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## Sketching

- Want a sketch $\widetilde{A}=\Pi A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ that preserves features of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$
- Aim to have a much smaller $m$ than $n$
- Random Sampling:
- rows in $\widetilde{A}$ are rows of $A$.
- e.g., Bernoulli sampling; uniform sampling w or w/o replacement; leverage score sampling
- Random Projections:
- rows in $\widetilde{A}$ are linear combinations of rows of $A$.
- e.g., Gaussian; SRHT; Countsketch


## Literature: Algorithmic Perspective

- The early works of Sarlos (2006), Drineas, Mahoney, and Muthukrishnan (2006) and Drineas, Mahoney, Muthukrishnan, and Sarlos (2011) consider sketching of the least squares estimator from an algorithmic perspective (worst case analysis with the fixed data).
- See, e.g., Woodruff (2014), Drineas and Mahoney (2018) and Martinsson and Tropp (2020) for a review.


## Literature: Statistical Perspective

- However, recent works due to Ma, Mahoney, and Yu (2015), Raskutti and Mahoney (2016), and Dobriban and Liu (2019) show that an optimal worse-case error may not yield an optimal mean-squared error.
- This led to interest in better understanding the statistical implications of sketching. For example, Geppert, Ickstadt, Munteanu, Qudedenfeld, and Sohler (2017) considers Bayesian estimation while Ahfock, Astle, and Richardson (2020) and Ma, Zhang, Xing, Ma, and Mahoney (2020) provide asymptotic distribution theory for the sketched least squares estimators under homoskedasticity.


## Regression Model

- Given i.i.d. observations $\left\{\left(y_{i}, X_{i}\right): i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$, we consider a linear regression model:

$$
y=X \beta_{0}+e, \mathbb{E}(X e)=0
$$

- $\sqrt{n}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{\text {OLS }}-\beta_{0}\right) \rightarrow_{d} N\left(0, V_{1}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $V_{1}$ is the sandwich variance defined as

$$
V_{1}:=\left[\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i} X_{i}^{\top}\right)\right]^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left(e_{i}^{2} X_{i} X_{i}^{T}\right)\left[\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i} X_{i}^{T}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

- Under homoskedasticity, $V_{1}$ becomes

$$
V_{0}:=\mathbb{E}\left(e_{i}^{2}\right)\left[\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i} X_{i}^{T}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

## Sketched OLS

- A sketch of the data $(y, X)$ is $(\tilde{y}, \tilde{X})$, where $\tilde{y}=\Pi y$, $\widetilde{X}=\Pi X$, and $\Pi$ is usually an $m \times n$ random matrix.
- The sketched least squares estimator is $\widetilde{\beta}_{O L S}:=\left(\widetilde{X}^{T} \widetilde{X}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{X}^{\top} \widetilde{y}$.


## Regularity conditions

## Assumption

(i) The data $\mathcal{D}_{n}:=\left\{\left(y_{i}, X_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+p}: i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.).
Furthermore, $X$ has singular value decomposition $X=U_{X} \Sigma_{X} V_{X}^{T}$.
(ii) $\mathbb{E}\left(y_{i}^{4}\right)<\infty, \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|X_{i}\right\|^{4}\right)<\infty$, and $\mathbb{E}\left(X_{i} X_{i}^{\top}\right)$ has full rank $p$.
(iii) The random matrix $\Pi$ is independent of $\mathcal{D}_{n}$.
(iv) $m=m_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ but $m / n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, while $p$ is fixed.

## Two Leading Examples

For simplicity, we focus on Bernoulli sampling (BS) from
Random Sampling and Countsketch (CS) from Random Projections.

- Bernoulli sampling (BS): $\Pi=\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} B$, where $B$ is a diagonal sampling matrix of i.i.d. Bernoulli random variables with success probability $m / n$.
- Countsketch (CS) : only one non-zero entry in each column of $\Pi$. The non-zero entry takes on value $\{+1,-1\}$ randomly drawn with equal probability, and is located uniformly at random for each column.


## Asymptotic Normality

## Theorem (OLS)

Let Assumption 1 hold and $\mathbb{E}\left(e_{i} X_{i}\right)=0$.
(i) Under $B S, m^{1 / 2}\left(\widetilde{\beta}_{O L S}-\widehat{\beta}_{O L S}\right) \rightarrow_{d} N\left(0, V_{1}\right)$.
(ii) Under CS, $m^{1 / 2}\left(\widetilde{\beta}_{O L S}-\widehat{\beta}_{O L S}\right) \rightarrow_{d} N\left(0, V_{0}\right)$.

Theorem 1 indicates that both sampling schemes yield asymptotically normal estimates, but for different reasons have different asymptotic variances.

## Practical Inference

- In applications, researchers would like to test a hypothesis about $\beta_{0}$ using a sketched estimate, and our results provide all the quantities required for inference.
- Since $m / n \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
m^{1 / 2}(\widetilde{\beta}-\widehat{\beta}) & =m^{1 / 2}\left(\widetilde{\beta}-\beta_{0}\right)-(m / n)^{1 / 2} n^{1 / 2}\left(\widehat{\beta}-\beta_{0}\right) \\
& =m^{1 / 2}\left(\widetilde{\beta}-\beta_{0}\right)+o_{p}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Then, asymptotic normality of $m^{1 / 2}(\widetilde{\beta}-\widehat{\beta})$ provides a guide to conduct inference for $\beta_{0}$ :

$$
\widetilde{V}_{m}^{-1 / 2}\left(\widetilde{\beta}_{O L S}-\beta_{0}\right) \approx N\left(0, I_{p}\right)
$$

where the form of $\widetilde{V}_{m}$ will be given below.

## Monte Carlo Experiments

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | $(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SIZE |  | POWER |  |
|  | S.E.0 | S.E.1 | S.E.0 | S.E.1 |
| (I) HOMOSKEDASTIC DESIGN |  |  |  |  |
| BERNOULLI | 0.046 | 0.050 |  |  |
| UNIFORM | 0.047 | 0.052 | 0.489 | 0.490 |
| LEVERAGE | 0.045 | 0.053 | 0.483 | 0.513 |
| COUNTSKETCH | 0.049 | 0.051 | 0.479 | 0.489 |
| SRHT | 0.056 | 0.061 | 0.492 | 0.498 |
| SRFT | 0.055 | 0.057 | 0.484 | 0.489 |
| (II) HETEROSKEDASTIC DESIGN |  |  |  |  |
| BERNOULLI | 0.310 | 0.047 | 0.713 | 0.436 |
| UNIFORM | 0.301 | 0.053 | 0.719 | 0.435 |
| LEVERAGE | 0.183 | 0.051 | 0.727 | 0.529 |
| COUNTSKETCH | 0.054 | 0.057 | 0.813 | 0.812 |
| SRHT | 0.054 | 0.056 | 0.804 | 0.809 |
| SRFT | 0.050 | 0.052 | 0.799 | 0.806 |
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