First-Order Methods for Wasserstein Distributionally Robust MDPs

Julien Grand-Clément, Christian Kroer

IEOR Department, Columbia University

What is this paper about?

- Solving distributionally robust Markov Decision Processes (MDP)
- Distributional robustness with Wasserstein distance
- Goal: improve convergence rate of Value Iteration algorithms

- Main idea: adapt First-Order Methods¹ (FOMs) for DR-MDP
- Challenges:
 - Adapt FOMs to a dynamically changing setting
 - Develop tractable proximal updates for interesting metrics/decision sets

¹Mirror Descent, Mirror Prox, Primal-Dual Algorithms, etc.

Consider an MDP with S/A/N states/actions/observed kernels.

Complexity of our algorithm:

$$O\left(NA^{2.5}S^{3.5}\log(\epsilon^{-1})\epsilon^{-1.5}\right).$$
 (1)

Note: complexity of classical Value Iteration:

$$O\left(N^{3.5}A^{3.5}S^{4.5}\log^2(\epsilon^{-1})\right).$$
 (2)

 \Rightarrow improvement of $\Omega(N^{2.5}AS)$...

... at the price of a worst-dependence in ϵ^{-1} .

Comparing our algorithms with other methods:

- Three MDP instances (two real, one random).
- Other algorithms²: Value Iteration (VI), Gauss-Seidel VI, Accelerated VI, Anderson VI.
- We compare running times to return ϵ -optimal policy, when S, N grow larger.

²Puterman (1994), Geist and Scherrer (2018), Goyal and G.-C. (2019)



Figure 1: Running times of various algorithms (vs. number of kernels N).



Figure 2: Running times of various algorithms (vs. number of states S).

Our contributions.

- We present the first algorithm adapting FOM to *Distributionally Robust MDP.*
- In terms of state/action, we improve complexity to $O(NA^{2.5}S^{3.5})$ from previous $O(N^{3.5}A^{3.5}S^{4.5})$.
- Empirically, significant speedups on both random and structured MDP instances, even for small *N*, *S*, *A*.

In the paper:

- More details on Wasserstein setup,
- Details on convergence rate/complexity,
- Detailed simulation setup.