



# Federated Minimax Optimization

Improved Convergence Analyses and Algorithms

Pranay Sharma  
Postdoc, ECE, Carnegie Mellon University



Rohan Panda<sup>1</sup>



Gauri Joshi<sup>1</sup>



Pramod K. Varshney<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ECE, Carnegie Mellon University

<sup>2</sup>EECS, Syracuse University, NY

NSF grants CCF-2045694 and CNS-2112471.

# Federated Minimax: Background

Federated Learning

# Federated Minimax: Background

## Federated Learning

Distributed learning with

# Federated Minimax: Background

## Federated Learning

Distributed learning with

- **Infrequent** communication with the server

# Federated Minimax: Background

## Federated Learning

Distributed learning with

- **Infrequent** communication with the server
- Heterogeneous data across clients

# Federated Minimax: Background

## Federated Learning

Distributed learning with

- **Infrequent** communication with the server
- Heterogeneous data across clients
- Client data privacy

# Federated Minimax: Background

## Federated Learning

Distributed learning with

- **Infrequent** communication with the server
- Heterogeneous data across clients
- Client data privacy





# Federated Minimax: Background

## Federated Learning

Distributed learning with

- **Infrequent** communication with the server
- Heterogeneous data across clients
- Ensures client data privacy

## Minimax Optimization

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

# Federated Minimax: Background

## Federated Learning

Distributed learning with

- **Infrequent** communication with the server
- Heterogeneous data across clients
- Ensures client data privacy

## Minimax Optimization

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

- GANs, adversarial training of neural networks, reinforcement learning

# Federated Minimax: Background

## Federated Learning

Distributed learning with

- **Infrequent** communication with the server
- Heterogeneous data across clients
- Ensures client data privacy

## Minimax Optimization

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

- GANs, adversarial training of neural networks, reinforcement learning
- $f$  is often nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$ , nonconcave in  $\mathbf{y}$

# Problem and Algorithm

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

# Problem and Algorithm

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$n$  - No. of clients

# Problem and Algorithm

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$n$  - No. of clients

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_i \sim \mathcal{D}_i} [L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \xi_i)]$$

- $\mathcal{D}_i$  - Local data distribution

# Problem and Algorithm

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$n$  - No. of clients

Local SGDA: at client  $i$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_i \sim \mathcal{D}_i} [L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \xi_i)]$$

- $\mathcal{D}_i$  - Local data distribution

# Problem and Algorithm

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$n$  - No. of clients

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_i \sim \mathcal{D}_i} [L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \xi_i)]$$

- $\mathcal{D}_i$  - Local data distribution

Local SGDA: at client  $i$

- $\mathbf{x}^i \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^i - \eta_x \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}} f_i(\mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{y}^i)$
- $\mathbf{y}^i \leftarrow \mathbf{y}^i + \eta_y \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{y}} f_i(\mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{y}^i)$



# Problem and Algorithm

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$n$  - No. of clients

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_i \sim \mathcal{D}_i} [L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \xi_i)]$$

- $\mathcal{D}_i$  - Local data distribution

Local SGDA: at client  $i$

- $\mathbf{x}^i \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^i - \eta_x \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}} f_i(\mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{y}^i)$
- $\mathbf{y}^i \leftarrow \mathbf{y}^i + \eta_y \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{y}} f_i(\mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{y}^i)$

Infrequent averaging

# Problem and Algorithm

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$n$  - No. of clients

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi_i \sim \mathcal{D}_i} [L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \xi_i)]$$

- $\mathcal{D}_i$  - Local data distribution

## Local SGDA: at client $i$

- $\mathbf{x}^i \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^i - \eta_x \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{x}} f_i(\mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{y}^i)$
- $\mathbf{y}^i \leftarrow \mathbf{y}^i + \eta_y \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathbf{y}} f_i(\mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{y}^i)$

## Infrequent averaging

- Every  $\tau$  iterations
- Restart with the average

# Theoretical Results I

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$ , **strongly concave** in  $\mathbf{y}$

# Theoretical Results I

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$ , **strongly concave** in  $\mathbf{y}$

- $\epsilon$ -approximate stationary point

# Theoretical Results I

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$ , **strongly concave** in  $\mathbf{y}$

- $\epsilon$ -approximate stationary point
- Sample Complexity:

$$\mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{n\epsilon^4} \right) \text{ per node}$$

# Theoretical Results I

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$ , **strongly concave** in  $\mathbf{y}$

- $\epsilon$ -approximate stationary point
- Sample Complexity:

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n\epsilon^4}\right) \text{ per node}$$

- Linear Speedup in  $n$
- Optimal in  $\epsilon$

# Theoretical Results I

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$ , **strongly concave** in  $\mathbf{y}$

- $\epsilon$ -approximate stationary point
- Sample Complexity:

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n\epsilon^4}\right) \text{ per node}$$

- Linear Speedup in  $n$
- Optimal in  $\epsilon$

- Communication rounds:  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^3}\right)$

# Comparison with Existing Work

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$ , **strongly concave** in  $\mathbf{y}$

	<b>Sample Complexity</b>	<b>Communication Rounds</b>
Lin et al., 2020 ( $n = 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-4})$	-

- Needs  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  batch-size



# Comparison with Existing Work

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$ , **strongly concave** in  $\mathbf{y}$

	<b>Sample Complexity</b>	<b>Communication Rounds</b>
Lin et al., 2020 ( $n = 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-4})$	-
Deng et al., 2021 ( $n \geq 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n\epsilon^6}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/4}\epsilon^4}\right)$

- Needs  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  batch-size

- **Suboptimal** complexity in  $\epsilon$

# Comparison with Existing Work

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$ , **strongly concave** in  $\mathbf{y}$

	<b>Sample Complexity</b>	<b>Communication Rounds</b>
Lin et al., 2020 ( $n = 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-4})$	-
Deng et al., 2021 ( $n \geq 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n\epsilon^6}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/4}\epsilon^4}\right)$
<b>Our Work</b> ( $n \geq 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n\epsilon^4}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^3}\right)$

- Needs  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  batch-size

- Suboptimal** complexity in  $\epsilon$

- Optimal in  $\epsilon$

# Comparison with Existing Work

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$ , **strongly concave** in  $\mathbf{y}$

	<b>Sample Complexity</b>	<b>Communication Rounds</b>
Lin et al., 2020 ( $n = 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-4})$	-
Deng et al., 2021 ( $n \geq 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n\epsilon^6}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/4}\epsilon^4}\right)$
<b>Our Work</b> ( $n \geq 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n\epsilon^4}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^3}\right)$

- Needs  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  batch-size

- **Suboptimal** complexity in  $\epsilon$

- Optimal in  $\epsilon$
- $\mathcal{O}(1)$  batch-size

# Comparison with Existing Work

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$ , **strongly concave** in  $\mathbf{y}$

	<b>Sample Complexity</b>	<b>Communication Rounds</b>
Lin et al., 2020 ( $n = 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-4})$	-
Deng et al., 2021 ( $n \geq 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n\epsilon^6}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/4}\epsilon^4}\right)$
<b>Our Work</b> ( $n \geq 1$ )	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n\epsilon^4}\right)$	$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^3}\right)$

- Needs  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$  batch-size

- **Suboptimal** complexity in  $\epsilon$

- Optimal in  $\epsilon$
- $\mathcal{O}(1)$  batch-size
- Linear speedup in  $n$

# Summary

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$

1. strongly concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
2. PL in  $\mathbf{y}$
3. Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
4. 1-Point-Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$

# Summary

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$

1. strongly concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
2. PL in  $\mathbf{y}$
3. Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
4. 1-Point-Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$

- Local update algorithms

# Summary

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is nonconvex in  $\mathbf{x}$

1. strongly concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
2. PL in  $\mathbf{y}$
3. Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
4. 1-Point-Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$

- Local update algorithms
- $\mathcal{O}(1)$  batch-size

# Summary

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is smooth and

1. Strongly concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
2. PL in  $\mathbf{y}$
3. Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
4. 1-Point-Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$

- Local update algorithms
- $\mathcal{O}(1)$  batch-size
- Optimal/SOTA complexity



# Summary

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is smooth and

1. Strongly concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
2. PL in  $\mathbf{y}$
3. Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
4. 1-Point-Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$

- Local update algorithms
- $\mathcal{O}(1)$  batch-size
- Optimal/SOTA complexity
- Linear speedup in  $n$

# Summary

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \max_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \right\}$$

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is smooth and

1. Strongly concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
2. PL in  $\mathbf{y}$
3. Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$
4. 1-Point-Concave in  $\mathbf{y}$

- Local update algorithms
- $\mathcal{O}(1)$  batch-size
- Optimal/SOTA complexity
- Linear speedup in  $n$

Poster - Hall E #605