

THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL

Exploring the Enigma of Neural Dynamics Through A Scattering-Transform Mixer Landscape for Riemannian Manifold

Tingting Dan¹, Ziquan Wei^{1,2}, Won Hwa Kim³, Guorong Wu^{1,2,4,5,6}

¹Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA
²Department of Computer Science, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA
³Computer Science and Engineering / Graduate School of AI, POSTECH, Pohang, 37673, South Korea
⁴UNC NeuroScience Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA
⁵Department of Statistics and Operations Research (STOR), University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA
⁶Carolina Institute for Developmental Disabilities, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599, USA



Contents

Introduction



Introduction



Manifold learning

Manifold learning may be a natural way of behavior in human cognition.

«The manifold ways of perception» 2000, Seung et al., Science

Motivation



Motivation



may pose challenges.





Preliminaries



the lea **lacks** i contex Figure 1: MLP-Mixer consists of per-patch linear embeddings, Mixer layers, and a classifier head. Mixer layers contain one token-mixing MLP and one channel-mixing MLP, each consisting of two fully-connected layers and a GELU nonlinearity. Other components include: skip-connections, dropout, and layer norm on the channels.

ons:

/elet)

frequency and location.

Methods

New Mapping Function on Riemannian Manifold Constrained by Graph Scattering Transforms

| $	ilde{X} =$ | $\begin{bmatrix} max(X_{11}) \\ max(X_{21}) \end{bmatrix}$ | $\max(\mathbb{X}_{12}) \\ \max(\mathbb{X}_{22})$ | | $\left.\begin{array}{c} \max(\mathbb{X}_{1N})\\ \max(\mathbb{X}_{2N})\end{array}\right]$ |
|--------------|--|--|---------|--|
| | $\vdots \\ max(X_{N1})$ | \vdots max(X_{N2}) | `•. | $\vdots \\ max(X_{NN})$ |

Harmonic wavelet FC matrix Supra-FC Sym_N^+ $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{i} = \left[\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i}^{k}\right]_{\nu=1}^{K}$ $\mathbf{X} \qquad \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{\mathbb{P}} \in \mathbf{\mathbb{R}}^{NK \times NK}$ Harmonic **(b)** Ν Ν wavelet NK ***** node Ν X **booling** $K \times K$ ***** Node Frequency NK NK Sym_N^+ NK FC

We seek to use the pooling operation to **regain the SPD property** while reducing the dimensionality.

The *MLP-Mixer* architecture of positive mapping $\mathbb{P}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbb{P}$ on **Riemannian manifold** can be formulated as:

 $\begin{cases} \mathbb{X}_{l+1} = \sigma_{row} \{ \mathrm{LN}[\sigma_{column}(\mathrm{LN}(\mathbb{P}^{\mathsf{T}}\tilde{X}_{l}))\mathbb{P}] \} \\ \tilde{X}_{l+1} = \max\text{-pooling}(\mathbb{X}_{l+1}) \\ \tilde{X}_{l+1} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{X}_{l+1} + (\tilde{X}_{l+1})^{\mathsf{T}}) \end{cases}$

Methods

DeepHoloBrain: A Proof-of-Concept Approach to Explore the Enigma of Neural Dynamics Through the Insight of Deep Model



Neuroscience Insights.

Ν

The oscillation patterns of each harmonic wavelet ψ_i^k , constrained by the local topology of structural connectome, characterize the frequency-specific neural activities supported by the underlying neural circuit.

The inner project $\langle \psi_i^k, h^t \rangle$ over time essentially allows us to **modulate the observed neural activity signals with the pre-define bandpass filters**, which gives rise to **coupled neural oscillations** at distinct frequencies.

records **interference patterns** generated by two SCmodulated neural activity signals.

Results

Table 1. Results on brain task recognition for HCP-Aging dataset.

| METHODS | ACCURACY | RECALL | F1-SCORE |
|---------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| SPDNET | $0.984 {\pm}~0.003$ | 0.975 ± 0.004 | $0.978 {\pm}~0.004$ |
| CDL | $0.976 {\pm}~0.003$ | $0.962 {\pm}~0.005$ | $0.966 {\pm}~0.005$ |
| LEML | $0.961{\pm}~0.022$ | $0.903 {\pm}~0.039$ | $0.929 {\pm}~0.036$ |
| SPDML | $0.944 {\pm}~0.015$ | $0.908 {\pm}~0.027$ | $0.920 {\pm} 0.019$ |
| AIM | $0.952{\pm}\ 0.014$ | 0.9114 ± 0.016 | $0.929 {\pm} 0.015$ |
| RSR | $0.966 {\pm}~0.005$ | $0.944 {\pm}~0.010$ | 0.951 ± 0.008 |
| DEEPO2P | $0.977 {\pm}~0.004$ | 0.963 ± 0.006 | $0.969 {\pm}~0.005$ |
| OURS | $0.995 \pm 0.003 *$ | $0.989 \pm 0.003 *$ | $0.993 \pm 0.003^{*}$ |



Figure 4. Left: Uncovered anatomical regions (using node-wise attention) for task VISMOTOR and task FACENAME, respectively. Right: Oscillation patterns underlying the more relevant harmonic frequencies for VISMOTOR and FACENAME tasks, revealing task-specific wavelet dynamics.



Figure 5. Consistency evaluation for region-specific $(1^{st} \text{ and } 3^{rd} \text{ columns})$ and frequency-specific $(2^{nd} \text{ and } 4^{th} \text{ columns})$ attentions learned from OASIS (left) and ADNI (right).

| METHODS | ACCURACY | RECALL | F1-score |
|---------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| SPDNET | $0.871 {\pm}~0.018$ | $0.593 {\pm}~0.017$ | $0.613 {\pm}~0.024$ |
| CDL | $0.827 {\pm}~0.623$ | $0.570 {\pm}~0.062$ | 0.581 ± 0.047 |
| LEML | $0.796 {\pm}~0.193$ | $0.624{\pm}0.045$ | 0.632 ± 0.117 |
| SPDML | $0.786 {\pm}~0.148$ | $0.739 {\pm}~0.038$ | 0.697 ± 0.091 |
| AIM | $0.816 {\pm}~0.098$ | $0.540 {\pm}~0.043$ | $0.539 {\pm} 0.072$ |
| RSR | $0.840 {\pm}~0.020$ | $0.690 {\pm}~0.020$ | 0.689 ± 0.028 |
| DEEPO2P | 0.857 ± 0.019 | 0.684 ± 0.02 | 0.675 ± 0.021 |
| OURS | 0.885±0.017* | $0.740 \pm 0.045*$ | 0.6974± 0.041* |
| SPDNET | $0.800 {\pm} 0.085$ | $0.670 {\pm}~0.067$ | 0.627 ± 0.090 |
| CDL | $0.710 {\pm} 0.095$ | $0.500{\pm}\ 0.018$ | 0.415 ± 0.064 |
| LEML | 0.704 ± 0.095 | $0.523 {\pm} 0.019$ | 0.474 ± 0.065 |
| SPDML | 0.672 ± 0.079 | 0.543 ± 0.037 | 0.529 ± 0.055 |
| AIM | 0.708 ± 0.089 | $0.500 {\pm}~0.000$ | 0.413 ± 0.032 |
| RSR | 0.740 ± 0.106 | $0.610{\pm}\ 0.059$ | $0.608 {\pm}~0.080$ |
| DEEPO2P | 0.760 ± 0.089 | 0.614 ± 0.068 | 0.625 ± 0.082 |
| OURS | $0.820 \pm 0.071^{*}$ | $0.625 \pm 0.049 *$ | 0.647±0.079* |



Results

One of the critical challenges of deploying computer-assisted diagnosis in clinical routine is **the limited sample size**, especially for disease cohorts

We **pre-train** a regression model based on Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) score on HCP-A data, and **fine-tune** a classification mode on ADNI data.

| | ACCURACY | RECALL | F1-SCORE | PRECISION |
|---------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| SPDNET- | F 0.7120 | 0.7120 | 0.6632 | 0.7409 |
| CDL+ | 0.6640 | 0.5253 | 0.5168 | 0.5397 |
| LEML+ | 0.6080 | 0.5501 | 0.5466 | 0.5462 |
| SPDML+ | 0.5880 | 0.5803 | 0.5581 | 0.5671 |
| AIM+ | 0.6160 | 0.5356 | 0.5356 | 0.5356 |
| RSR+ | 0.6880 | 0.6880 | 0.8690 | 0.4716 |
| DEEPO2P | P+ 0.6960 | 0.6880 | 0.5971 | 0.7369 |
| OURS+ | 0.7400* | 0.6103* | 0.6081* | 0.7892* |







THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL

Thank you!

Q&A