Planning with Diffusion for Flexible Behavior Synthesis

Michael Janner^{*} Yilun Du^{*} Joshua B. Tenenbaum Sergey Levine

*equal contribution

diffusion-planning.github.io



ICML 2022

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$, current state \mathbf{s}_0

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$, current state \mathbf{s}_0 1: Train a predictive model

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{f}}{\text{minimize }} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\left\| \mathbf{s}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right\| \right]$$

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$, current state \mathbf{s}_0 1: Train a predictive model

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{f}}{\text{minimize }} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\left\| \mathbf{s}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right\| \right]$$

2: Use model to evaluate potential plans $\mathbf{a}_{0:T}$, selecting the best one: maximize $r(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0) + r(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1) + r(\mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{a}_2) + \dots$

$$\mathbf{a}_{0:T}$$

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$, current state \mathbf{s}_0 1: Train a predictive model

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{f}}{\text{minimize }} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\left\| \mathbf{s}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right\| \right]$$

2: Use model to evaluate potential plans $\mathbf{a}_{0:T}$, selecting the best one:

 $\underset{\mathbf{a}_{0:T}}{\text{maximize }} r(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0) + r(\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0), \mathbf{a}_1) + r(\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0), \mathbf{a}_1), \mathbf{a}_2) + \dots$

Algorithm 1 Model-based RL (idealized)

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$, current state \mathbf{s}_0

1: Train a predictive model

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{f}}{\text{minimize }} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\left\| \mathbf{s}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right\| \right]$$

2: Use model to evaluate potential plans $\mathbf{a}_{0:T}$, selecting the best one:

 $\underset{\mathbf{a}_{0:T}}{\text{maximize }} r(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0) + r(\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0), \mathbf{a}_1) + r(\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0), \mathbf{a}_1), \mathbf{a}_2) + \dots$

Algorithm 1 Model-based RL (idealized)

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$, current state \mathbf{s}_0

1: Train a predictive model

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{f}}{\text{minimize }} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\left\| \mathbf{s}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right\| \right]$$

2: Use model to evaluate potential plans $\mathbf{a}_{0:T}$, selecting the best one:

 $\underset{\mathbf{a}_{0:T}}{\text{maximize }} r(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0) + r(\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0), \mathbf{a}_1) + r(\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0), \mathbf{a}_1), \mathbf{a}_2) + \dots$

planning "in the now": Kaelbling & Lozano-Pérez. AAAI 2010. van Hasselt, Hessel, & Aslanides. NeurIPS 2019.

Algorithm 1 Model-based RL (idealized)

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$, current state \mathbf{s}_0

1: Train a predictive model

supervised learning

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{f}}{\text{minimize }} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\left\| \mathbf{s}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right\| \right]$$

2: Use model to evaluate potential plans $\mathbf{a}_{0:T}$, selecting the best one:

 $\underset{\mathbf{a}_{0:T}}{\text{maximize }} r(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0) + r(\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0), \mathbf{a}_1) + r(\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0), \mathbf{a}_1), \mathbf{a}_2) + \dots$

Algorithm 1 Model-based RL (idealized)

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$, current state \mathbf{s}_0

1: Train a predictive model

 $\underset{\boldsymbol{f}}{\text{minimize }} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\left\| \mathbf{s}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right\| \right]$

2: Use model to evaluate potential plans $a_{0:T}$, selecting the best one:

trajectory optimization

supervised learning

 $\underset{\mathbf{a}_{0:T}}{\text{maximize }} r(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0) + r(\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0), \mathbf{a}_1) + r(\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0), \mathbf{a}_1), \mathbf{a}_2) + \dots$

Great in principle, a headache in practice.

Great in principle, a headache in practice.

- It does sometimes work [Chua et al. 2018; Argenson & Dulac-Arnold 2021]
- But most contemporary model-based RL algorithms pull more from the model-free RL

toolbox than from trajectory optimization

Great in principle, a headache in practice.

Why?

Great in principle, a headache in practice.

Why?

• Long-horizon predictions are unreliable

Great in principle, a headache in practice.

Why?

- Long-horizon predictions are unreliable
- Optimizing for reward with neural net models produces adversarial examples in trajectory space

Great in principle, a headache in practice.

Why?

- Long-horizon predictions are unreliable
- Optimizing for reward with neural net models produces adversarial examples in trajectory space
- Neural net models aren't good enough?

Is the model the bottleneck?

- This reasoning should sound suspicious
- Generative modeling (on images) is really working!



• What can RL learn from these successes?

Planning as generative modeling

• Offload as much of MBRL into contemporary generative modeling as possible

Algorithm 1 Model-based RL (idealized)

- 1: Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$, current state \mathbf{s}_0
- 2: Train a predictive model

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{f}}{\text{minimize }} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\left\| \mathbf{s}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right\| \right]$$

3: Use model to evaluate potential plans $\mathbf{a}_{0:T}$, selecting the best one:

maximize
$$r(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0) + r(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1) + r(\mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{a}_2) + \dots$$

Planning as generative modeling

• Offload as much of MBRL into contemporary generative modeling as possible

replace **prediction** with big generative model

 Algorithm 1 Model-based RL (idealized)

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$, current state \mathbf{s}_0

1: Train a predictive model

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{f}}{\text{minimize }} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\|\mathbf{s}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\| \right]$$

2: Use model to evaluate potential plans $\mathbf{a}_{0:T}$, selecting the best one:

maximize
$$r(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0) + r(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1) + r(\mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{a}_2) + \dots$$

Planning as generative modeling

• Offload as much of MBRL into contemporary generative modeling as possible

replace **prediction and planning** with big generative model

Algorithm 1 Model-based RL (idealized)

Inputs: Dataset of transitions $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}), \ldots\}$, reward function $r(\cdot, \cdot)$, current state \mathbf{s}_0

1: Train a predictive model

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{f}}{\text{minimize }} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\left\| \mathbf{s}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{f}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right\| \right]$$

2: Use model to evaluate potential plans $\mathbf{a}_{0:T}$, selecting the best one:

maximize $r(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{a}_0) + r(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{a}_1) + r(\mathbf{s}_2, \mathbf{a}_2) + \dots$

A generative model of trajectories

• Represent trajectories as single-channel images



planning horizon ———

A generative model of trajectories

• Represent trajectories as single-channel images

• Train a diffusion model to iteratively denoise entire trajectory



planning horizon \longrightarrow

diffusion models: Sohl-Dickstein et al. ICML 2015 & Ho et al. NeurIPS 2020.

A generative model of trajectories

• Represent trajectories as single-channel images

• Train a diffusion model to iteratively denoise entire trajectory

• Use (one-dimensional) convolutions for temporal equivariance and horizon-independence



planning horizon \longrightarrow

Compositionality via local consistency

• Diffuser is non-Markovian, but still compositional due to temporal convolutions



data

Compositionality via local consistency

• Diffuser is non-Markovian, but still compositional due to temporal convolutions



data

plan

Variable-length predictions

• Trajectory horizon is determined by the size of the noise initialization



Variable-length predictions

• Trajectory horizon is determined by the size of the noise initialization



Variable-length predictions

• Trajectory horizon is determined by the size of the noise initialization



Non-autoregressive prediction

• Prediction is **non-autoregressive**: entire trajectory is predicted simultaneously



Non-autoregressive prediction

• Prediction is **non-autoregressive**: entire trajectory is predicted simultaneously



Non-autoregressive prediction

• Prediction is **non-autoregressive**: entire trajectory is predicted simultaneously











- Synthesize different behaviors through conditional trajectory synthesis with different learned
 - guidance functions:

• Synthesize different behaviors through conditional trajectory synthesis with different learned guidance functions:

 $ilde{p}_{ heta}(oldsymbol{ au}) \; \propto \; p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{ au}) \; h(oldsymbol{ au})$

• Synthesize different behaviors through conditional trajectory synthesis with different learned guidance functions:

 $\widetilde{p}_{ heta}(oldsymbol{ au}) \; \propto \; p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{ au}) \; h(oldsymbol{ au})$

Diffusion Model



• Synthesize different behaviors through conditional trajectory synthesis with different learned guidance functions:

 $ilde{p}_{ heta}(oldsymbol{ au}) \; \propto \; p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{ au}) \; h(oldsymbol{ au})$

Diffusion Guidance Model Function



• Synthesize different behaviors through conditional trajectory synthesis with different learned guidance functions:



• Synthesize different behaviors through conditional trajectory synthesis with different learned guidance functions:

 $\widetilde{p}_{ heta}(oldsymbol{ au}) \; \propto \; p_{ heta}(oldsymbol{ au}) \; h(oldsymbol{ au})$ Behavior **Diffusion** Guidance

Model Model Function

• Guidance functions transforms an unconditional trajectory model into a conditional policy for

diverse tasks.

• Can use a value function to bias trajectory model to particular task

$$p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{S}_{0} & \mathbf{S}_{1} & \mathbf{S}_{2} & \mathbf{S}_{3} & \mathbf{S}_{4} & \mathbf{S}_{5} & \mathbf{S}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{a}_{0} & \mathbf{a}_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{a}_{3} & \mathbf{a}_{4} & \mathbf{a}_{5} & \mathbf{a}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix}$$

• Can use a value function to bias trajectory model to particular task

• Can use a value function to bias trajectory model to particular task

• Can use a single Diffuser model for multiple different tasks



• Specify a guidance function over the first and goal state of a trajectory

• Specify a guidance function over the first and goal state of a trajectory

$$p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{s}_{0} & \mathbf{s}_{1} & \mathbf{s}_{2} & \mathbf{s}_{3} & \mathbf{s}_{4} & \mathbf{s}_{5} & \mathbf{s}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{a}_{0} & \mathbf{a}_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{a}_{3} & \mathbf{a}_{4} & \mathbf{a}_{5} & \mathbf{a}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix}$$

• Specify a guidance function over the first and goal state of a trajectory

$$p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{s}_{0} & \mathbf{s}_{1} & \mathbf{s}_{2} & \mathbf{s}_{3} & \mathbf{s}_{4} & \mathbf{s}_{5} & \mathbf{s}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{a}_{0} & \mathbf{a}_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{a}_{3} & \mathbf{a}_{4} & \mathbf{a}_{5} & \mathbf{a}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix}$$

$$h(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{s}_{1} & \mathbf{s}_{2} & \mathbf{s}_{3} & \mathbf{s}_{4} & \mathbf{s}_{5} & \mathbf{s}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | \end{bmatrix}$$

• Specify a guidance function over the final explicit goal state of a trajectory



• Specify a guidance function over the final explicit goal state of a trajectory



[•] Construct a goal seeking policy through guidance









Single Task Planning



Test-Time Cost Functions

• Can use guidance function to specify arbitrary costs on a trajectory

Test-Time Cost Functions

Can use guidance function to specify arbitrary costs on a trajectory •

$$p_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{S}_{0} & \mathbf{S}_{1} & \mathbf{S}_{2} & \mathbf{S}_{3} & \mathbf{S}_{4} & \mathbf{S}_{5} & \mathbf{S}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{a}_{0} & \mathbf{a}_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{a}_{3} & \mathbf{a}_{4} & \mathbf{a}_{5} & \mathbf{a}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

$$h(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \quad c(\mathbf{s}_{0}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{1}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{2}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{3}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{4}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{5})$$

-)
$$c(\mathbf{s}_0) + c(\mathbf{s}_1)$$

• Can use guidance function to specify arbitrary costs on a trajectory

$$p_{\theta}(\tau) \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{s}_{0} & \mathbf{s}_{1} & \mathbf{s}_{2} & \mathbf{s}_{3} & \mathbf{s}_{4} & \mathbf{s}_{5} & \mathbf{s}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{a}_{0} & \mathbf{a}_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{a}_{3} & \mathbf{a}_{4} & \mathbf{a}_{5} & \mathbf{a}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{*} \rightarrow \tilde{p}_{\theta}(\tau)$$

$$h(\tau) \quad c(\mathbf{s}_{0}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{1}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{2}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{3}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{4}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{5}) \xrightarrow{*}$$

• Can use guidance function to specify arbitrary costs on a trajectory

$$p_{\theta}(\tau) \begin{bmatrix} | & | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{s}_{0} & \mathbf{s}_{1} & \mathbf{s}_{2} & \mathbf{s}_{3} & \mathbf{s}_{4} & \mathbf{s}_{5} & \mathbf{s}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{a}_{0} & \mathbf{a}_{1} & \mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{a}_{3} & \mathbf{a}_{4} & \mathbf{a}_{5} & \mathbf{a}_{6} \\ | & | & | & | & | & | & | \\ \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{*} \rightarrow \tilde{p}_{\theta}(\tau)$$

$$h(\tau) \begin{bmatrix} c(\mathbf{s}_{0}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{1}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{2}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{3}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{4}) + c(\mathbf{s}_{5}) \end{bmatrix}$$

• Can be seen as a learned analogue of trajectory optimization













Thanks!



diffusion-planning.github.io

