Anytime Online-to-Batch, Optimism, and Acceleration

Ashok Cutkosky Google Research

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Stochastic Optimization

First-Order Stochastic Optimization

Find the minimum of some convex function $F : W \to \mathbb{R}$ using a stochastic gradient oracle: given *w* we can obtain a random variable *g* where $\mathbb{E}[g] = \nabla F(w)$.

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Example: Stochastic Gradient Descent

A popular algorithm is gradient descent:

 $w_1 = 0$ $w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta_t g_t$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

Example: Stochastic Gradient Descent

A popular algorithm is gradient descent:

 $w_1 = 0$ $w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta_t g_t$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

How should we analyze its convergence?

Online Optimization

For $t = 1 \dots T$, repeat:

- 1. Learner chooses a point w_t .
- 2. Environment presents learner with a gradient g_t (think $\mathbb{E}[g_t] = \nabla F(w_t)$).
- 3. Learner suffers loss $\langle g_t, w_t \rangle$.

The objective is minimize regret:

$$R_T(w_\star) = \sum_{t=1}^T \underbrace{\langle g_t, w_t \rangle}_{ ext{loss suffered}} - \underbrace{\langle g_t, w_\star
angle}_{ ext{benchmark loss}}$$

Back to Gradient Descent

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta_t g_t$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

Simplest analysis chooses $\eta_t \propto 1/\sqrt{T}$, but can also do more complicated things like $\eta_t \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^T \|g_t\|^2}}$.

Back to Gradient Descent

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta_t g_t$$

Simplest analysis chooses $\eta_t \propto 1/\sqrt{T}$, but can also do more complicated things like $\eta_t \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^T \|g_t\|^2}}$. These yield

$$R_T(w_\star) \leq \|w_\star\|\sqrt{T}$$
$$R_T(w_\star) \leq \|w_\star\|\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^T \|g_t\|^2}$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

Back to Gradient Descent

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta_t g_t$$

Simplest analysis chooses $\eta_t \propto 1/\sqrt{T}$, but can also do more complicated things like $\eta_t \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^T \|g_t\|^2}}$. These yield

$$egin{aligned} & R_T(w_\star) \leq \|w_\star\|\sqrt{T} \ & R_T(w_\star) \leq \|w_\star\|\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^T \|g_t\|^2} \end{aligned}$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

We want to use regret bounds to solve stochastic optimization.

What We Hope Happens



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへで

What Could Happen Instead



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Online-to-Batch Conversion

▶ Run an online learner for *T* steps on gradients $\mathbb{E}[g_t] = \nabla F(w_t)$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

• Pick $\hat{w} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} w_t$.

•
$$\mathbb{E}[F(\hat{w}) - F(w_{\star})] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[R_T(w_{\star})]}{T}$$

Online-to-Batch Conversion

▶ Run an online learner for *T* steps on gradients $\mathbb{E}[g_t] = \nabla F(w_t)$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

• Pick
$$\hat{w} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} w_t$$
.

$$\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}[F(\hat{w}) - F(w_{\star})] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[R_{T}(w_{\star})]}{T}$$

• For example:
$$\frac{\|w_{\star}\|\sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\|g_t\|^2}}{T} = O(1/\sqrt{T}).$$

Averages Converge



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

Something That Could Be Better

The conversion is not "anytime": you must stop and average in order to get a convergence guarantee.

► The iterates w_t are still not well-behaved. For example, $\|\nabla F(w_T)\|$ may be much larger than $\|\nabla F(\hat{w})\|$.

Simple Fix

Just evaluate gradients at running averages!

- Let $x_t = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=1}^t w_i$
- Let *g*_t be stochastic gradient at *x*_t.
- Send g_t to online learner and get w_{t+1} .

Using Running Averages



▲ロト▲舂▶▲≧▶▲≧▶ 差 のへの

Notation Recap

- ► *x*_t: where we evaluate gradients *g*_t.
- ► *w_t*: iterate of online learner (now exists only for analysis).

$$\blacktriangleright R_T(w_\star) = \sum_{t=1}^T \langle g_t, w_t - w_\star \rangle.$$

No longer clear what the relationship is between R_T and the original loss function F since g_t is no longer a gradient at w_t .

Online-To-Batch is unchanged

Theorem *Define*

$$R_T(\mathbf{x}_{\star}) = \sum_{t=1}^T \langle \alpha_t g_t, \mathbf{w}_t - \mathbf{x}_{\star} \rangle$$
$$\mathbf{x}_t = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i \mathbf{w}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i}$$

Then for all x_* and all T,

$$\mathbb{E}[F(x_T) - F(x_\star)] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{R_T(x_\star)}{\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t}\right]$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Proof Sketch

Suppose $\alpha_t = 1$ for simplicity.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} F(x_t) - F(x_\star)\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle g_t, x_t - x_\star \rangle\right]$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle g_t, \underbrace{x_t - w_t}_{(t-1)(x_{t-1} - x_t)} \rangle + \underbrace{\langle g_t, w_t - x_\star \rangle}_{R_T(x_\star)}\right]$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[R_T(x_\star) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} (t-1)(F(x_{t-1}) - F(x_t))\right]$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

Subtract $\sum_{t=1}^{T} F(x_t)$ from both sides, and telescope.

Stability

It's clear that $F(x_t) \rightarrow F(x_{\star})$. But (in a bounded domain) we also have:

$$x_t - x_{t-1} = \frac{\alpha_t (x_t - w_t)}{\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \alpha_i} = O(1/t)$$

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

In contrast, the iterates of the base online learner are less stable: $w_t - w_{t-1} = O(1/\sqrt{t})$ usually (because learning rate $\eta_t \propto 1/\sqrt{t}$). An Algorithm That Likes Stability

Optimistic online learning algorithms can obtain [RS13; HK10; MY16]:

$$R_T(w_{\star}) \leq \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^T \|g_t - g_{t-1}\|^2}$$

• This algorithm does better if the *gradients* are stable.

An Algorithm That Likes Stability

Optimistic online learning algorithms can obtain [RS13; HK10; MY16]:

$$R_T(w_{\star}) \leq \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^T \|g_t - g_{t-1}\|^2}$$

- This algorithm does better if the *gradients* are stable.
- When F is smooth, then gradient stability is implied by iterate stability!

- コン・4回シュービン・4回シューレー

Using Optimism with Stability

• With previous conversion, we might hope that $w_t - w_{t-1} = O(1/\sqrt{t})$. This implies

$$\mathbb{E}[F(\hat{w}_T) - F(x_\star)] \le O\left(\frac{1}{T} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}}\right)$$

▶ In the new conversion, $g_t - g_{t-1} \approx x_t - x_{t-1} = O(1/t)$, so we can do much better.

Faster Rates with Optimism

Theorem Suppose

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x}_{\star}) \leq \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t^2 \|g_t - g_{t-1}\|^2}$$

Set $\alpha_t = t$ for all t. Suppose each g_t has variance at most σ^2 , and F is L-smooth. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[F(x_T) - F(x_\star)] \le O\left(\frac{L}{T^{3/2}} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}}\right)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Acceleration

The optimal rate is

$$\mathbb{E}[F(x_T) - F(x_\star)] \leq \frac{L}{T^2} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Acceleration

The optimal rate is

$$\mathbb{E}[F(x_T) - F(x_\star)] \leq \frac{L}{T^2} + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

- A small change to the algorithm can get this rate too.
- The algorithm does not know *L* or σ .
- Unfortunately, the algebra no longer fits on a slide.

Online-to-Batch Summary

- Evaluate gradients at running averages.
- Keeps the same convergence guarantee, but is anytime.
- ► Stabilizes the iterates → faster rates on smooth problems.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Online-to-Batch Summary

- Evaluate gradients at running averages.
- Keeps the same convergence guarantee, but is anytime.
- ► Stabilizes the iterates → faster rates on smooth problems.

Thank you!

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <