# Mitigating Privacy Risk in Membership Inference by Convex-Concave Loss

#### Zhenlong Liu, Lei Feng, Huiping Zhuang, Xiaofeng Cao, Hongxin Wei

ICML 2024







Zhenlong Liu, Lei Feng, Huiping Zhuang, Xiaofeng Cao, Hongxin Wei 1 / 17

#### Membership Inference Attack

• The goal of membership inference attack(MIA) is to identify whether a data point was in a model's training set.



Zhenlong Liu, Lei Feng, Huiping Zhuang, Xiaofeng Cao, Hongxin Wei 2 / 17

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>Attack Advantage

• An attacker infers an input record  $x$  as a member if its prediction loss is smaller than a threshold  $\tau$ .

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\rm loss} = \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{L}(h_S(\boldsymbol{x}), y) \leqslant \tau)
$$

#### Evaluation Metric

To quantify the performance of the attack model  $\mathcal{A}$ , we use the membership advantage

$$
Adv = \Pr(\mathcal{A} = 1 | m = 1) - \Pr(\mathcal{A} = 1 | m = 0)
$$

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>Membership Advantage by Metric-based Attack

Suppose  $\epsilon$  is a random variable denoting loss, such that  $\epsilon \sim N(\mu_S, \sigma_S^2)$  when  $m=1$  and  $\epsilon \sim N(\mu_D, \sigma_D^2)$  when  $m=0.$ Then the membership advantage of  $A<sub>loss</sub>$  is:

$$
Adv = \Pr(A = 1|m = 1) - \Pr(A = 1|m = 0)
$$

$$
= \Pr(\epsilon \leq \tau | m = 1) - \Pr(\epsilon \leq \tau | m = 0)
$$

$$
= \Phi(\frac{\tau - \mu_S}{\sigma_S}) - \Phi(\frac{\tau - \mu_D}{\sigma_D})
$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the cumulative distribution function of standard normal distribution.

Assume  $\tau$  is chosen such that  $\Phi(\frac{\tau-\mu_D}{\sigma_D})=\alpha$ ,

$$
Adv = \Phi \{ \frac{\Phi^{-1}(\alpha)\sigma_D + \mu_D - \mu_S}{\sigma_S} \} - \alpha
$$

Zhenlong Liu, Lei Feng, Huiping Zhuang, Xiaofeng Cao, Hongxin Wei

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>Prior SOTA Defend Method - RelaxLoss [Chen et al. 2022]

• Perform gradient ascent to promote a high variance of the training loss distribution.

#### Achieve Success in Privacy Defense, but...

• Optimizing toward a reverse direction leads to suboptimal performance.

Can we achieve a comparable defense effect using gradient descent?

- We study the problem of membership inference attacks in K-class classification tasks.
- For a sample  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , we denote the distribution over different labels by  $q(k|x)$ , the output probability of  $h_S(x)$  by  $p(k|x)$ .
- In particular, the confidence in the true label  $p(y|x)$  is abbreviated as  $p_y$ .
- The most commonly used Cross Entropy loss function:  $\ell_{ce} = -\log p_u$

Assume that  $p_y$  is a random variable with mean  $1 - \epsilon$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$ For cross entropy loss  $\ell_{ce}$ , by taylor expansion, we have

$$
E\ell_{ce} = E(-\log p_y) > E[(1 - p_y) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - p_y)^2] = \epsilon + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2 + \epsilon^2)
$$

Training loss can be optimized toward a smaller value of variance  $\sigma^2$ 

Alternatively, we could interpret  $\sigma^2$  as a penalty term.

Given a twice continuously differentiable function  $\ell \in C^2(0,1]$  such that  $\ell(1) = 0$  and  $\ell'(x) < 0, \forall x \in (0,1]$ . If  $\ell$  is strictly convex, then

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\ell(p_y)] \geqslant A\epsilon + \frac{B}{2}(\epsilon^2 + \sigma^2)
$$

where  $A = -\ell'(1) > 0$ ,  $B \geqslant 0$  is a non-negative lower bound of  $\ell''(x)$ .

#### Empirical Validation – Focal Loss

Focal Loss

$$
\ell_{\rm fl} = -(1 - p_y)^{\gamma} \log(1 - p_y)
$$

$$
\ell_{\rm fl}^{\prime\prime} \ge \ell_{\rm ce}^{\prime\prime}, \forall x \in (0, 1]
$$



Figure 1: Models are trained on CIFAR-10 with Resnet-34 using Cross-entropy loss (CE) and Foc al loss (FL).

Zhenlong Liu, Lei Feng, Huiping Zhuang, Xiaofeng Cao, Hongxin Wei 9 / 17

E

イロン イ何 メイヨン イヨン

Given a twice continuously differentiable function  $\ell \in C^2[0,1]$  such that  $\ell(1) = 0$  and  $\ell'(x) < 0, \forall x \in [0, 1]$ . If  $\ell$  is strictly concave, there must exist a **negative** constant  $B \le 0$  such that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\ell(p_y)] = A\epsilon + B(\sigma^2 + \epsilon^2)
$$
 (1)

where  $A = -\ell'(1) > 0$ .

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>Add concave term

Since concave functions can be leveraged to design loss functions, we propose to add a concave term into the original loss function (e.g., cross-entropy loss), which is called Convex-Concave Loss (CCL).

We define a concave function set as:

$$
\mathcal{F} = \{ f \in C^2[0,1] \mid f'(x) < 0, f''(x) < 0, \forall x \in [0,1] \}
$$

#### Convex-Concave Loss

$$
\ell_{\rm ecl} = \alpha \hat{\ell} + (1 - \alpha) \tilde{\ell}
$$

where  $\hat{\ell}$  is the origin convex function,  $\tilde{\ell} \in \mathcal{F}$  is a concave term

#### <span id="page-11-0"></span>Our Proposed Method

## Concave Exponential Loss (CEL) and Concave Quadratic Loss (CQL)

$$
\tilde{\ell}_{\exp} = -\exp(p_y), \quad \tilde{\ell}_{\text{qua}} = -p_y - \frac{1}{2}p_y^2
$$



Figure 2:  $\ell$  with different para[met](#page-10-0)e[rs](#page-12-0)  $\alpha$ 

Zhenlong Liu, Lei Feng, Huiping Zhuang, Xiaofeng Cao, Hongxin Wei 12 / 17

<span id="page-12-0"></span>RelaxLoss:

$$
Var(\ell + \Delta \ell) = Var(\ell) + Var(\Delta \ell) + 2Cov(\ell, \Delta \ell)
$$

With the convex function, the larger the loss value is, the faster it changes.

$$
Cov(\ell, \Delta \ell) > 0
$$

Concavel oss:

$$
Var(\ell - \Delta \ell) = Var(\ell) + Var(\Delta \ell) - 2Cov(\ell, \Delta \ell)
$$

As for the concave terms,  $Cov(\ell, \Delta \ell) < 0$ 



Figure 3: CIFAR10 Resnet34

目

イロト イ部 トイモト イモト



Figure 4: CIFAR100 Desnet121

目

イロト イ部 トイモト イモト

- **Analysis**: We provide rigorous theoretical analyses to establish a key insight: convex loss functions tend to decrease the loss variance
- Method: We introduce the concept of Convex-Concave Loss (CCL), a generalized loss function that incorporates a concave term into the original convex loss, i.e., Cross-Entropy (CE) loss.
- **Results**: We establish that CCL offers a state-of-the-art balance in the privacy-utility trade-off, with extensive experiments

# Thanks!

K ロ > K 레 > K 코 > K 코 > 트 코 → 9 Q Q\*