Delay-adaptive Step-sizes for Asynchronous Learning

Xuyang Wu 1, Sindri Magnusson 2, Hamid Reza Feyzmahdavian 3, Mikael Johansson 1

¹KTH Royal Institute of Technology ²Stockholm University ³ABB Corporate Research

ICML 2022

Synchronous and Asynchronous in Distributed Learning



Large sample number/model dimension \rightarrow use of multiple processors

synchronous: all finish comp & comm, next iteration.

• GD: $x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{\gamma}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla f^i(x_k).$

inefficient, bottleneck: slowest worker.

asynchronous: some finish comp & comm, next iteration, cause delay.

IAG:

 $x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{\gamma}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla f^i(x_{k-\tau_k^i}).$

efficient, do not wait slowest.

Literature Review, Issues, and Idea

asynchronous, non-diminishing step-size:

- rely on an upper bound τ of all delays.
- Issues: τ usually unknown (hard to implement) or large (small step-size, slow convergence)

▶ Idea: step-sizes should rely on actual delays. Poses two questions:

- 1. can we measure actual delay? (yes, measured by difference of iteration index)
- 2. large gap between delay bound and actual delay? (yes)

Gap between delay bound and actual delay

 τ_k : maximal information delay at iteration k.



Figure: Real-world delay distribution (8 workers)

most delays are much smaller than maximal delay, good to use actual delay

Main part: delay-adaptive step-sizes for two asynchronous methods

Problem and Algorithm



- large sample number: $f(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{i}(x)$
 - **PIAG:** $x_{k+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\gamma_k R}(x_k \frac{\gamma_k}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla f_i(x_{k-\tau_k^i})).$ $\operatorname{prox}_R(x) = \arg \min_y R(y) + \frac{1}{2} ||y - x||^2$
- large variable dimension: $x = (x^1, \dots, x^m)$, $R(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m R^i(x^i)$.
 - Async-BCD: $x_{k+1}^{i_k} = \operatorname{prox}_{\gamma_k R^{i_k}} (x_k^{i_k} \gamma_k \nabla_{i_k} f(x_{k-\tau_k}))$ number of blocks and workers can be different.

Convergence Analysis

step-size principal:
$$\gamma_k \le \max(0, \gamma' - \sum_{t=k-\tau_k}^{k-1} \gamma_t).$$
 (1)

▶ **PIAG**:
$$O(\frac{1}{\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \gamma_t})$$
, proximal-PL: $O(e^{-\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \gamma_t})$.
▶ **Async-BCD**: $O(\frac{1}{\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \gamma_t})$.

larger step-size integral $\sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \gamma_t \rightarrow \mathsf{faster}$ convergence

satisfy (1), easy to implement, bounded delay ightarrow sublinear and linear

Comparison with Fixed Step-size

bounded delay $(\tau_k \leq \tau)$, sota fixed: $\frac{\gamma'}{\tau+1}$.

worst case:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Adaptive 1: } \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \gamma_t \geq k \cdot \frac{\alpha \gamma'}{\tau+1} & \text{Adaptive 2: } \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \gamma_t \geq k \cdot \frac{\tau \gamma'}{(\tau+1)^2} \end{array} \end{array}$

on delay models:



Experiment: Logistic Regression

• problem:
$$\min \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\log(1 + e^{-b_i(a_i^T x)}) + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \|x\|^2 \right) + \lambda_1 \|x\|_1$$

for both algorithms, 8 workers, 10-core machine, 3 datasets, MPI4py.



Figure: Convergence of PIAG

Experiment: Logistic Regression



Figure: Convergence of Async-BCD

Conclusion

delay-adaptive step-size

- is implementable (delay-tracking is easy)
- can significantly accelerate algorithms (validated by theory and experiment).
- the idea of delay-adaptive parameter selection is general, applicable to other asynchronous methods