

# The Role of Randomness in Stability

Talk by: Max Hopkins (Princeton-IAS)

Joint with:



Shay Moran  
(Technion)

# Stability in Learning

- Many standard ML & Optimization methods suffer from *instability*
  - E.g. may find very different local optima over two runs
  - May be heavily sample dependent (e.g. ERM)

# Stability in Learning

- Many standard ML & Optimization methods suffer from *instability*
  - E.g. may find very different local optima over two runs
  - May be heavily sample dependent (e.g. ERM)
- Instability leads to critical failures in practice including
  - *Privacy leakage*: data dependence may reveal sensitive info!
  - *Replicability crisis*: instability may lead to non-replicable experiments

# Stability in Learning

- Many standard ML & Optimization methods suffer from *instability*
  - E.g. may find very different local optima over two runs
  - May be heavily sample dependent (e.g. ERM)
- Instability leads to critical failures in practice including
  - *Privacy leakage*: data dependence may reveal sensitive info!
  - *Replicability crisis*: instability may lead to non-replicable experiments
- Lead to emergence of powerful theory of stability in learning:

# Stability in Learning

- Many standard ML & Optimization methods suffer from *instability*
  - E.g. may find very different local optima over two runs
  - May be heavily sample dependent (e.g. ERM)
- Instability leads to critical failures in practice including
  - *Privacy leakage*: data dependence may reveal sensitive info!
  - *Replicability crisis*: instability may lead to non-replicable experiments
- Lead to emergence of powerful theory of stability in learning:
  - ① **Differential privacy** [DMNS'06]: For all neighboring samples  $S, S'$ :

$$\forall \mathcal{O} : \Pr_r[\mathcal{A}(S; r) \in \mathcal{O}] \leq e^\epsilon \Pr_r[\mathcal{A}(S'; r) \in \mathcal{O}] + \delta$$

- I.e. (randomized) outputs of  $\mathcal{A}(S)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(S')$  are similarly distributed

# Stability in Learning

- Many standard ML & Optimization methods suffer from *instability*
  - E.g. may find very different local optima over two runs
  - May be heavily sample dependent (e.g. ERM)
- Instability leads to critical failures in practice including
  - *Privacy leakage*: data dependence may reveal sensitive info!
  - *Replicability crisis*: instability may lead to non-replicable experiments
- Lead to emergence of powerful theory of stability in learning:
  - ① **Differential privacy** [DMNS'06]: For all neighboring samples  $S, S'$ :

$$\forall \mathcal{O} : \Pr_r[\mathcal{A}(S; r) \in \mathcal{O}] \leq e^\epsilon \Pr_r[\mathcal{A}(S'; r) \in \mathcal{O}] + \delta$$

- I.e. (randomized) outputs of  $\mathcal{A}(S)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(S')$  are similarly distributed
- ② **Replicability** [ILPS'22]: For independent samples  $S, S'$  and random  $r$ :

$$\forall D : \Pr_{S, S' \sim D^n; r \sim \{0,1\}^*} [\mathcal{A}(S; r) = \mathcal{A}(S'; r)] \geq 1 - \rho$$

- $\mathcal{A}$  replicates over independent samples and *shared* randomness

# Stability and Randomness

- Strong stability notions like DP are inherently *randomized*.

# Stability and Randomness

- Strong stability notions like DP are inherently *randomized*.
- This is a bit of a problem... clean randomness is expensive!
  - US 2020 Census required (estimated) 90TB of randomness [GL20]
  - Moreover, corrupted/correlated randomness may leak privacy!



# Stability and Randomness

- Strong stability notions like DP are inherently *randomized*.
- This is a bit of a problem... clean randomness is expensive!
  - US 2020 Census required (estimated) 90TB of randomness [GL20]
  - Moreover, corrupted/correlated randomness may leak privacy!
- This motivates the study of *randomness complexity* in stability:
  - Can we build randomness-efficient stable algorithms?
  - Can we *characterize*  $\#$  random bits needed for a given task?

# Stability and Randomness

- Strong stability notions like DP are inherently *randomized*.
- This is a bit of a problem... clean randomness is expensive!
  - US 2020 Census required (estimated) 90TB of randomness [GL20]
  - Moreover, corrupted/correlated randomness may leak privacy!
- This motivates the study of *randomness complexity* in stability:
  - Can we build randomness-efficient stable algorithms?
  - Can we *characterize*  $\#$  random bits needed for a given task?
- Studied for basic estimation tasks in [DPWV'23,CSV'24]
  - Nothing known for more general settings (e.g. classification)

# Randomness Complexity and Global Stability

- Fix a learning 'task'  $\mathcal{M}$  (e.g. mean estimation, classification...)

## Definition (Randomness Complexity)

The *randomness complexity* of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Rep}}$ , is the smallest  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\exists$  a  $> 1/2$ -replicable algorithm for  $\mathcal{M}$  using  $\ell$  random bits:

$$\forall D : \Pr_{S, S' \sim D^n, r \sim \{0,1\}^\ell} [\mathcal{A}(S; r) = \mathcal{A}(S'; r)] > \frac{1}{2}$$

# Randomness Complexity and Global Stability

- Fix a learning 'task'  $\mathcal{M}$  (e.g. mean estimation, classification...)

## Definition (Randomness Complexity)

The *randomness complexity* of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Rep}}$ , is the smallest  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\exists$  a  $> 1/2$ -replicable algorithm for  $\mathcal{M}$  using  $\ell$  random bits:

$$\forall D : \Pr_{S, S' \sim D^n, r \sim \{0,1\}^\ell} [\mathcal{A}(S; r) = \mathcal{A}(S'; r)] > \frac{1}{2}$$

- We relate  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Rep}}$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ 's *global stability*
  - Key notion in study of differentially private learning [BLM'20]
  - Roughly, the best replication probability of any *deterministic* Alg for  $\mathcal{M}$

$$\forall D : \Pr_{S, S' \sim D} [\mathcal{A}(S) = \mathcal{A}(S')] \geq \eta$$

# Main Result: Characterizing Randomness Complexity

- Define  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Glob}} := \log \frac{1}{\eta_{\max}}$

# Main Result: Characterizing Randomness Complexity

- Define  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Glob}} := \log \frac{1}{\eta_{\max}}$

## Theorem (Characterizing Randomness Complexity)

*For any statistical task:  $C_{\text{Glob}} \leq C_{\text{Rep}} \leq C_{\text{Glob}} + 1$ .*

# Main Result: Characterizing Randomness Complexity

- Define  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Glob}} := \log \frac{1}{\eta_{\max}}$

## Theorem (Characterizing Randomness Complexity)

*For any statistical task:  $C_{\text{Glob}} \leq C_{\text{Rep}} \leq C_{\text{Glob}} + 1$ .*

- Further, we can achieve  $1 - \rho$  replication in  $\lceil C_{\text{Glob}} + \log \frac{1}{\rho} \rceil$  bits!

# Main Result: Characterizing Randomness Complexity

- Define  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Glob}} := \log \frac{1}{\eta_{\max}}$

## Theorem (Characterizing Randomness Complexity)

*For any statistical task:  $C_{\text{Glob}} \leq C_{\text{Rep}} \leq C_{\text{Glob}} + 1$ .*

- Further, we can achieve  $1 - \rho$  replication in  $\lceil C_{\text{Glob}} + \log \frac{1}{\rho} \rceil$  bits!
- We prove a (weaker) analogous result for Differential Privacy
  - Real statement is more involved
  - Very roughly, result of the form:

$$C_{\text{Glob}} - O(1) \leq C_{\text{DP}} \leq C_{\text{Glob}} + O(1)$$



# Main Result: Characterizing Randomness Complexity

- Define  $\mathbf{C}_{\text{Glob}} := \log \frac{1}{\eta_{\max}}$

## Theorem (Characterizing Randomness Complexity)

*For any statistical task:  $C_{\text{Glob}} \leq C_{\text{Rep}} \leq C_{\text{Glob}} + 1$ .*

- Further, we can achieve  $1 - \rho$  replication in  $\lceil C_{\text{Glob}} + \log \frac{1}{\rho} \rceil$  bits!
- We prove a (weaker) analogous result for Differential Privacy
  - Real statement is more involved
  - Very roughly, result of the form:

$$C_{\text{Glob}} - O(1) \leq C_{\text{DP}} \leq C_{\text{Glob}} + O(1)$$

- Real version comes with several caveats
  - Both directions and  $C_{\text{DP}}$  itself depend on privacy parameters
  - $\text{DP} \rightarrow \text{Stability}$  requires strong privacy guarantees ( $\epsilon \lesssim 1/\sqrt{n}$ )
  - Also depends on #samples & confidence (if not 'user-private')

# Application: Stable PAC Learning

- Classical PAC-Learning framework [VC'74, Val'84] consists of:
  - Domain  $X$  (e.g.  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ), (binary) hypothesis class  $H$  (e.g. halfspaces)
  - **Adversary**: picks unknown distribution  $D$  over  $X \times \{0, 1\}$
  - **Learner**: Given samples from  $D$ , output  $h \in H$  w/ near-optimal error

# Application: Stable PAC Learning

- Classical PAC-Learning framework [VC'74, Val'84] consists of:
  - Domain  $X$  (e.g.  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ), (binary) hypothesis class  $H$  (e.g. halfspaces)
  - **Adversary**: picks unknown distribution  $D$  over  $X \times \{0, 1\}$
  - **Learner**: Given samples from  $D$ , output  $h \in H$  w/ near-optimal error

## Theorem (Stable PAC Learning)

For any  $(X, H)$  with  $O(1)$  Littlestone dimension,  $\exists$  replicable learner with

① **Sample Complexity**:  $\text{poly}(\varepsilon^{-1}, \log(1/\delta))$

② **Random Bits**:  $O(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$

Moreover, if  $\text{Lit}(H) = \infty$ , no replicable learner exists.

# Application: Stable PAC Learning

- Classical PAC-Learning framework [VC'74, Val'84] consists of:
  - Domain  $X$  (e.g.  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ), (binary) hypothesis class  $H$  (e.g. halfspaces)
  - **Adversary**: picks unknown distribution  $D$  over  $X \times \{0, 1\}$
  - **Learner**: Given samples from  $D$ , output  $h \in H$  w/ near-optimal error

## Theorem (Stable PAC Learning)

For any  $(X, H)$  with  $O(1)$  Littlestone dimension,  $\exists$  replicable learner with

- 1 **Sample Complexity**:  $\text{poly}(\varepsilon^{-1}, \log(1/\delta))$
- 2 **Random Bits**:  $O(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$

Moreover, if  $\text{Lit}(H) = \infty$ , no replicable learner exists.

- Implies first (agnostic) stable learners for, e.g.
  - Affine subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^d$
  - Halfspaces with margin

# Application: Stable PAC Learning

- Classical PAC-Learning framework [VC'74, Val'84] consists of:
  - Domain  $X$  (e.g.  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ), (binary) hypothesis class  $H$  (e.g. halfspaces)
  - **Adversary**: picks unknown distribution  $D$  over  $X \times \{0, 1\}$
  - **Learner**: Given samples from  $D$ , output  $h \in H$  w/ near-optimal error

## Theorem (Stable PAC Learning)

For any  $(X, H)$  with  $O(1)$  Littlestone dimension,  $\exists$  replicable learner with

- 1 **Sample Complexity**:  $\text{poly}(\varepsilon^{-1}, \log(1/\delta))$
- 2 **Random Bits**:  $O(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})$

Moreover, if  $\text{Lit}(H) = \infty$ , no replicable learner exists.

- Implies first (agnostic) stable learners for, e.g.
  - Affine subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^d$
  - Halfspaces with margin
- Also gives first randomness-efficient DP alg for these problems!

Thank you!

Thanks for listening