Risk-Sensitive Policy Optimization via Predictive CVaR Policy Gradient

Ju-Hyun Kim Seungki Min

{vgb8111, skmin}@kaist.ac.kr Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering KAIST

ICML 2024, Jul 2024

Motivating Example

 Given a fixed policy π, consider 5 sample trajectories. For a risk-neutral RL, we can utilize the whole sample trajectories.



Motivating Example

 Given a fixed policy π, consider 5 sample trajectories. For a risk-neutral RL, we can utilize the whole sample trajectories.



 For a CVaR RL, one may utilize the worst q fraction among the whole sample trajectories. → High variance and low sample efficiency



Main Idea

We introduce a "predictive tail probability process" Q^π = (Q^π_t)_{t∈[T]}.
 In each period, it predicts the probability that the current sample path ends up being one of the worst q fraction of outcomes.



Main Idea

We introduce a "predictive tail probability process" Q^π = (Q^π_t)_{t∈[T]}.
 In each period, it predicts the probability that the current sample path ends up being one of the worst q fraction of outcomes.



 We reformulate CVaR as an expectation of reweighted cost realization by the "predictive tail probability".



Main Idea

We introduce a "predictive tail probability process" Q^π = (Q^π_t)_{t∈[T]}.
 In each period, it predicts the probability that the current sample path ends up being one of the worst q fraction of outcomes.



 We reformulate CVaR as an expectation of reweighted cost realization by the "predictive tail probability".



For CVaR RL, we can utilize all sample trajectories via reweighting.
 → Low variance, high sample efficiency

• Our goal is to find an optimal policy π^* solving

$$\min_{\pi \in \Pi^{\Theta}} \left\{ J_q(\pi) := q \cdot \mathsf{CVaR}_q^{\pi} \left[C_{1:T} \right] \right\}.$$
(*)

• Using reformulated CVaR objective, we change the optimization (*) into adjusted optimization problem with parameters (θ, η, ϕ) as

$$\min_{\theta\in\Theta} \left\{ J(\theta,\eta,\phi) \mid \eta,\phi \ s.t \ \ldots \right\},\,$$

where

$$J(\theta,\eta,\phi) := \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t\in[\mathcal{T}]} \hat{Q}_t C_t\right], \hat{Q}_t = f^{\phi}(X_{t+1}, C_{1:t} - \eta).$$

- Compared to risk-neutral PG objective, we just replace C_t as $\hat{Q}_t C_t$ (even for policy learning process).

J.Kim, S.Min (ICML 2024) Pred

• The objective $J_q(\pi)$ in (*) can be rewritten as

$$J_q(\pi) = \min_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}^{\pi} [q\eta + (C_{1:T} - \eta)^+].$$
(1)

- Given $\pi \in \Pi^{\mathcal{H}}$, optimal solution η^{π} of (1) is $\operatorname{VaR}_{q}(C_{1:T})$.

• The objective $J_q(\pi)$ in (*) can be rewritten as

$$J_q(\pi) = \min_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}^{\pi} [q\eta + (C_{1:T} - \eta)^+].$$
(1)

- Given $\pi \in \Pi^{\mathcal{H}}$, optimal solution η^{π} of (1) is $\operatorname{VaR}_{q}(C_{1:T})$.

Definition: Predictive tail probability process $Q^{\pi,\eta}$

Given $\pi \in \Pi^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $Q^{\pi,\eta} = (Q_t^{\pi,\eta})_{t \in \{0,\cdots,T\}}$ is defined as follow: $Q_t^{\pi,\eta} := \mathbb{P}(C_{1:T} \ge \eta | H_{t+1})$

• The objective $J_q(\pi)$ in (*) can be rewritten as

$$J_q(\pi) = \min_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}^{\pi} [q\eta + (C_{1:T} - \eta)^+].$$
(1)

- Given $\pi \in \Pi^{\mathcal{H}}$, optimal solution η^{π} of (1) is $\operatorname{VaR}_{q}(C_{1:T})$.

Definition: Predictive tail probability process $Q^{\pi,\eta}$

Given $\pi \in \Pi^{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, $Q^{\pi,\eta} = (Q_t^{\pi,\eta})_{t \in \{0,\cdots,T\}}$ is defined as follow: $Q_t^{\pi,\eta} := \mathbb{P}(C_{1:T} \ge \eta | H_{t+1})$

Proposition 3.2: Reformulation of CVaR objective

If $\sum_{t \in [T]} C_t^{\pi}$ has no probability mass at $\eta^{\pi} = \text{VaR}_q^{\pi}[C_{1:T}]$,

$$J_q(\pi) = \mathbb{E}^{\pi} \left[\sum_{t \in [T]} Q_t^{\pi, \eta^{\pi}} C_t \right]$$

• We decompose the CVaR policy optimization (*) into *three* optimization problems with reformulated objective.

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \left\{ J(\theta, \eta, \phi) \middle| \begin{array}{l} \eta \in \arg\min_{\eta' \in \mathbb{R}} L(\theta, \eta'), \\ \phi \in \arg\min_{\phi' \in \Phi} M(\theta, \eta, \phi') \end{array} \right\},$$

where

$$J(\theta,\eta,\phi) := \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t\in[\mathcal{T}]} \hat{Q}_t C_t\right], L(\theta,\eta) := \mathbb{E}\left[q\eta + (C_{1:\mathcal{T}} - \eta)^+\right],$$

$$M(heta,\eta,\phi) := \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t\in[\mathcal{T}]} \left(\mathbb{I}\{C_{1:\mathcal{T}} \geq \eta\} - \hat{Q}_t\right)^2
ight]$$

• Update θ (risk-neutral PG with $\hat{Q}_t C_t$), η (simple SGD), ϕ (typical supervised learning) in parallel.

J.Kim, S.Min (ICML 2024)

- Consistency of the estimators related to ϕ and η (respectively **Proposition 4.1** and **Proposition 4.2**)
- Unbiasedness of the gradient estimators of $J(\theta, \eta, \phi)$ (**Theorem 4.3**)
- Variance reduction in the gradient estimation of PCVAR (Proposition 4.5)

Numerical Experiments

• Continuous Blackjack (synthetic data) Pair trading (real-world data)





Contribution

• We suggest "Predictive CVaR Policy Gradient (PCVAR)", relying on

$$q \cdot \text{CVaR}_q[C_{1:T}] = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{t \in [T]} Q_t C_t \right|$$

where $Q_t = \mathbb{P}(\text{current sample} \in \text{the worst } q \text{ fractions } | \text{ history}).$ conditional $\mathbb{E} \to \text{risk-neutral } \mathbb{E}$

• PCVAR utilizes all sample trajectories.

 \rightarrow Improves sample efficiency and then accelerates learning.

- PCVAR can be applied on top of any risk-neutral policy gradient algorithm.
- Its effectiveness is demonstrated with theoretical analyses and numerical experiments.