

Consistent Polyhedral Surrogates for Top- k Classification and Variants

Jessie Finocchiaro, Rafael Frongillo, Emma Goodwill, Anish Thilagar

July 19th, 2022

ICML

Background: top- k loss

Use cases: information retrieval, image classification, etc.

$S \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|S| = k$

$$\ell_k(S, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & y \in S \\ 1 & y \notin S \end{cases} .$$

Discrete: optimizing is hard \rightarrow use a continuous **surrogate**.

Example: Surrogates for 0-1 loss: hinge loss, log loss

Desirable surrogates: **convex, consistent**

Previous top- k surrogates

- Cross-entropy: smooth, learns entire distribution
smooth surrogates tend to have worse regret bounds
(Frongillo and Waggoner 2021)
- Polyhedral surrogates: inconsistent (so far...)
Lapin, Hein, and Schiele 2016, Yang and Koyejo 2020

Questions

1. What do those inconsistent polyhedral surrogates “do”?
2. Does a consistent polyhedral top- k surrogate exist?
previous literature suggests: no

Results via Embedding Framework

Questions

1. What do those inconsistent polyhedral surrogates “do”?
2. Does a consistent polyhedral top- k surrogate exist?

previous literature suggests: no

Answers

1. Consistent for certain variants of the top- k problem.

more relevant than top- k in certain settings

2. Yes!

$$L_k(u, y) = \max_{m \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \left\{ \frac{\sigma_m(u)}{m} + \left(1 - \frac{k}{m}\right)_+ \right\} - u_y .$$

Both results rely on the embedding framework derived in Finocchiaro, Frongillo, and Waggoner 2022.