

Filtered Direct Preference Optimization

Tetsuro Morimura*, OMitsuki Sakamoto*, Yuu Jinnai, Kenshi Abe, Kaito Ariu CyberAgent

***Equal Contribution**

CyberAgent AILab

Introduction

- RLHF (Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback) is essential for aligning language models (LMs) with human preferences
- Enhances practicality, trustworthiness, and social acceptance of LMs

Summary

- Quality of responses in datasets affects the performance of DPO
- fDPO uses a reward model to filter out low quality samples
- fDPO enhances LMs performance, when response quality varies



(RM-based) RLHF [Ouyang+ 2022]

- Uses a reward model (RM) to learn from preference data and optimize LM
- Data-efficient but computationally intensive





RM-free RLHF: DPO [Rafailov+

• Directly optimizes the LM

without using an RM

Simpler and less

based on preference data

computationally intensive

2023]

Mix-quality dataset : Half of the high-quality chosen responses is replaced with low-quality chosen responses. (5 independent runs)

Problem: Low-quality chosen responses are harmful for DPO

Experiment: Alpaca-Farm dataset [Dubois+ 2023]

- fDPO discards lower-quality chosen responses compared to those generated by the optimizing LM with Proxy RM

Algorithm 1 filtered direct preference optimization (fDPO) **Require:** LM π_{θ} , RM r_{ϕ} , demonstration data \mathcal{D}_{demo} , preference data \mathcal{D}_{pref} , and maximum epoch M. 1: Step 1: Supervised fine-tuning. Train π_{θ} on \mathcal{D}_{demo} . 2: Step 2: Reward modeling. Train r_{ϕ} on \mathcal{D}_{pref} (see Eq. (1)). 3: Step 3: DPO fine-tuning with filtering. 4: Initialize filtered-preference data $\mathcal{D}_{\text{filtered}} := \mathcal{D}_{\text{pref}}$, epoch number m := 0. 5: while m < M do for each (x, y_c, y_r) in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{pref}}$ do 6: Generate response y by LM π_{θ} given prompt x. 7: if $r_{\phi}(x,y) > r_{\phi}(x,y_c)$ then 8: Additional procedure to DPO: Discard (x, y_c, y_r) from $\mathcal{D}_{\text{filtered}}$. 9: Discards sample of lower quality chosen 10: end if responses than LM-generated responses end for 11: Update preference data $\mathcal{D}_{pref} := \mathcal{D}_{filtered}$ 12: Update LM π_{θ} on $\mathcal{D}_{\text{pref}}$ for one epoch using DPO. 13: 14: Increment epoch number m := m + 1. 15: end while 16: **return** Optimized LM π_{θ} .

Experiment: Realistic RLHF settings on Anthropic HH datasets [Bai+ 2022]

Dataset	Method	Gold RM Score (SFT=0.0) \uparrow	GPT-40 Evaluation (win rate vs. SFT) \uparrow
Helpful	DPO fDPO	$\begin{array}{c} 1.42\pm0.08\\ \textbf{1.94}\pm\textbf{0.02}\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.543 \pm 0.015 \\ \textbf{0.628} \pm \textbf{0.001} \end{array}$
Harmless	DPO fDPO	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2.66} \pm \textbf{0.12} \\ \textbf{3.20} \pm \textbf{0.06} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.891 \pm 0.003 \\ \textbf{0.944} \pm \textbf{0.005} \end{array}$

(3 independent runs)



- fDPO circumvented the performance decline observed with DPO
- Indicates its effectiveness in improving DPO performance where dataset quality is diverse

Gold rewards are adjusted so that the average reward of the SFT model is zero (5 independent runs)

- Details on varying quality ullet
 - Low-quality dataset: 2 responses are generated by SFT and labeled by Gold RM. "Chosen" is assigned to the higher scoring response.
 - High-quality dataset: 16 responses are generated by SFT and labeled by ۲ Gold RM. "Chosen" is assigned to the highest scoring response, while "rejected" is assigned to one randomly selected from the remaining 15 responses.
 - Mix-quality dataset: Mixing the low-quality and high-quality datasets in a 50/50 ratio
- Models
 - LLM: 1.4B Pythia model [Biderman+ 2023]
 - Proxy RM for filtering : 160M Pythia model
 - This is trained on preference data.
 - **Gold RM**: OpenAssistant/reward-modeldeberta-v3-large-v2
 - This is used for annotation and evaluation, emulating human annotators.

- ✓ Indicates the effectiveness of fDPO under realistic RLHF settings
- ✓ Superior GPT-40 results suggest higher-quality human-like responses
- Realistic RLHF settings
 - Considered a realistic RLHF setting where the number of high-quality responses created by humans is limited
 - Instead of generating responses manually,
 - SFT created response pairs
 - Gold RM annotator provided labels (chosen or rejected) to the pairs •
 - Created mix-quality datasets by combining the original dataset and generated SFT samples
- Models
 - LLM: 2.8B Pythia model
 - Proxy RM for filtering : 160M Pythia model
 - **Gold RM**: OpenAssistant/reward-modeldeberta-v3-large-v2

Future directions

- Leverage publicly available high-quality reward models within fDPO for improved performance
- Incorporate rejected responses in the filtering process to further enhance fDPO effectiveness
- Explore combining fDPO with other DPO-related extensions and conduct comparisons with other RLHF methods, particularly with larger LM