

RECAPP: *Crafting a More Efficient Catalyst for Convex Optimization*

Yair Carmon, Arun Jambulapati, Yujia Jin, Aaron Sidford



(presenting)



Catalyst [LMH15]

aka. Approximate Proximal Point Acceleration (APPA) [G92, SS12, FGKS15]

- general acceleration framework for convex optimization

[LMH15] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "A universal catalyst for first-order optimization." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).

[G92] Güler, Osman. "New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 2.4 (1992): 649-664.

[SS12] Salzo, Saverio, and Silvia Villa. "Inexact and accelerated proximal point algorithms." *Journal of Convex analysis* 19.4 (2012): 1167-1192.

[FGKS15] Frostig, Roy, et al. "Un-regularizing: approximate proximal point and faster stochastic algorithms for empirical risk minimization." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2015.

Catalyst [LMH15]

aka. Approximate Proximal Point Acceleration (APPA) [G92, SS12, FGKS15]

- general acceleration framework for convex optimization
- simple
generalized from the “momentum” acceleration

[LMH15] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "A universal catalyst for first-order optimization." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).

[G92] Güler, Osman. "New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 2.4 (1992): 649-664.

[SS12] Salzo, Saverio, and Silvia Villa. "Inexact and accelerated proximal point algorithms." *Journal of Convex analysis* 19.4 (2012): 1167-1192.

[FGKS15] Frostig, Roy, et al. "Un-regularizing: approximate proximal point and faster stochastic algorithms for empirical risk minimization." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2015.

Catalyst [LMH15]

aka. Approximate Proximal Point Acceleration (APPA) [G92, SS12, FGKS15]

- general acceleration framework for convex optimization

- simple
 - generalized from the “momentum” acceleration

- applicable
 - useful for lots of structured optimization through black-box reduction

[LMH15] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "A universal catalyst for first-order optimization." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).

[G92] Güler, Osman. "New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 2.4 (1992): 649-664.

[SS12] Salzo, Saverio, and Silvia Villa. "Inexact and accelerated proximal point algorithms." *Journal of Convex analysis* 19.4 (2012): 1167-1192.

[FGKS15] Frostig, Roy, et al. "Un-regularizing: approximate proximal point and faster stochastic algorithms for empirical risk minimization." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2015.

Catalyst [LMH15]

aka. Approximate Proximal Point Acceleration (APPA) [G92, SS12, FGKS15]

- general acceleration framework for convex optimization

$$\min_x F(x)$$

- simple

generalized from the “momentum” acceleration

- applicable

useful for lots of structured optimization
through black-box reduction

[LMH15] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "A universal catalyst for first-order optimization." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).

[G92] Güler, Osman. "New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 2.4 (1992): 649-664.

[SS12] Salzo, Saverio, and Silvia Villa. "Inexact and accelerated proximal point algorithms." *Journal of Convex analysis* 19.4 (2012): 1167-1192.

[FGKS15] Frostig, Roy, et al. "Un-regularizing: approximate proximal point and faster stochastic algorithms for empirical risk minimization." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2015.

Catalyst [LMH15]

aka. Approximate Proximal Point Acceleration (APPA) [G92, SS12, FGKS15]

- general acceleration framework for convex optimization

- simple

generalized from the “momentum” acceleration

- applicable

useful for lots of structured optimization through black-box reduction

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_x F(x) \\ & \downarrow \\ & s_t \leftarrow (1 - \alpha_{t+1})x_t + \alpha_{t+1}v_t \\ & x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|x - s_t\|^2 \\ & v_{t+1} \leftarrow v_t - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}}(s_t - x_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$

[LMH15] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "A universal catalyst for first-order optimization." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).

[G92] Güler, Osman. "New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 2.4 (1992): 649-664.

[SS12] Salzo, Saverio, and Silvia Villa. "Inexact and accelerated proximal point algorithms." *Journal of Convex analysis* 19.4 (2012): 1167-1192.

[FGKS15] Frostig, Roy, et al. "Un-regularizing: approximate proximal point and faster stochastic algorithms for empirical risk minimization." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2015.

Catalyst [LMH15]

aka. Approximate Proximal Point Acceleration (APPA) [G92, SS12, FGKS15]

- general acceleration framework for convex optimization

- simple

generalized from the “momentum” acceleration

- applicable

useful for lots of structured optimization through black-box reduction

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_x F(x) \\ & \downarrow \\ & s_t \leftarrow (1 - \alpha_{t+1})x_t + \alpha_{t+1}v_t \\ & x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|x - s_t\|^2 \\ & v_{t+1} \leftarrow v_t - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}}(s_t - x_{t+1}) \end{aligned}$$

step-size independent of iterates

[LMH15] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "A universal catalyst for first-order optimization." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).

[G92] Güler, Osman. "New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 2.4 (1992): 649-664.

[SS12] Salzo, Saverio, and Silvia Villa. "Inexact and accelerated proximal point algorithms." *Journal of Convex analysis* 19.4 (2012): 1167-1192.

[FGKS15] Frostig, Roy, et al. "Un-regularizing: approximate proximal point and faster stochastic algorithms for empirical risk minimization." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2015.

Catalyst [LMH15]

aka. Approximate Proximal Point Acceleration (APPA) [G92, SS12, FGKS15]

- general acceleration framework for convex optimization

- simple

generalized from the “momentum” acceleration

- applicable

useful for lots of structured optimization through black-box reduction

$$\min_x F(x)$$



$$s_t \leftarrow (1 - \alpha_{t+1})x_t + \alpha_{t+1}v_t$$

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

$$v_{t+1} \leftarrow v_t - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}}(s_t - x_{t+1})$$

Reduce to regularized sub-problems

Usually require high-accuracy solutions

When accurate enough, converge at rate $O(\lambda R^2/T^2)$.

[LMH15] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "A universal catalyst for first-order optimization." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).

[G92] Güler, Osman. "New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 2.4 (1992): 649-664.

[SS12] Salzo, Saverio, and Silvia Villa. "Inexact and accelerated proximal point algorithms." *Journal of Convex analysis* 19.4 (2012): 1167-1192.

[FGKS15] Frostig, Roy, et al. "Un-regularizing: approximate proximal point and faster stochastic algorithms for empirical risk minimization." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2015.

Catalyst [LMH15]

aka. Approximate Proximal Point Acceleration (APPA) [G92, SS12, FGKS15]

solve $\min_x F(x)$ to ϵ accuracy

[LMH15] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "A universal catalyst for first-order optimization." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).

[G92] Güler, Osman. "New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 2.4 (1992): 649-664.

[SS12] Salzo, Saverio, and Silvia Villa. "Inexact and accelerated proximal point algorithms." *Journal of Convex analysis* 19.4 (2012): 1167-1192.

[FGKS15] Frostig, Roy, et al. "Un-regularizing: approximate proximal point and faster stochastic algorithms for empirical risk minimization." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2015.

Catalyst [LMH15]

aka. Approximate Proximal Point Acceleration (APPA) [G92, SS12, FGKS15]

solve $\min_x F(x)$ to ϵ accuracy



$\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\epsilon}} \cdot R\right)$ solves of subproblem

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

to sufficient high accuracy

[LMH15] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "A universal catalyst for first-order optimization." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).

[G92] Güler, Osman. "New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 2.4 (1992): 649-664.

[SS12] Salzo, Saverio, and Silvia Villa. "Inexact and accelerated proximal point algorithms." *Journal of Convex analysis* 19.4 (2012): 1167-1192.

[FGKS15] Frostig, Roy, et al. "Un-regularizing: approximate proximal point and faster stochastic algorithms for empirical risk minimization." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2015.

Catalyst [LMH15]

aka. Approximate Proximal Point Acceleration (APPA) [G92, SS12, FGKS15]

solve $\min_x F(x)$ to ϵ accuracy



$\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\epsilon}} \cdot R\right)$ solves of subproblem

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

to sufficient high accuracy

MAIN Question: what accuracy is sufficient?

[LMH15] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "A universal catalyst for first-order optimization." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).

[G92] Güler, Osman. "New proximal point algorithms for convex minimization." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 2.4 (1992): 649-664.

[SS12] Salzo, Saverio, and Silvia Villa. "Inexact and accelerated proximal point algorithms." *Journal of Convex analysis* 19.4 (2012): 1167-1192.

[FGKS15] Frostig, Roy, et al. "Un-regularizing: approximate proximal point and faster stochastic algorithms for empirical risk minimization." *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2015.

MAIN Question: what accuracy is sufficient?

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2 \quad \text{to sufficient high accuracy}$$

[LMH18] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "Catalyst acceleration for first-order convex optimization: from theory to practice." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.1 (2018): 7854-7907.

[MS13] Monteiro, Renato DC, and Benar Fux Svaiter. "An accelerated hybrid proximal extragradient method for convex optimization and its implications to second-order methods." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 23.2 (2013): 1092-1125.

[IPGSGM20] Ivanova, Anastasiya, et al. "Adaptive catalyst for smooth convex optimization." *International Conference on Optimization and Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2021.

MAIN Question: what accuracy is sufficient?

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2 \quad \text{to sufficient high accuracy}$$

**Past answers
in literature:**

[LMH18] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "Catalyst acceleration for first-order convex optimization: from theory to practice." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.1 (2018): 7854-7907.

[MS13] Monteiro, Renato DC, and Benar Fux Svaiter. "An accelerated hybrid proximal extragradient method for convex optimization and its implications to second-order methods." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 23.2 (2013): 1092-1125.

[IPGSGM20] Ivanova, Anastasiya, et al. "Adaptive catalyst for smooth convex optimization." *International Conference on Optimization and Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2021.

MAIN Question: what accuracy is sufficient?

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2}_{F_{s_t}^\lambda(x)}$$

to sufficient high accuracy

**Past answers
in literature:**

[LMH18] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "Catalyst acceleration for first-order convex optimization: from theory to practice." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.1 (2018): 7854-7907.

[MS13] Monteiro, Renato DC, and Benar Fux Svaiter. "An accelerated hybrid proximal extragradient method for convex optimization and its implications to second-order methods." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 23.2 (2013): 1092-1125.

[IPGSGM20] Ivanova, Anastasiya, et al. "Adaptive catalyst for smooth convex optimization." *International Conference on Optimization and Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2021.

MAIN Question: what accuracy is sufficient?

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2}_{F_{s_t}^\lambda(x)}$$

to sufficient high accuracy

Past answers
in literature:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{[FGKS15]} \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\gamma}{\lambda}\right)^{1.5}\right) (F_s^\lambda(x_{\text{init}}) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star)) \quad \text{for } \gamma\text{-strongly-convex } F \text{ setting} \end{array} \right.$$

[LMH18] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "Catalyst acceleration for first-order convex optimization: from theory to practice." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.1 (2018): 7854-7907.

[MS13] Monteiro, Renato DC, and Benar Fux Svaiter. "An accelerated hybrid proximal extragradient method for convex optimization and its implications to second-order methods." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 23.2 (2013): 1092-1125.

[IPGSGM20] Ivanova, Anastasiya, et al. "Adaptive catalyst for smooth convex optimization." *International Conference on Optimization and Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2021.

MAIN Question: what accuracy is sufficient?

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2}_{F_{s_t}^\lambda(x)}$$

to sufficient high accuracy

**Past answers
in literature:**

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{[FGKS15]} \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\gamma}{\lambda}\right)^{1.5}\right) (F_s^\lambda(x_{\text{init}}) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star)) \quad \text{for } \gamma\text{-strongly-convex } F \text{ setting} \\ \text{[LMH18]} \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\delta_t \lambda}{2} \|x - s\|^2 \quad \text{where } \delta_t = (t+1)^{-2} \approx \epsilon/\lambda R^2 \text{ for most iterations} \end{array} \right.$$

[LMH18] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "Catalyst acceleration for first-order convex optimization: from theory to practice." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.1 (2018): 7854-7907.

[MS13] Monteiro, Renato DC, and Benar Fux Svaiter. "An accelerated hybrid proximal extragradient method for convex optimization and its implications to second-order methods." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 23.2 (2013): 1092-1125.

[IPGSGM20] Ivanova, Anastasiya, et al. "Adaptive catalyst for smooth convex optimization." *International Conference on Optimization and Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2021.

MAIN Question: what accuracy is sufficient?

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2}_{F_{s_t}^\lambda(x)}$$

to sufficient high accuracy

**Past answers
in literature:**

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{[FGKS15]} \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\gamma}{\lambda}\right)^{1.5}\right) (F_s^\lambda(x_{\text{init}}) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star)) \quad \text{for } \gamma\text{-strongly-convex } F \text{ setting} \\ \text{[LMH18]} \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\delta_t \lambda}{2} \|x - s\|^2 \quad \text{where } \delta_t = (t+1)^{-2} \approx \epsilon/\lambda R^2 \text{ for most iterations} \\ \text{[MS13] \& [IPGSGM20]} \quad \|\nabla F_s^\lambda(x)\| \leq \sigma \lambda \|x - s\| \quad \text{for constant } \sigma \in (0,1) \end{array} \right.$$

[LMH18] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "Catalyst acceleration for first-order convex optimization: from theory to practice." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.1 (2018): 7854-7907.

[MS13] Monteiro, Renato DC, and Benar Fux Svaiter. "An accelerated hybrid proximal extragradient method for convex optimization and its implications to second-order methods." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 23.2 (2013): 1092-1125.

[IPGSGM20] Ivanova, Anastasiya, et al. "Adaptive catalyst for smooth convex optimization." *International Conference on Optimization and Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2021.

MAIN Question: what accuracy is sufficient?

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2}_{F_{s_t}^\lambda(x)}$$

to sufficient high accuracy

**Past answers
in literature:**



$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{[FGKS15]} \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\gamma}{\lambda}\right)^{1.5}\right) (F_s^\lambda(x_{\text{init}}) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star)) \quad \text{for } \gamma\text{-strongly-convex } F \text{ setting} \\ \text{[LMH18]} \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\delta_t \lambda}{2} \|x - s\|^2 \quad \text{where } \delta_t = (t+1)^{-2} \approx \epsilon/\lambda R^2 \text{ for most iterations} \\ \text{[MS13] \& [IPGSGM20]} \quad \|\nabla F_s^\lambda(x)\| \leq \sigma \lambda \|x - s\| \quad \text{for constant } \sigma \in (0,1) \end{array} \right.$$

extraneous logarithmic factors for solving subproblems in *key applications*

[LMH18] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "Catalyst acceleration for first-order convex optimization: from theory to practice." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.1 (2018): 7854-7907.

[MS13] Monteiro, Renato DC, and Benar Fux Svaiter. "An accelerated hybrid proximal extragradient method for convex optimization and its implications to second-order methods." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 23.2 (2013): 1092-1125.

[IPGSGM20] Ivanova, Anastasiya, et al. "Adaptive catalyst for smooth convex optimization." *International Conference on Optimization and Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2021.

MAIN Question: what accuracy is sufficient?

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2 \quad \text{to sufficient high accuracy}$$

**Past answers
in literature:**

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\text{FGKS15}] \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\gamma}{\lambda}\right)^{1.5}\right) (F_s^\lambda(x_{\text{init}}) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star)) \quad \text{for } \gamma\text{-strongly-convex } F \text{ setting} \\ [\text{LMH18}] \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\delta_t \lambda}{2} \|x - s\|^2 \quad \text{where } \delta_t = (t+1)^{-2} \approx \epsilon/\lambda R^2 \text{ for most iterations} \\ [\text{MS13}] \text{ & } [\text{IPGSGM20}] \quad \|\nabla F_s^\lambda(x)\| \leq \sigma \lambda \|x - s\| \quad \text{for constant } \sigma \in (0,1) \end{array} \right.$$

Our proposal: Relaxed Error Condition for Accelerated Proximal Point (RECAPP)

[LMH18] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "Catalyst acceleration for first-order convex optimization: from theory to practice." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.1 (2018): 7854-7907.

[MS13] Monteiro, Renato DC, and Benar Fux Svaiter. "An accelerated hybrid proximal extragradient method for convex optimization and its implications to second-order methods." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 23.2 (2013): 1092-1125.

[IPGSGM20] Ivanova, Anastasiya, et al. "Adaptive catalyst for smooth convex optimization." *International Conference on Optimization and Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2021.

MAIN Question: what accuracy is sufficient?

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2 \quad \text{to sufficient high accuracy}$$

**Past answers
in literature:**

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\text{FGKS15}] \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\gamma}{\lambda}\right)^{1.5}\right) (F_s^\lambda(x_{\text{init}}) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star)) \quad \text{for } \gamma\text{-strongly-convex } F \text{ setting} \\ [\text{LMH18}] \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\delta_t \lambda}{2} \|x - s\|^2 \quad \text{where } \delta_t = (t+1)^{-2} \approx \epsilon/\lambda R^2 \text{ for most iterations} \\ [\text{MS13}] \text{ & } [\text{IPGSGM20}] \quad \|\nabla F_s^\lambda(x)\| \leq \sigma \lambda \|x - s\| \quad \text{for constant } \sigma \in (0,1) \end{array} \right.$$

Our proposal: Relaxed Error Condition for Accelerated Proximal Point (RECAPP)

$$F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x_\star - s\|^2 + V_{x_\star}^F(x_t)}{8}$$

[LMH18] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "Catalyst acceleration for first-order convex optimization: from theory to practice." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.1 (2018): 7854-7907.

[MS13] Monteiro, Renato DC, and Benar Fux Svaiter. "An accelerated hybrid proximal extragradient method for convex optimization and its implications to second-order methods." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 23.2 (2013): 1092-1125.

[IPGSGM20] Ivanova, Anastasiya, et al. "Adaptive catalyst for smooth convex optimization." *International Conference on Optimization and Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2021.

MAIN Question: what accuracy is sufficient?

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2 \quad \text{to sufficient high accuracy}$$

**Past answers
in literature:**

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\text{FGKS15}] \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq O\left(\left(\frac{\gamma}{\lambda}\right)^{1.5}\right) (F_s^\lambda(x_{\text{init}}) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star)) \quad \text{for } \gamma\text{-strongly-convex } F \text{ setting} \\ [\text{LMH18}] \quad F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\delta_t \lambda}{2} \|x - s\|^2 \quad \text{where } \delta_t = (t+1)^{-2} \approx \epsilon/\lambda R^2 \text{ for most iterations} \\ [\text{MS13}] \text{ & } [\text{IPGSGM20}] \quad \|\nabla F_s^\lambda(x)\| \leq \sigma \lambda \|x - s\| \quad \text{for constant } \sigma \in (0,1) \end{array} \right.$$

Our proposal: Relaxed Error Condition for Accelerated Proximal Point (RECAPP)

$$F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x_\star - s\|^2 + V_{x_\star}^F(x_t)}{8}$$

Bregman divergence
w.r.t previous iter x_t

[LMH18] Lin, Hongzhou, Julien Mairal, and Zaid Harchaoui. "Catalyst acceleration for first-order convex optimization: from theory to practice." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.1 (2018): 7854-7907.

[MS13] Monteiro, Renato DC, and Benar Fux Svaiter. "An accelerated hybrid proximal extragradient method for convex optimization and its implications to second-order methods." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 23.2 (2013): 1092-1125.

[IPGSGM20] Ivanova, Anastasiya, et al. "Adaptive catalyst for smooth convex optimization." *International Conference on Optimization and Applications*. Springer, Cham, 2021.

RECAPP: full algorithm

$$s_t \leftarrow (1 - \alpha_{t+1})x_t + \alpha_{t+1}v_t$$

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

$$v_{t+1} \leftarrow v_t - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}}(s_t - x_{t+1})$$

RECAPP: full algorithm

$$s_t \leftarrow (1 - \alpha_{t+1})x_t + \alpha_{t+1}v_t$$

$$x_{t+1} \leftarrow \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

$$v_{t+1} \leftarrow v_t - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}}(s_t - x_{t+1})$$

separating two x based on their different use in updates

RECAPP: full algorithm

$$s_t \leftarrow (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) \mathbf{x}_t + \alpha_{t+1} v_t$$

$x_{t+1} \stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{\approx} \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$

$$v_{t+1} \leftarrow v_t - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} (s_t - x_{t+1})$$

RECAPP: full algorithm

$$s_t \leftarrow (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) \textcolor{brown}{x_t} + \alpha_{t+1} v_t$$

$$x_{t+1} \stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{\approx} \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

$$\tilde{x}_{t+1} \stackrel{\textcircled{2}}{\approx} \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

$$v_{t+1} \leftarrow v_t - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} (s_t - \tilde{x}_{t+1})$$

RECAPP: full algorithm

Relaxed Error Condition

$$s_t \leftarrow (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) \textcolor{brown}{x_t} + \alpha_{t+1} v_t$$

$$x_{t+1} \stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{\approx} \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

$$\tilde{x}_{t+1} \stackrel{\textcircled{2}}{\approx} \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

$$v_{t+1} \leftarrow v_t - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} (s_t - \textcolor{brown}{\tilde{x}_{t+1}})$$

$$F_{s_t}^\lambda(x) - F_{s_t}^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x_\star - s_t\|^2 + V_{x_\star}^F(x_t)}{8}$$

Unbiased-ness: $\mathbb{E}x = x_\star$

$$\mathbb{E}\|x - x_\star\|^2 \leq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x_\star - s_t\|^2 + V_{x_\star}^F(x_t)}{4\lambda}$$

RECAPP: full algorithm

Relaxed Error Condition

$$s_t \leftarrow (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) \textcolor{brown}{x_t} + \alpha_{t+1} v_t$$

$$x_{t+1} \stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{\approx} \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

$$\tilde{x}_{t+1} \stackrel{\textcircled{2}}{\approx} \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

$$v_{t+1} \leftarrow v_t - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} (s_t - \textcolor{brown}{\tilde{x}_{t+1}})$$

(original condition)

$$F_{s_t}^\lambda(x) - F_{s_t}^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x_\star - s_t\|^2 + V_{x_\star}^F(x_t)}{8}$$

Unbiased-ness: $\mathbb{E}x = x_\star$

$$\mathbb{E}\|x - x_\star\|^2 \leq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x_\star - s_t\|^2 + V_{x_\star}^F(x_t)}{4\lambda}$$

RECAPP: full algorithm

Relaxed Error Condition

$$s_t \leftarrow (1 - \alpha_{t+1}) \mathbf{x}_t + \alpha_{t+1} v_t$$

$$x_{t+1} \stackrel{\textcircled{1}}{\approx} \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

$$\tilde{x}_{t+1} \stackrel{\textcircled{2}}{\approx} \arg \min_x F(x) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x - s_t\|^2$$

$$v_{t+1} \leftarrow v_t - \frac{1}{\alpha_{t+1}} (s_t - \tilde{x}_{t+1})$$

(original condition)

$$\textcircled{1} \quad F_{s_t}^\lambda(x) - F_{s_t}^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x_\star - s_t\|^2 + V_{x_\star}^F(x_t)}{8}$$

reduction via
multi-level Monte-Carlo [BP15, CJJS21]

Unbiased-ness: $\mathbb{E}x = x_\star$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \mathbb{E}\|x - x_\star\|^2 \leq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x_\star - s_t\|^2 + V_{x_\star}^F(x_t)}{4\lambda}$$

Key observation

Relaxed Error Condition

$$F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x_\star - s\|^2 + V_{x_\star}^F(x_t)}{8}$$

can be satisfied **without extraneous log term** when F is **finite-sum** or **min-the-max** structure

Key observation

Relaxed Error Condition

$$F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x_\star - s\|^2 + V_{x_\star}^F(x_t)}{8}$$

can be satisfied **without extraneous log term** when F is **finite-sum** or **min-the-max** structure

finite-sum minimization

classical one epoch of SVRG [JZ14]

+ initialize at s

+ full gradient query at x_t

$n + L/\lambda$ gradient queries suffice

Key observation

Relaxed Error Condition

$$F_s^\lambda(x) - F_s^\lambda(x_\star) \leq \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \|x_\star - s\|^2 + V_{x_\star}^F(x_t)}{8}$$

can be satisfied **without extraneous log term** when F is **finite-sum** or **min-the-max** structure

finite-sum minimization

classical one epoch of SVRG [JZ14]

+ initialize at s

+ full gradient query at x_t

$n + L/\lambda$ gradient queries suffice

Max-structured minimization

classical mirror-prox [N04] on minimax objective

+ initialize x at s

+ initialize y at best response* of x_t

L/λ gradient queries suffice

[JZ13] Johnson, Rie, and Tong Zhang. "Accelerating stochastic gradient descent using predictive variance reduction." *Advances in neural information processing systems* 26 (2013).

[N04] Nemirovski, Arkadi. "Prox-method with rate of convergence $O(1/t)$ for variational inequalities with Lipschitz continuous monotone operators and smooth convex-concave saddle point problems." *SIAM Journal on Optimization* 15.1 (2004): 229-251.

Conclusion and Results



RECAPP:

a general acceleration framework with relaxed error condition

Conclusion and Results



RECAPP:

a general acceleration framework with relaxed error condition

finite-sum minimization

Gradient query complexity =

$$O\left(\sqrt{\frac{nLR^2}{\epsilon}} + n \log \log n\right)$$

Conclusion and Results



RECAPP:

a *general* acceleration framework with relaxed error condition

finite-sum minimization

Gradient query complexity =

$$O\left(\sqrt{\frac{nLR^2}{\epsilon}} + n \log \log n\right)$$

- first under general acceleration framework
- matches best problem-algorithms [SYY20]

Conclusion and Results



RECAPP:

a *general* acceleration framework with relaxed error condition

finite-sum minimization

Gradient query complexity =

$$O\left(\sqrt{\frac{nLR^2}{\epsilon}} + n \log \log n\right)$$

- first under general acceleration framework
- matches best problem-algorithms [SY20]

Max-structured minimization

Gradient query complexity =

$$O\left(\frac{LR}{\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}}\right) + \tilde{O}\left(\frac{L}{\mu} + \sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\epsilon}}\right)$$

Conclusion and Results



RECAPP:

a *general* acceleration framework with relaxed error condition

finite-sum minimization

Gradient query complexity =

$$O\left(\sqrt{\frac{nLR^2}{\epsilon}} + n \log \log n\right)$$

- first under general acceleration framework
- matches best problem-algorithms [SY20]

Max-structured minimization

Gradient query complexity =

$$O\left(\frac{LR}{\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}}\right) + \tilde{O}\left(\frac{L}{\mu} + \sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\epsilon}}\right)$$

- improves over previous minimax complexity [YZKH20]
- obtains a weaker solution (no dual guarantee)

Conclusion and Results



RECAPP:

a *general* acceleration framework with relaxed error condition

finite-sum minimization

Gradient query complexity =

$$O\left(\sqrt{\frac{nLR^2}{\epsilon}} + n \log \log n\right)$$

- first under general acceleration framework
- matches best problem-algorithms [SY20]

Max-structured minimization

Gradient query complexity =

$$O\left(\frac{LR}{\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}}\right) + \tilde{O}\left(\frac{L}{\mu} + \sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\epsilon}}\right)$$

- improves over previous minimax complexity [YZKH20]
- obtains a weaker solution (no dual guarantee)

(strongly-convex setting + empirical performance of finite-sum algorithm also discussed in paper)

Conclusion and Results



Thank You!

RECAPP:

a *general* acceleration framework with relaxed error condition

finite-sum minimization

Gradient query complexity =

$$O\left(\sqrt{\frac{nLR^2}{\epsilon}} + n \log \log n\right)$$

- first under general acceleration framework
- matches best problem-algorithms [SY20]

Max-structured minimization

Gradient query complexity =

$$O\left(\frac{LR}{\sqrt{\mu\epsilon}}\right) + \tilde{O}\left(\frac{L}{\mu} + \sqrt{\frac{LR^2}{\epsilon}}\right)$$

- improves over previous minimax complexity [YZKH20]
- obtains a weaker solution (no dual guarantee)

(strongly-convex setting + empirical performance of finite-sum algorithm also discussed in paper)