#### Transformers are Minimax Optimal Nonparametric In-Context Learners

ICML 2024 TF2M Workshop Oral, July 27th, Vienna

Juno Kim<sup>12</sup> Tai Nakamaki<sup>1</sup> Taiji Suzuki<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Tokyo <sup>2</sup>RIKEN AIP

junokim@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp



# Questions on In-Context Learning (ICL)

- Why is few-shot prompting for ICL so effective?
- How does task diversity during pretraining contribute to ICL?
- What is the role of representations learned by MLP layers?
- How optimal is ICL as a learning algorithm?



We develop approximation & generalization analyses for ICL from the viewpoint of nonparametric statistical learning theory!

#### Setup: Nonparametric Regression

- Input space  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq [0,1]^d$
- Basis (e.g. Fourier, wavelet)  $(\psi_j^\circ)_{j=1}^\infty \subset L^2(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}})$
- Family of regression tasks

bounded noise  

$$y = F_{\beta}^{\circ}(x) + \xi, \quad \mathcal{F}^{\circ} = \left\{ F_{\beta}^{\circ} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{j} \psi_{j}^{\circ} \mid \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{\infty}, \beta \sim \mathcal{P}_{\beta} \right\}$$

#### Assumptions

for hierarchical basis  $\forall N \exists \underbrace{\psi_{\underline{N}}^{\circ}, \cdots, \psi_{\overline{N}}^{\circ}}_{N}$  s.t.

• partial independence  $C_1 \mathbf{I}_N \preceq \left( \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}} [\psi_j^{\circ}(x) \psi_k^{\circ}(x)] \right)_{j,k=\underline{N}}^N \preceq C_2 \mathbf{I}_N$ 

•  $L^{\infty}$  growth rate

coefficient decay rate

$$\left\|\sum_{j=\bar{N}}^{\bar{N}}(\psi_{j}^{\circ})^{2}
ight\|_{L^{\infty}}\lesssim N^{2r}$$

 $\|F_{\beta}^{\circ}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq B, \ \|F_{\beta}^{\circ} - F_{\beta,N}^{\circ}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}})}^{2} \lesssim N^{-2s},$  $\mathbb{E}_{\beta \sim \mathcal{P}_{\beta}}[\beta \beta^{\top}] \precsim \operatorname{diag}(j^{-2s-1}(\log j)^{-2})$ 



# Setup: MLP+Attn Transformer

• Pretraining data: T tasks  $F^{\circ}_{\beta^{(t)}}, t \in [T], n$  i.i.d. samples

$$y = F^{\circ}_{\beta}(x) + \xi$$
 $\mathbf{X}^{(t)} = (x^{(t)}_1, \cdots, x^{(t)}_n), \quad \tilde{x}^{(t)}$ 
 $\mathbf{y}^{(t)} = (y^{(t)}_1, \cdots, y^{(t)}_n)^{\top}, \quad \tilde{y}^{(t)}$ 
prompt query

- *x* feeds into *N*-dim. DNN  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}_N$ 
  - approximation ability  $\|\phi\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq B_N, \|\psi_j^{\circ} \phi_j^*\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq \delta_N$
  - covering entropy  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{F}_N, \|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}}, \epsilon)$  KQ matrices
- LSA layer output  $f_{\Theta}(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{y}, \tilde{x}) = \operatorname{clip}_B \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \phi(x_k)^\top \Gamma^\top \phi(\tilde{x}) \right)$ , params  $\Theta = (\Gamma, \phi)$
- Learn ERM estimator  $\widehat{\Theta} = \underset{\Theta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( \widetilde{y}^{(t)} f_{\Theta}(\mathbf{X}^{(t)}, \boldsymbol{y}^{(t)}, \widetilde{x}^{(t)}) \right)^2$

(for optimization dynamics in this setting, see our ICML oral paper)

#### Upper Bound for In-Context Risk



#### ICL is Minimax Optimal in Besov Space

- Task class: unit ball in **Besov space**  $\mathcal{F}^{\circ} = \mathbb{U}(B_{p,q}^{\alpha}(\mathcal{X})), p \in [2,\infty], q \in [0,\infty], \alpha > d/p$ 
  - Captures spatial inhomogeneity in smoothness, generalizes Hölder & Sobolev spaces
  - In the supervised setting, DNNs achieve the optimal rate while fixed-kernel methods cannot
- Natural basis: B-spline wavelets
  - Multiresolution analysis: forms hierarchy ordered by resolution k

 $\omega_{k,\ell}^d(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d \iota_m(2^k x_i - \ell_i), \quad k \ge 0, \quad \ell \in \prod_{i=1}^d [-m : 2^{k_i}]$ *m*-fold convolution of  $1_{[0,1]}$ 



Assumptions are verified with  $r = 1/2, s = \alpha/d$  if  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$  has bounded density, coefficients are indep. and  $\mathbb{E}_{\beta}[\beta_{k,\ell}^2] \leq 2^{-k(2\alpha+d)}k^{-2}$  (natural decay rate)

## ICL is Minimax Optimal in Besov Space

• We apply approximation theory of deep ReLU networks [Suzuki, 19] to obtain:

$$\bar{R}(\widehat{\Theta}) \lesssim N^{-\frac{2\alpha}{d}} + \frac{N \log N}{n} + \frac{N^2 \log N}{T}$$
  
Hence if  $T \gtrsim n^{\frac{2\alpha+2d}{2\alpha+d}}$ ,  $N \asymp n^{\frac{d}{2\alpha+d}}$ , ICL achieves the optimal rate  $n^{-\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+d}}$  up to  $\log n$ .

- LLM pretraining data is nearly infinite in practice: justifies the effectiveness of ICL at large scales with only few-shot examples
- Scales suboptimally for small T: task diversity threshold [Raventos, 23]
- Curse of dimensionality can be avoided by extending to anisotropic Besov space

## Pretraining can Improve ICL Complexity

- Suppose the (unknown) basis is chosen from a wider class  $\mathbb{U}(B_{p,q}^{\tau}(\mathcal{X})), \tau < \alpha$
- Increased difficulty: complexity of regression is a priori lower bounded by  $n^{-\frac{2\tau}{2\tau+d}}$
- For ICL this manifests as increased entropy of  $\mathcal{F}_N$  which must be more powerful, however this burden is entirely carried by T

$$\begin{split} \overline{R}(\widehat{\Theta}) \lesssim N^{-\frac{2\alpha}{d}} + \frac{N\log N}{n} + \frac{N^{1+\frac{\alpha}{\tau}+\frac{d}{\tau}}\log^3 N}{T} \\ \text{If } T \gtrsim n^{1+\frac{d}{2\alpha+d}\frac{\alpha+d}{\tau}}, N \asymp n^{\frac{d}{2\alpha+d}}, \text{ ICL achieves the rate } n^{-\frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+d}}\log n, \text{ improving upon the } a \text{ priori} \text{ rate by encoding information on the coarser basis during pretraining.} \end{split}$$

### Sequential Input and Transformers

- We also study unbounded sequence inputs  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times \infty}$ , e.g. entire documents
- Task class: **piecewise**  $\gamma$ -smooth class [Takakura, Suzuki, 23] of smoothness  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{d \times \infty}$ 
  - positions of important tokens vary depending on input, requiring dynamical feature extraction
  - $\gamma$  can be mixed or anisotropic smoothness with  $\alpha^{\dagger} = \max \alpha_{ij}, \ (\sum \alpha_{ij}^{-1})^{-1}$
- DNN class *F<sub>N</sub>*: deep multi-head sliding-window Transformer networks

#### Theorem

Under suitable decay and regularity assumptions, ICL achieves the optimal rate:

$$\bar{R}(\widehat{\Theta}) \lesssim N^{-2\alpha^{\dagger}} + \frac{N \log N}{n} + \frac{N^{2 \vee (1 + 1/\alpha^{\dagger})} \operatorname{polylog}(N)}{T}$$

### Information-Theoretic Lower Bound

- We also obtain lower bounds in both n, T by extending the Yang-Barron method and apply to the previous setups
- Holds for any meta-learning scheme for the given regression problem

#### Theorem

Let  $Q_1, Q_2$  be  $\varepsilon_{n1}, \varepsilon_{n2}$ -covering numbers of  $\mathcal{F}_N, \operatorname{supp} \mathcal{P}_\beta$  and M be the  $\delta_n$ -packing number of  $\mathcal{F}^\circ$  satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left( n(T+1)\sigma_\beta^2 \varepsilon_{n,1}^2 + C_2 n \varepsilon_{n,2}^2 \right) \le \log Q_1 + \log Q_2 \le \frac{1}{8} \log M, \quad 4\log 2 \le \log M$$

Then the minimax rate is lower bounded as:

$$\inf_{\widehat{f}} \sup_{f^{\circ} \in \mathcal{F}^{\circ}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|\widehat{f} - f^{\circ}\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}})}^{2} \right] \geq \frac{1}{4} \delta_{n}^{2}$$

## Q & A

#### Links



Juno Kim



Taiji Suzuki





#### References

J. Kim and T. Suzuki. Transformers learn nonlinear features in context: nonconvex mean-field dynamics on the attention landscape. ICML 2024.

A. Raventos, M. Paul, F. Chen, and S. Ganguli. Pretraining task diversity and the emergence of non-Bayesian in-context learning for regression. NeurIPS 2023.

T. Suzuki. Adaptivity of deep ReLU network for learning in Besov and mixed smooth Besov spaces: optimal rate and curse of dimensionality. ICLR 2019.

S. Takakura and T. Suzuki. Approximation and estimation ability of Transformers for sequence-to-sequence functions with infinite dimensional input. ICML 2023.