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## Exploration is Key to Scaling Reinforcement Learning

- One of the biggest open problems in RL
- Bonus-based exploration via novelty search


Sparse Reward


Open Ended

## Optimal Exploration in Tabular Domains

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(s, a)=R_{e}(s, a)+\mathcal{B}(s, a) \\
\mathcal{B}(s, a) \\
\propto \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(s, a)}}
\end{gathered}
$$

|  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\triangle$ |  |  |  | $\square$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |

## Current Approach to Pseudocounts: Density Modeling



## Current Approach to Pseudocounts: Density Modeling

Existing methods track changes in probability density and place strong restrictions on the density models:

- Training: fully online, learning positive, update on a state once
- Architectural: normalized probability (no GANs, VAEs etc)

Count-based exploration can be improved by computing pseudocounts directly \& under a less restrictive setting

## Using Randomness to Extract Counts

Counts naturally emerge from coin-flip distributions
made on state visitations

$$
c_{i} \sim\{-1,1\}^{d}
$$


$)=1 \quad 0 \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{d} E\left[\| \| \|^{2}\right] \\ & \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$

## An Objective Function for Tracking Counts

- MSE rephrases averaging as an optimization problem
- Func approximator to map states to coin-flip vectors

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{*}(s)=\underset{f}{\arg \min } \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|v_{i}-f\left(s_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}=\underset{f}{\arg \min } \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left(v_{i j}-f\left(s_{i}\right)_{j}\right)^{2} \\
\left\|f^{*}(s)\right\| \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Standard supervised learning (regression) objective


## How Accurate are the Pseudocounts?





## What does the Exploration Bonus look like?

Pseudocount Bonus


Exploration bonus attracts the agent to the frontier

## Better Bonus Leads to Better RL






## Pseudocounts are More Robust to Stochasticity




Gradually decaying bonus is crucial in stochastic domains

## Conclusion

- Pseudocounts without density modeling
- Counts emerge from the sampling distribution of Bernoulli trials
- Standard supervised learning objective; use favorite DL tricks and representations
- CFN gets accurate counts
- Better RL performance than existing methods
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