $p : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ can be learned to d_{TV} -distance ε from

$$O\left(\frac{2^k k \log(n/\delta)}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \text{ samples.}$$

	Previous (COLT'16)	This work
Upper bound	$O(2^{2k} \log n / \varepsilon^4)$	$O(2^k \log n / \varepsilon^2)$
Lower bound	$\Omega(2^k / \varepsilon^2 + k \log n / \varepsilon)$	matched in every parameter

Result 1 — Junta distributions

Theorem 1. A k -junta distribution $p : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ can be learned to d_{TV} -distance ε from

$$O\left(\frac{2^k k \log(n/\delta)}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \text{ samples.}$$

	Previous (COLT'16)	This work
Upper bound	$O(2^{2k} \log n / \varepsilon^4)$	$O(2^k \log n / \varepsilon^2)$
Lower bound	$\Omega(2^k / \varepsilon^2 + k \log n / \varepsilon)$	matched in every parameter

Quadratic improvement in both 2^k and ε . Algorithm is proper, runs in time $O(n^k 2^k / \varepsilon^2)$.

Result 2 — Quantum junta states (new object)

An n -qubit k -**junta** state:

$$\rho = \rho_K \otimes \frac{\mathbb{I}_{[n]\setminus K}}{2^{n-k}}, \quad |K| = k.$$

Nontrivial on k qubits; maximally mixed on the rest. No prior literature.

Result 2 — Quantum junta states (new object)

An n -qubit k -junta state:

$$\rho = \rho_K \otimes \frac{\mathbb{I}_{[n]\setminus K}}{2^{n-k}}, \quad |K| = k.$$

Nontrivial on k qubits; maximally mixed on the rest. No prior literature.

Theorem 2 (learning). $O(12^k \log(n/\delta)/\varepsilon^2)$ copies suffice (Pauli measurements, single copies).

Lower bound: $\Omega((4^k + \log n)/\varepsilon^2)$ copies.

Result 2 — Quantum junta states (new object)

An n -qubit k -junta state:

$$\rho = \rho_K \otimes \frac{\mathbb{I}_{[n] \setminus K}}{2^{n-k}}, \quad |K| = k.$$

Nontrivial on k qubits; maximally mixed on the rest. No prior literature.

Theorem 2 (learning). $O(12^k \log(n/\delta)/\varepsilon^2)$ copies suffice (Pauli measurements, single copies).

Lower bound: $\Omega((4^k + \log n)/\varepsilon^2)$ copies.

Theorem 3 (testing). For constant k ,

$$\tilde{\Theta}(2^n/\varepsilon^2) \text{ copies}$$

are necessary and sufficient to test ε -close vs 7ε -far from being a k -junta state.

Result 3 — QAC^0 circuits

Theorem 4 Let ρ be the Choi state of a QAC^0 circuit of size s , depth d , a auxiliary qubits. Then

$$2^{O\left((\log(s^2 2^a / \varepsilon))^d\right)} \log(n/\delta) \text{ copies}$$

suffice to learn ρ in 2^n -Frobenius norm.

Result 3 — QAC^0 circuits

Theorem 4 Let ρ be the Choi state of a QAC^0 circuit of size s , depth d , a auxiliary qubits. Then

$$2^{O\left((\log(s^2 2^a / \varepsilon))^d\right)} \log(n/\delta) \text{ copies}$$

suffice to learn ρ in 2^n -Frobenius norm.

Exponential improvement over Nadimpalli et al. (STOC'24): $n^{O((\log(s/\varepsilon))^d)}$ copies.

Result 3 — QAC⁰ circuits

Theorem 4 Let ρ be the Choi state of a QAC⁰ circuit of size s , depth d , a auxiliary qubits. Then

$$2^{O\left((\log(s^2 2^a / \varepsilon))^d\right)} \log(n/\delta) \text{ copies}$$

suffice to learn ρ in 2^n -Frobenius norm.

Exponential improvement over Nadimpalli et al. (STOC'24): $n^{O((\log(s/\varepsilon))^d)}$ copies.

In the regime $s = \text{poly}(n)$, $d = O(1)$, $\varepsilon = \Theta(1)$:

- Prior: $n^{\text{polylog}(n)}$ copies.
- Ours: **log n dependence on n** (quasi-polynomial overall, but n collapses).

Why the algorithm works

Step 1. Estimate Fourier / Pauli coefficients

$$\hat{p}(S) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p} \left[\prod_{i \in S} x_i \right], \quad \hat{\rho}(P) = \text{tr}[\rho P] / 2^n.$$

Only need degrees $\leq k$ ($\Rightarrow$ low-degree concentration).

Why the algorithm works

Step 1. Estimate Fourier / Pauli coefficients

$$\hat{p}(S) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p} \left[\prod_{i \in S} x_i \right], \quad \hat{\rho}(P) = \text{tr}[\rho P] / 2^n.$$

Only need degrees $\leq k$ ($\Rightarrow$ low-degree concentration).

Step 2. Threshold small estimates to zero ($\Rightarrow$ sparsity).

Why the algorithm works

Step 1. Estimate Fourier / Pauli coefficients

$$\hat{p}(S) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p} \left[\prod_{i \in S} x_i \right], \quad \hat{\rho}(P) = \text{tr}[\rho P] / 2^n.$$

Only need degrees $\leq k$ ($\Rightarrow$ low-degree concentration).

Step 2. Threshold small estimates to zero ($\Rightarrow$ sparsity).

Step 3. Reconstruct. Parseval + Hoeffding + union bound $\Rightarrow$ error $\leq \varepsilon$.

Why the algorithm works

Step 1. Estimate Fourier / Pauli coefficients

$$\hat{p}(S) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p} \left[\prod_{i \in S} x_i \right], \quad \hat{\rho}(P) = \text{tr}[\rho P] / 2^n.$$

Only need degrees $\leq k$ ($\Rightarrow$ low-degree concentration).

Step 2. Threshold small estimates to zero ($\Rightarrow$ sparsity).

Step 3. Reconstruct. Parseval + Hoeffding + union bound $\Rightarrow$ error $\leq \varepsilon$.

Quantum case: step 1 uses classical shadows.

We give a new proof of optimal shadows via Pauli analysis (non-commutative Bohnenblust–Hille; Volberg–Zhang 2023). No median-of-means needed.

Why QAC^0 enters the story

Theorem 5. The Choi state ρ of an $(n+a+1)$ -qubit QAC^0 circuit of depth d , size s satisfies, for some K of size $|K| \leq (\log(2^a s^2 / \varepsilon))^d$,

$$\sum_{\text{supp}(P) \not\subseteq K} |\hat{\rho}(P)|^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n}}.$$

i.e. QAC^0 Choi states are close to *junta states* — stronger than the low-degree concentration of Nadimpalli et al.

Why QAC⁰ enters the story

Theorem 5. The Choi state ρ of an $(n+a+1)$ -qubit QAC⁰ circuit of depth d , size s satisfies, for some K of size $|K| \leq (\log(2^a s^2 / \varepsilon))^d$,

$$\sum_{\text{supp}(P) \not\subseteq K} |\hat{\rho}(P)|^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2n}}.$$

i.e. QAC⁰ Choi states are close to *junta* states — stronger than the low-degree concentration of Nadimpalli et al.

Theorem 6. A QAC⁰ circuit computing the D -address function to error $1/4$ must satisfy

$$s^2 2^a = \Omega\left(2^{(2^D)^{1/d}}\right).$$

A new lower bound for a canonical low-degree function that is *far from any junta*.

Takeaways

- **One lens, three results:** low-degree + sparse $\Rightarrow$ close to a junta.

Takeaways

- **One lens, three results:** low-degree + sparse $\Rightarrow$ close to a junta.
- **Classical juntas:** optimal $2^k \log n / \epsilon^2$ samples and quadratic improvement.

Takeaways

- **One lens, three results:** low-degree + sparse $\Rightarrow$ close to a junta.
- **Classical juntas:** optimal $2^k \log n / \epsilon^2$ samples and quadratic improvement.
- **Quantum junta states:** first study; learning + testing nearly tight.

Takeaways

- **One lens, three results:** low-degree + sparse $\Rightarrow$ close to a junta.
- **Classical juntas:** optimal $2^k \log n / \epsilon^2$ samples and quadratic improvement.
- **Quantum junta states:** first study; learning + testing nearly tight.
- **QAC⁰ circuits:** exponentially fewer copies and new AC⁰-style lower bounds.

Takeaways

- **One lens, three results:** low-degree + sparse $\Rightarrow$ close to a junta.
- **Classical juntas:** optimal $2^k \log n / \epsilon^2$ samples and quadratic improvement.
- **Quantum junta states:** first study; learning + testing nearly tight.
- **QAC⁰ circuits:** exponentially fewer copies and new AC⁰-style lower bounds.

Takeaways

- **One lens, three results:** low-degree + sparse $\Rightarrow$ close to a junta.
- **Classical juntas:** optimal $2^k \log n / \epsilon^2$ samples and quadratic improvement.
- **Quantum junta states:** first study; learning + testing nearly tight.
- **QAC^0 circuits:** exponentially fewer copies and new AC^0 -style lower bounds.

Thank you!