

EMC²: Efficient MCMC Negative Sampling for Contrastive Learning with Global Convergence

July 15, 2024

Authors





AWS Applied Scientist Intern, PhD Candidate @ The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Hoi-To Wai Parameswaran Raman Professor, The Chinese University of AWS Applied Scientist Hong Kong







Mingyi Hong Professor, University of Minnesota





Image Source: Radford et. al., Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision, ICML, 2021.

Contrastive Learning

Contrastive learning finds the feature encoders ϕ^*, ψ^* that maximizes similarity $\phi^*(x)^T \psi^*(y)$ between positive data pair (x, y) and minimizes similarity $\phi^*(x)^T \psi^*(z)$ between negative data pair (x, z).



3

Contrastive Loss Function

InfoNCE Loss with mini-batch size B

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NCE}}(\theta; B) = \mathbb{E}_{(x, y) \sim \mathcal{D}_{\text{pos}} \mathbb{Z} \sim \mathcal{D}_{\text{neg}}(x; B)} \left[-\log \frac{\exp(\beta \ \phi(x; \theta)^{\mathsf{T}} \psi(y; \theta))}{\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(\beta \ \phi(x; \theta)^{\mathsf{T}} \psi(z; \theta))} \right]$$

(adopted in CLIP [1], SimCLR [2])

Global Contrastive Loss

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim \mathcal{D}_{\text{pos}}} \left[-\log \frac{\exp(\beta \ \phi(x;\theta)^{\mathsf{T}} \psi(y;\theta))}{\sum_{z \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{neg}}(x)} \exp(\beta \ \phi(x;\theta)^{\mathsf{T}} \psi(z;\theta))} \right]$$

(adopted in SogCLR [3])

> Global contrastive loss is the limiting upper bound of InfoNCE loss as batch size increases:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NCE}}(\theta; B) \le \mathcal{L}(\theta) \quad \forall B > 0$$

 $\lim_{B \to |\mathbf{D}_{\text{neg}}|} \mathcal{L}_{\text{NCE}}(\theta; B) = \mathcal{L}(\theta)$

[1] Radford, A., Kim, J. W., Hallacy, C., Ramesh, A., Goh, G., Agarwal, S., Sastry, G., Askell, A., Mishkin, P., Clark, J., et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In International Conference on Machine Learning, pp. 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.

[2] Chen, T., Kornblith, S., Norouzi, M., and Hinton, G. A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In International Conference on Machine Learning, pp. 1597–1607. PMLR, 2020.
[3] Yuan, Z., Wu, Y., Qiu, Z.-H., Du, X., Zhang, L., Zhou, D., and Yang, T. Provable stochastic optimization for global contrastive learning: Small batch does not harm performance. In International Conference on Machine Learning, pp. 25760–25782. PMLR, 2022.



We propose to minimize the <u>global contrastive loss</u> $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$, which upper bounds the large batch objective used in CLIP for any batch size B > 0, at the cost of constant batch size using MCMC sampling.



Global Loss Gradient

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim\mathcal{D}_{\text{pos}}} \left[-\beta \,\nabla_{\theta} \big(\phi(x;\theta)^{\mathsf{T}} \psi(y;\theta) \big) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim\mathcal{D}_{\text{pos}}} \left[\beta \sum_{z \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{neg}}(x)} p_{x,\theta}(z) \,\nabla_{\theta} \big(\phi(x;\theta)^{\mathsf{T}} \psi(z;\theta) \big) \right]$$

 $\equiv \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\text{pos}}(\theta) + \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\text{neg}}(\theta)$

with a softmax distribution:

$$\rho_{x,\theta}(z) = \frac{\exp(\beta \phi(x;\theta)^{\mathsf{T}} \psi(z;\theta))}{\sum_{z' \in \mathbf{D}_{\operatorname{neg}}(x)} \exp(\beta \phi(x;\theta)^{\mathsf{T}} \psi(z';\theta))}$$

> Negative pair gradient $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{neg}(\theta)$ admits a data-dependent softmax distribution $p_{x,\theta}(z)$.



EMC²: MCMC Sampling on $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{neg}(\theta)$

> We propose to apply <u>Metropolis-Hasting</u> algorithm for sampling $\nabla \mathcal{L}_{neg}(\theta)$. > Accept a random negative sample Z'_i with probability

$$Q_{x_{i},\theta}(Z'_{i}, Z_{i}) = \frac{p_{x_{i},\theta}(Z'_{i})}{p_{x_{i},\theta}(Z_{i})} = \frac{\exp(\beta \ \phi(x_{i}; \theta)^{\mathsf{T}} \psi(Z'_{i}; \theta))}{\exp(\beta \ \phi(x_{i}; \theta)^{\mathsf{T}} \psi(Z_{i}; \theta))}$$

(Hardness-aware negative sampling)

> Overhead due to Metropolis-Hasting Sampling:

> $\mathcal{O}(B^2)$ Computation Overhead: Only requires computing the acceptance probability $Q_{x_i,\theta}(Z'_i, Z_i)$.

- > $\mathcal{O}(m)$ Memory Overhead: Only requires storing the exponential score $\exp(\beta \phi(x_i; \theta)^T \psi(Z_i; \theta))$ of previously accepted negative sample Z_i , for each x_i in the dataset of size m.
- > MCMC with Warm Starting: Retain Markov Chain state Z_i from previous epoch and uses $\mathcal{O}(1)$ samples for each epoch, more efficient than $\mathcal{O}(1/\tau_{mix})$ samples in Cold Started MCMC.

Convergence: We guaranteed EMC² converges at the rate of $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{T})$.

amazon | science

Experiments

EMC² shows competitive small batch performance.



Figure 1: Training ResNet-18 on STL-10 using Adam with batch size b = 32, compared on linear probe accuracy.

EMC² converges accurately with batch size b = 4.



Figure 2: Comparison on a subset of STL-10 using the first 500 images and pre-computed two augmentations for each image. Trained using SGD with batch size b = 4.



8

Thank You.





Paper Github

