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Graphs

- Many data can be modelled as graphs, e.g. social networks, protein-protein interaction networks and molecules.
Brief Review of Graph Convolutional Networks

- **Spectral**
  - 2005: GNN, Gori et al.
  - 2015: Geodesic CNN, Masci et al.
  - 2016: ChebNet, Defferrard et al.
  - 2017: GCN, Kipf et al.
  - 2018: AGCN, Li et al.
  - 2019: Diffusion Scattering, Gama et al.
  - GSC, Gao et al.

- **Spatial**
  - 2015: ACNN, Boscaini et al.
  - 2016: GGNN, Li et al.
  - 2017: GraphSage, Hamilton et al.
  - 2018: MoNet, Monti et al.
  - 2019: LGCN, Gao et al.
  - GIN, Xu et al.

- **Temporal**
  - 2005: Spectral CNN, Bruna et al.
Can we build GCN in an unsupervised way?
Euclidean Scattering Transform

Figure: Illustration of scattering transform for feature extraction
Graph Wavelets

- Graph Wavelet: defined as the difference between lazy random walks at different time scales:

$$\psi_j = P^{2j-1} - P^{2j} = P^{2j-1}(I - P^{2j-1}).$$

- Graph wavelet transform up to the scale $2^J$:

$$W_Jf = \{P^{2^j}f, \psi_j f : j \leq J\} = \{f \ast \phi_j, f \ast \psi_j : j \leq J\}.$$
Graph Wavelet Transform

(a) Sample graph of bunny manifold
(b) Minnesota road network graph

Figure: Wavelets $\Psi_j$ for increasing scale $2^j$ left to right, applied to Diracs centered at two different locations (marked by red circles) in two graphs.
Geometric Scattering Transform

- Zero order feature:
  \[ S_f(q) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} f(v_{\ell})^q, \quad 1 \leq q \leq Q \]

- First order feature:
  \[ S_f(j, q) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\Psi_j f(v_{\ell})|^q, \quad 1 \leq j \leq J, \quad 1 \leq q \leq Q \]

- Second order feature:
  \[ S_f(j, j', q) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} |\Psi_{j'}| \Psi_j f(v_{\ell})|^q, \quad 1 \leq j < j' \leq J, \quad 1 \leq q \leq Q \]
# Graph Classification on Social Networks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>COLLAB (WL)</th>
<th>IMDB-B</th>
<th>IMDB-M</th>
<th>REDDIT-B</th>
<th>REDDIT-5K</th>
<th>REDDIT-12K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WL</td>
<td>77.82 ± 1.45</td>
<td>71.60 ± 5.16</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>78.52 ± 2.01</td>
<td>50.77 ± 2.02</td>
<td>34.57 ± 1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphlet</td>
<td>73.42 ± 2.43</td>
<td>65.40 ± 5.95</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>77.26 ± 2.34</td>
<td>39.75 ± 1.36</td>
<td>25.98 ± 1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WL-OA</td>
<td>80.70 ± 0.10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>89.30 ± 0.30</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGK</td>
<td>73.00 ± 0.20</td>
<td>66.90 ± 0.50</td>
<td>44.50 ± 0.50</td>
<td>78.00 ± 0.30</td>
<td>41.20 ± 0.10</td>
<td>32.20 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGCNN</td>
<td>73.76 ± 0.49</td>
<td>70.03 ± 0.86</td>
<td>47.83 ± 0.85</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>48.70 ± 4.54</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D CNN</td>
<td>71.33 ± 1.96</td>
<td>70.40 ± 3.85</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>89.12 ± 1.70</td>
<td>52.21 ± 2.44</td>
<td>48.13 ± 1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSCN</td>
<td>72.60 ± 2.15</td>
<td>71.00 ± 2.29</td>
<td>45.23 ± 2.84</td>
<td>86.30 ± 1.58</td>
<td>49.10 ± 0.70</td>
<td>41.32 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCAPS-CNN</td>
<td>77.71 ± 2.51</td>
<td>71.69 ± 3.40</td>
<td>48.50 ± 4.10</td>
<td>87.61 ± 2.51</td>
<td>50.10 ± 1.72</td>
<td>42.47 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2S-P2P-NN</td>
<td>81.75 ± 0.80</td>
<td>73.80 ± 0.70</td>
<td>51.19 ± 0.50</td>
<td>86.50 ± 0.80</td>
<td>52.28 ± 0.50</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIN-0 (MLP-SUM)</td>
<td>80.20 ± 1.90</td>
<td>75.10 ± 5.10</td>
<td>52.30 ± 2.80</td>
<td>92.40 ± 2.50</td>
<td>57.50 ± 1.50</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS-SVM</td>
<td>79.94 ± 1.61</td>
<td>71.20 ± 3.25</td>
<td>48.73 ± 2.32</td>
<td>89.65 ± 1.94</td>
<td>53.33 ± 1.37</td>
<td>45.23 ± 1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table:** Comparison of the proposed GS-SVM classifier with leading deep learning methods on social graph datasets.
Classification with Low Training-data Availability

Graph classification with four training/validation/test splits:

- 80%/10%/10%
- 70%/10%/20%
- 40%/10%/50%
- 20%/10%/70%

Training data reduced from 80% to 20% only results in a decrease of 3% in classification accuracy on social network datasets

Figure: Drop in SVM classification accuracy over social graph datasets when reducing training set size
Dimensionality Reduction

ENZYME dataset: on average 124.2 edges, 29.8 vertices, and 3 features per vertex per graph

Geometric scattering combined with PCA enables significant dimensionality reduction with only a small impact on classification accuracy

Figure: Relation between explained variance, SVM classification accuracy, and PCA dimensions over scattering features in ENZYMES dataset.
Data Exploration: Enzyme Class Exchange Preferences

- ENZYME dataset contains enzymes from six top level enzyme classes and are labelled by their Enzyme Commission (EC) numbers.
- Geometric scattering features are considered as signature vectors for individual enzymes, and can be used to infer EC exchange preferences during enzyme evolution.

Scattering features are sufficiently rich to capture relations between enzyme classes

Figure: Comparison of EC exchange preferences in enzyme evolution: (a) observed in Cuesta et al. (2015), and (b) inferred from scattering features
Conclusion

- A generalization of Euclidean scattering transform to graph.
- Scattering features can serve as universal representations of graphs.
- Geometric scattering transform provides a new way for computing and considering global graph representations, independent of specific learning tasks.
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