Last Iterate Risk Bounds of SGD with Decaying Stepsize for Overparameterized Linear Regression

Jingfeng Wu with Difan Zou, Vladimir Braverman, Quanquan Gu, Sham M. Kakade







n training samples $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1) \cdots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$ **Population Risk** $\mathscr{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbb{E}\ell(\mathbf{x}, y; \mathbf{w})$ SGD generalizes well for Large Model $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for large dlearning high-dim model

Wilson, Ashia C., Rebecca Roelofs, Mitchell Stern, Nati Srebro, and Benjamin Recht. "The marginal value of adaptive gradient methods in machine learning." Advances in neural information processing systems 30 (2017).



SGD generalizes well

High Dimensional Linear Regression

True Model $y = \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{w}^* + \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$

Population Risk $\mathscr{L}(\mathbf{w}) := \mathbb{E}(y - \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{w})^2$

SGD with *n* samples, $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1) \cdots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 1}$

$$\mathbf{w}_t = \mathbf{w}_{t-1} + \eta_t \cdot (\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{x}_t^\top \mathbf{w}_t)$$

output := \mathbf{w}_n

Caveat: One-Pass SGD





Key Assumption: Strongly Contractive Fourth Moment

Recall that $\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}]$. Assume that for every PSD matrix A, • $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}] \leq \alpha \cdot tr(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{H}$ for some constant $\alpha \geq 1$;

Spherically symmetric distributions, sub-Gaussian, sub-Exponential...

One-hot distributions we are here (which are easy to analyze) Bounded kurtosis Weakly contractive fourth moment **Strongly contractive** $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}] \leq R^2 \cdot \mathbf{H}$ $\forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbb{E} \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{x} \rangle^4 \leq \alpha \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{H} \mathbf{v} \rangle^2$ fourth moment e.g., [BM 2013]

e.g., [BLLT 2020]

• Bach, Francis, and Eric Moulines. "Non-strongly-convex smooth stochastic approximation with convergence rate O (1/n)." Advances in neural information processing systems 26 (2013). • Bartlett, Peter L., Philip M. Long, Gábor Lugosi, and Alexander Tsigler. "Benign overfitting in linear regression." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117, no. 48 (2020): 30063-30070.

• $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}] \geq \beta \cdot \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}$ for some constant $\beta > 0$.









Tail Geometrically
$$\mathbf{w}_t = \mathbf{w}_{t-1} + \eta_t \cdot (y_t - \mathbf{x}_t^{\mathsf{T}})$$
 $\boldsymbol{w}_t = \begin{cases} \eta_0, & t \leq s \\ 0.5\eta_{t-1}, & t > s, t \ K = 0 \\ \eta_{t-1}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ Useful in practice! $what if d > n ?$

Ge, Rong, Sham M. Kakade, Rahul Kidambi, and Praneeth Netrapalli. "The step decay schedule: A near optimal, geometrically decaying learning rate procedure for least squares." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32 (2019).

Decaying Stepsizes

 \mathbf{w}_{t-1}) · \mathbf{x}_t output := \mathbf{w}_n



narks

- leakly contractive fourth moment
- 2. Variance bound scales with d

3. ℓ_2 -norm or condition number implicitly depends on d



A Fine-Grained Upper Bound

 $\eta_0 < 1/(4\alpha \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{H})n)$, we have $\|(\mathbf{I} - \eta_0 \mathbf{H})^{s+K}(\mathbf{w}_0 - \mathbf{w}^*)$ $\mathbb{E}\Delta(\mathbf{w}_n) \lesssim$ $\gamma_0 K$ $k^* + \eta_0 K^2 \sum_{k^* < i \le k^\dagger} \lambda_i + \eta_0^2 K^2$ K effective dimension Here k^*, k^{\dagger} are such that $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{k^*} \geq -\frac{1}{k^*}$ Ambient Dimension d vs. $\mathbf{I}_{0:k}$

 $k* < i \leq k^{\dagger}$

Effective Dimension $k^* + \eta_0 K^2$ $\sum \lambda_i + \eta_0^2 K$

Let the stepsize decaying interval be $K := (n - s)/\log(n - s)$. For every s > 0, K > 2 and every exponentially decaying

$$\frac{|\mathbf{I}_{0:k^{*}}|}{\sum_{i>k^{\dagger}}\lambda_{i}^{2}} + ||(\mathbf{I} - \eta_{0}\mathbf{H})^{s+K}(\mathbf{w}_{0} - \mathbf{w}^{*})||_{\mathbf{H}_{k^{*}:\infty}}^{2}}{\sum_{i>k^{\dagger}}\lambda_{i}^{2}} \cdot \left(\sigma^{2} + \alpha \cdot ||\mathbf{w}_{0} - \mathbf{w}^{*}||_{\mathbf{H}}^{2} \cdot \log(n)\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{K} \geq \lambda_{k^{*}+1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{k^{\dagger}} \geq \frac{1}{\eta_{0}(s+K)} \geq \lambda_{k^{\dagger}+1} \geq \ldots$$

$$\frac{1}{K^{*}:= \operatorname{diag}(1,\ldots,1,0,0,\ldots) \quad \mathbf{H}_{k^{*}:\infty}:= \operatorname{diag}(0,\ldots,0,\lambda_{k^{*}+1},\lambda_{k^{*}+2},\ldots)}{\lambda_{i}^{2}\sum_{i>k^{\dagger}}\lambda_{i}^{2}, \, small \, when \, (\lambda_{i})_{i\geq 1} \, decays \, fast$$



A Nearly Matching Lower Bound

 $\eta_0 < 1/\lambda_1$, we have $\mathbb{E}\Delta(\mathbf{w}_n) \gtrsim \|(\mathbf{I} - \eta_0 \mathbf{H})^{s+2K}(\mathbf{w}_0 - \mathbf{v})\|$ $k^* + \eta_0 K \sum_{k^* < i < k^\dagger} \lambda_i^2 + \eta_0^2 K^2$ effective dimension Here k^* , k^{\dagger} are such that $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{k^*} \geq -\frac{1}{k^*}$

Lower bound nearly matches upper bound if SNR is bounded, $\|\mathbf{w}_0 - \mathbf{w}^*\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 \lesssim \sigma^2$

Let the stepsize decaying interval be $K := (n - s)/\log(n - s)$. For every $s \ge 0$, K > 10 and every

$$\mathbf{w}^{*} \|_{\mathbf{H}}^{2} + \frac{2\sum_{i>k^{*}}\lambda_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{i>k^{*}}\lambda_{i}^{2}} \cdot \left(\sigma^{2} + \beta \cdot \|\mathbf{w}_{0} - \mathbf{w}^{*}\|_{\mathbf{H}_{k^{*}:\infty}}^{2}\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{K} \geq \lambda_{k^{*}+1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{k^{\dagger}} \geq \frac{1}{\eta_{0}(s+K)} \geq \lambda_{k^{\dagger}+1} \geq \ldots$$

 $\mathbf{I}_{0:k^*} := \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ $\mathbf{H}_{k^{*} \cdot \infty} := \operatorname{diag}(0, \dots, 0, \lambda_{k^{*}+1}, \lambda_{k^{*}+2}, \dots)$



Geometrically vs. Polynomially Decaying Stepsize

$$\eta_t = \begin{cases} \eta_0, & t \leq s \\ 0.5\eta_{t-1}, & t > s, t \% K = 0 \\ \eta_{t-1}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

respectively. Fix same s = n/2, same w_0 , same η_0 . Then we have $\mathbb{E}\Delta(\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{n}}^{\exp}) \lesssim (1 + S)$ where SNR := $\|\mathbf{w}_0 - \mathbf{w}_n\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 / \sigma^2$.

For every least square problem with bounded SNR, \mathbf{W}_{n}^{exp} is always nearly no worse than \mathbf{W}_{n}^{poly}

$$\eta_t = \begin{cases} \eta_0, & t \leq s \\ \frac{\eta_0}{(t-s)^a}, & t > s \end{cases} \text{ for } 0 \leq a \leq s \end{cases}$$

Let \mathbf{w}_n^{exp} and \mathbf{w}_n^{poly} be the SGD outputs with geometrically and polynomially decaying stepsizes,

$$SNR \cdot \log n) \cdot \mathbb{E}\Delta(\mathbf{w}_{n}^{poly})$$



Numerical Simulation



Experimental Setting: $\sigma^2 = 1$, d = 256, $\mathbf{w}_0 = 0$, s = n/2, a = 1Under each sample size, the initial stepsize is fine-tuned for each algorithm

- SGD can generalize in high-dim least squares
- Geometrically decaying stepsizes > polynomially decaying stepsizes



east squares s > polynomially decaying stepsiz

Conclusion

Take Home

- Risk of SGD in high-dim $\approx d_{\rm eff}$ / $n_{\rm eff}$
- d_{eff} determined by $(\lambda_i)_{i\geq 1}$, η_0 , n_{eff} ; and $\ll d$ when $(\lambda_i)_{i\geq 1}$ decay fast
- Geometrical stepsize > polynomially stepsize



Vladimir Bravermen @ JHU Quanquan Gu @ UCLA

Limitations

- One-pass SGD
- Linear model
- Strongly contractive fourth moment

Sham M. Kakade @ Harvard Difan Zou @ UCLA

Get the Paper!



