

# Parameterized Physics-informed Neural Networks for Parameterized PDEs

Woojin Cho<sup>1 2</sup>, Minju Jo<sup>3</sup>, Haksoo Lim<sup>1</sup>, Kookjin Lee<sup>2</sup>, Dongeun Lee<sup>4</sup>, Sanghyun Hong<sup>5</sup>, Noseong Park<sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Yonsei University, <sup>2</sup>Arizona State University, <sup>3</sup>LG CNS, <sup>4</sup>Texas A&M University-Commerce, <sup>5</sup>Oregon State University, <sup>6</sup>KAIST









# **Preliminaries: Parameterized PDEs**

PDE parameter:  $\rho$ 



**Parameterized PDEs** are a type of partial differential equation that include specific parameters within the equation. These PDE parameters can reflect the physical properties of the system.

(d) Reac. ( $\rho = 1$ ) (e) Reac. ( $\rho = 4$ ) (f) Reac. ( $\rho = 7$ )

### **Preliminaries: Physics-informed Neural Networks**

Solving PDE with coordinate-based MLP (PINN)

$$(\mathbf{x}, t) \longrightarrow |$$
 Neural Network:  $\theta \longrightarrow \tilde{u}$ 

#### How to train?

• 
$$L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha L_u + \beta L_f$$
 (Total loss)  
•  $L_f = \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} |F(x_f^i, t_f^i, \tilde{u}; \theta)|^2$  (PDE residual loss)  
•  $L_u = \frac{1}{N_u} \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} |u(x_u^i, t_u^i) - \tilde{u}(x_u^i, t_u^i; \theta)|^2$  (Boundary loss)

F: PDE operator  $(x_f, t_f)$ : collocation points  $(x_u, t_u)$ : initial & boundary points  $N_f$ : # collocation points  $N_u$ : # initial & boundary points

Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equation, Journal of Computational Physics 2019

# **Physics informed Neural Network**



#### Weaknesses of PINNs

W1. PINNs rely on the PDE loss, and this loss function is a non-convex function.

W2. A single PINN can learn only one governing equation.

Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equation, Journal of Computational Physics 2019

### **Motivations**



1. A latent space of parameterized PDEs may exist.

2. It will be more effective to solve similar problems simultaneously.

#### Contributions

We show that the proposed method addresses the failure modes of PINNs.
 We present a new efficient PINN framework for multi-query scenarios.
 We develop a SVD modulation for solving multiple PDEs.

#### **Proposed Method**

**Overall architecture of P<sup>2</sup>INN** 



$$u_{\theta}(x,t;\boldsymbol{\mu}) = g_{\theta_g}\left(\left[g_{\theta_c}(x,t);g_{\theta_p}(\boldsymbol{\mu})\right]\right),$$
$$\boldsymbol{h}_{param} = \sigma(FC_{D_p}\cdots(\sigma(FC_2(\sigma(FC_1(\boldsymbol{\mu}))))))$$
$$\boldsymbol{h}_{coord} = \sigma(FC_{D_c}\cdots(\sigma(FC_2(\sigma(FC_1(x,t))))))$$

$$h_{param} = g_{\theta_p}(\mu) \text{ and } h_{coord} = g_{\theta_c}(x, t)$$

The manifold network  $g_{\theta_g}$  reads the two hidden re presentations,  $h_{param}$  and  $h_{coord}$ , and infer the inp ut equation's solution at (x, t).

### **Proposed Method**



#### Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) Modulation

From the pre-trained decoder layer of P<sup>2</sup>INN, we obtain the bases  $\psi_l$ ,  $\phi_l$  for parameterized PDEs through SVD. During fine-tuning, we set  $\{\alpha_l\}_{l=2}^{D_g-1}$  to be learnable, while keeping all other parameters in the network fixed. It is an option to fix the parameters of  $FC_1$  and  $FC_{D_g}$ 

### **Experimental Results**

Addressing W1: PINNs rely on the PDE loss, and this loss function is a non-convex function.

#### <1D CDR equations>

#### The relative and absolute *L*<sub>2</sub>errors

	PDE type	Metric	PINN	LargePINN	PINN-P	P2INN
Class 1	Convection	Abs. err.	0.1140	0.1191	0.0209	0.0198
		Rel. err.	0.1978	0.2084	0.0410	0.0464
	Diffusion	Abs. err.	0.6782	0.5868	0.3800	0.1916
		Rel. err.	1.2825	1.0994	0.7912	0.3745
	Reaction	Abs. err.	0.7902	0.7910	0.8975	0.0042
		Rel. err.	0.8460	0.8469	0.9908	0.0092
Class 2	ConvDiff.	Abs. err.	0.2735	0.1626	0.1253	0.0622
		Rel. err.	0.5106	0.3189	0.3009	0.1495
	ReacDiff.	Abs. err.	0.7167	0.7399	0.1756	0.0898
		Rel. err.	0.7998	0.8186	0.2632	0.1411
Class 3	ConvDiffReac.	Abs. err.	0.7450	0.7415	0.8590	0.0353
		Rel. err.	0.7960	0.7915	0.9532	0.0812



## **Experimental Results**

Addressing W2: A single PINN can learn only one governing equation



### Conclusion

• We design a novel neural network architecture for solving parameterized PDEs, P2INNs, which significantly improves the performance of PINNs overcoming the well-known weaknesses.

• We demonstrate that P2INNs can learn all benchmark PDEs in a single training run and significantly outperform existing PINN methods in prediction accuracy.

Presenter : Woojin Cho Email: <u>snowmoon@yonsei.ac.kr</u>

**Poster Presentation Schedule: Thu, July 25, 11:30 am - 1:00 pm** 

#### Reference

Physics-informed neural networks: A deep learning framework for solving forward and inverse problems involving nonlinear partial differential equation, Journal of Computational Physics 2019

Characterizing possible failure modes in physics-informed neural networks, NeurIPS 2021

