Neuro-Visualizer: A Novel Auto-Encoder-Based Loss Landscape Visualization Method With an Application in Knowledge-Guided Machine Learning

Mohannad Elhamod and Anuj Karpatne

5-2 9 Cx







Loss Landscape Visualization

- As the complexity of neural networks has increased exponentially in recent years, researchers are showing great interest in *qualitatively* studying the properties of their loss landscape.
- Loss landscape visualization has recently been used to assess neural networks in several ways, including their generalization performance and training convergence.





(Amini et. al. 2019)



Loss Landscape Visualization

- However, current approaches use linear techniques to visualize 2D planar slices, leading to severe limitations:
 - High dimensional trajectories are not planar, leading to inaccurate visualizations.
 - Additional complications arise regarding the choice of the location, orientation, and the scale of the visualization plane and its grid.

1 00



(Li et. al. 2018)



(Chatzimichailidis et. al. 2019)

• **Problem #1:** Current loss landscape visualization methods suffer from limitations and inaccuracies.

Knowledge-Guided ML (KGML)

- The KGML framework aims at achieving better model generalizability by imbuing neural networks with domain knowledge (e.g., through regularization terms).
- This framework has been applied in many fields, including biology and physics.



Knowledge-Guided ML (Karpatne et. al. 2024)



(Courtesy of Ben Moseley)



<u>Phylo-NN</u> (Elhamod et. al. 2023)

Knowledge-Guided ML (KGML)

- In this work, we focus on 2 applications:
 - o **<u>PINNs</u>** for solving differential equations.
 - o <u>**CoPhy-PGNN**</u> for eigen-decomposition.
- Despite its resounding success, there is still a lack of a comprehensive understanding of how the KGML framework affects the model optimization process.



<u>CoPhy-PGNN</u> (Elhamod and Bu et. al. 2022)

• **Problem #2:** The mechanisms underlying the KGML framework are still not fully understood.



Research Goals

 Problem #1: Current loss landscaper visualization methods suffer from <u>limitations</u> and inaccuracies.

 Problem #2: The mechanisms underlying the KGML framework are still not fully understood.

Research Goals:

- 1. To devise a loss landscape visualization approach that addresses the limitations of current methods.
- 2. To use the new approach to better understand the mechanisms underlying the KGML framework.

Main Idea

• <u>Current approach:</u>

Projecting the high-dimensional training trajectory on a **<u>2D plane</u>**.

• **Proposed approach:**

Finding a **<u>2D</u> manifold** that faithfully captures the high-dimensional training trajectory.



Main Idea

• Current approach:

Using PCA.

Proposed approach:
Using an <u>auto-encoder.</u>



 Guided by the reconstruction loss, the auto-encoder is trained and learns a 2D embedding that is sufficient to faithfully reconstruct the training trajectory in the original high-dimensional parameter space.



- Traversing and sampling <u>the Neuro-</u> <u>Visualizer</u>'s latent space provides the grid layout.
- Using the decoder, the grid is projected back into high dimensional space as a 2D manifold.
- Using the reconstructed manifold, the grid's loss heatmap is now calculated and visualized.



• Imposing additional constraints as loss functions allows the learned manifold to be molded to have certain desired properties.



Imposing additional constraints as ٠ loss functions allows the learned manifold to be molded to have certain desired properties.





Examples: ٠

1.0

0.5

10¹

10-1 loss value

10-3

Results <u>CoPhy-PGNN (Physics-Guided Neural Nets)</u>

- *Neuro-Visualizer* offers much richer details.
- *Neuro-Visualizer* fits the trajectory more accurately.



Metric	Neuro-	PCA	Kernel-	UMAP
	Visualizer		PCA	
erelative	0.0095	1.6782	4.7250	0.4295
$e_{\mathbf{proj}}$	0.0005	0.2832	0.0865	0.2307

Results: <u>PINNs (Physics-Informed Neural Nets)</u>

• How does β impact the convergence of a PINN when solving a Convection PDE?



<u>**Conclusion:**</u> Optimization becomes more difficult as β increases. This is due to a corresponding increase in loss landscape complexity.

Results: <u>PINNs (Physics-Informed Neural Nets)</u>

• How does the choice of weight balancing technique impact a PINN's convergence?



<u>**Conclusion:**</u> Different techniques arrive at different minima. There is no single technique that universally outperforms the rest. Feasibility highly depends on the differential equation in question.

Thank you!

https://github.com/elhamod/NeuroVisualizer

https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/elhamod24a.html

elhamod@vt.edu



SCAN ME



()

•2

 \square



