Recovery of sparse signals from a mixture of linear samples

Arya Mazumdar Soumyabrata Pal

University of Massachusetts Amherst

June 15, 2020

ICML 2020

A relationship between features and labels

x : feature and y : label.

Consider the tuple (x, y) with y = f(x):



x

Example: Music Perception



Music Perception

- Cohen 1980
- De Veaux, 1989;
- Viele and Tong, 2002

Application of Mixture of ML Models

- Multi-modal data, Heterogeneous data
- Recent Works: Stadler, Buhlmann, De Geer, 2010; Faria and Soromenho, 2010; Chaganty and Liang, 2013
- Yi, Caramanis, Sanghavi 2014-2016: Algorithms
- An expressive and rich model
- Modeling a complicated relation as a mixture of simple components
- Advantage: Clean theoretical analysis

Semi-supervised Active Learning framework: Advantages

- In this framework, we can carefully design data to query for labels.
- **Objective:** Recover the parameters of the models with minimum number of queries/samples.
- Advantage:
 - 1. Can avoid millions of parameters used by a deep learning model to fit the data!
 - 2. Learn with significantly less amount of data!
 - 3. We can use crowd-knowledge which is difficult to incorporate in algorithm.
- Crowdsourcing/ Active Learning has become very popular but is expensive (Dasgupta et. al., Freund et. al.)

Mixture of sparse linear regression

- Suppose we have two unknown distinct vectors $\beta^1, \beta^2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an oracle $\mathcal{O} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$.
- We assume that β^1, β^2 have k significant entries where k << n.
- The oracle \mathcal{O} takes input a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and return noisy output (sample) $y \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$y = \langle \boldsymbol{x}, \beta \rangle + \zeta$$

where $\beta \sim_U {\{\beta^1, \beta^2\}}$ and $\zeta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ with known σ .

• Generalization of Compressed Sensing



Mixture of sparse linear regression

• We also define the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) for a query x as:

$$\mathsf{SNR}(oldsymbol{x}) riangleq rac{\mathbb{E}|\langle oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{eta}^1 - oldsymbol{eta}^2
angle|^2}{\mathbb{E}\zeta^2} \quad ext{and} \quad \mathsf{SNR} = \max_{oldsymbol{x}}\mathsf{SNR}(oldsymbol{x})$$

• **Objective:** For each $\beta \in \{\beta^1, \beta^2\}$, we want to recover $\hat{\beta}$ such that

$$||\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}|| \leq c||\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{\beta}_{(k)}|| + \gamma$$

where $\beta_{(k)}$ is the best k-sparse approximation of β with minimum queries for a fixed SNR.

Previous and Our results

• First studied by Yin et.al. (2019) who made following assumptions

- 1. the unknown vectors are exactly k-sparse, i.e., has at most k nonzero entries;
- 2. $\beta_j^1 \neq \beta_j^2$ for each $j \in \text{supp}\beta^1 \cap \text{supp}\beta^2$
- 3. for some $\epsilon > 0$, $\beta^1, \beta^2 \in \{0, \pm \epsilon, \pm 2\epsilon, \pm 3\epsilon, \ldots\}^n$.

and showed query complexity exponential in σ/ϵ .

- Krishnamurthy et. al. (2019) removed the first two assumptions but their query complexity was still exponential in $(\sigma/\epsilon)^{2/3}$.
- We get rid of all assumptions and need a query complexity of

$$O\left(\frac{k \log n \log^2 k}{\log(\sigma \sqrt{\mathsf{SNR}}/\gamma)} \max\left(1, \frac{\sigma^4}{\gamma^4 \sqrt{\mathsf{SNR}}} + \frac{\sigma^2}{\gamma^2}\right)\right)$$

which is polynomial in σ .

Insight 1: Compressed Sensing

- 1. If $\beta^1 = \beta^2$ (single unknown vector), the objective is exactly the same as in Compressed sensing.
- 2. It is well known (Candes and Tao) that for the following $m \times n$ matrix **A** with $m = O(k \log n)$,

$$oldsymbol{A} riangleq rac{1}{\sqrt{m}} egin{bmatrix} \mathcal{N}(0,1) & \mathcal{N}(0,1) & \dots & \ dots & dots & \ddots & \ \mathcal{N}(0,1) & \dots & \mathcal{N}(0,1) \end{bmatrix}$$

using its rows as queries is sufficient in the CS setting.

3. Can we cluster the samples in our framework?

Insight 2: (Gaussian mixtures)

1. For a given $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, repeating \mathbf{x} as query to the oracle gives us samples which are distributed according to

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}(\langle \boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\beta}^1\rangle,\sigma^2)+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}(\langle \boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\beta}^2\rangle,\sigma^2).$$

2. With known σ^2 , how many samples do we need to recover $\langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^1 \rangle, \langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^2 \rangle$?

Recover means of Gaussian mixture with same & known variance

Input: Obtain samples from a mixture of Gaussians \mathcal{M} with two components

$$\mathcal{M} \triangleq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma^2).$$



Output: Return $\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2$.

EM algorithm (Daskalakis et.al. 2017, Xu et.al. 2016)

Algorithm 1 EM(\mathbf{x}, σ, T) Estimate the means $\langle \mathbf{x}, \beta^1 \rangle$, $\langle \mathbf{x}, \beta^2 \rangle$ for a query \mathbf{x} using EM algorithm

Require: An oracle \mathcal{O} which when queried with a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ returns $\langle \mathbf{x}, \beta \rangle + \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ where β is sampled uniformly from $\{\beta^1, \beta^2\}$.

1: for
$$i = 1, 2, ..., T$$
 do

2: Query the oracle \mathcal{O} with x and obtain a response y^i .

3: end for

4: Set the function $w : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ as $w(y, \mu_1, \mu_2) = e^{-(y-\mu_1)^2/2\sigma^2} \left(e^{-(y-\mu_1)^2/2\sigma^2} + e^{-(y-\mu_2)^2/2\sigma^2} \right)^{-1}$.

5: Initialize $\hat{\mu}_1^0, \hat{\mu}_2^0$ randomly and t = 0.

6: while Until Convergence do 7: $\hat{\mu}_{i}^{t+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{T} y_i w(y_i, \hat{\mu}_i^t, \hat{\mu}_2^t) / \sum_{i=1}^{T} w(y_i, \hat{\mu}_i^t, \hat{\mu}_2^t).$

 $\begin{array}{ll} & \mu_1 & -\sum_{i=1} y_i w(y_i, \mu_1, \mu_2) / \sum_{i=1} w(y_i, \mu_1, \mu_2). \\ & & \hat{\mu}_2^{t+1} = \sum_{i=1}^T y_i w(y_i, \hat{\mu}_2^t, \hat{\mu}_1^t) / \sum_{i=1}^T w(y_i, \hat{\mu}_2^t, \hat{\mu}_1^t). \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\$

11: Return $\hat{\mu}_1^t, \hat{\mu}_2^t$

Method of Moments (Hardt and Price 2015)

• Estimate the first and second central moments

Samples from the mixture

$$y^1$$
 y^2 y^3 y^4 \dots y^T

Divide into batches



• Set up system of equations to calculate $\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2$ where

$$\hat{\mu}_1 + \hat{\mu}_2 = 2\hat{M}_1, \ (\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2)^2 = 4\hat{M}_2 - 4\sigma^2$$

Fit a single Gaussian (Daskalakis et. al. 2017)

Estimate the mean \hat{M}_1 and return as both $\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2$

Samples from the mixture



Return average of first and third quartiles

How to choose which algorithm to use



We can design a test to infer the parameter regime correctly.

Stage 1: Denoising

We sample $\boldsymbol{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \boldsymbol{I}_{n \times n})$.



- For unknown permutation $\pi: \{1,2\} \to \{1,2\}, \hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2 \text{ satisfies } |\hat{\mu}_i \mu_{\pi(i)}| \leq \gamma.$
- We can show that $\mathbb{E}(T_1 + T_2) \leq O\Big((rac{\sigma^5}{\gamma^4||eta^1 eta^2||_2} + rac{\sigma^2}{\gamma^2})\log\eta^{-1}\Big)$
- We follow identical steps for $\mathbf{x}^1, \mathbf{x}^2, \dots, \mathbf{x}^m$.

Stage 2: Alignment across queries



Stage 3: Cluster & Recover

After the denoising and alignment steps, we are able to recover two vectors u and v of length m = O(k log n) each such that

$$\left| \boldsymbol{u}[i] - \langle \boldsymbol{x}^{i}, \boldsymbol{eta}^{\pi(1)}
angle
ight| \leq 10\gamma; \left| \boldsymbol{v}[i] - \langle \boldsymbol{x}^{i}, \boldsymbol{eta}^{\pi(2)}
angle
ight| \leq 10\gamma$$

for some permutation $\pi:\{1,2\}
ightarrow\{1,2\}$ for all $i\in[m]$ w.p. at least $1-\eta.$

• We now solve the following convex optimization problems to recover $\hat{\beta}^{\pi(1)}, \hat{\beta}^{\pi(2)}$.

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}^1 & \boldsymbol{x}^2 & \boldsymbol{x}^3 & \dots & \boldsymbol{x}^m \end{bmatrix}^T \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\pi(1)} = \min_{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||\boldsymbol{z}||_1 \text{ s.t. } ||\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{z} - \frac{\boldsymbol{u}}{\sqrt{m}}||_2 \le 10\gamma \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\pi(2)} = \min_{\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||\boldsymbol{z}||_1 \text{ s.t. } ||\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{z} - \frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{\sqrt{m}}||_2 \le 10\gamma$$

Simulations



Comparison of ground-truth vectors and recovered vectors

Comparison of ground-truth vectors and recovered vectors



(b) The 100-dimensional ground truth vectors β^1 and β^2 with sparsity k=5 plotted in green (left) and the recovered vectors (using Algorithm 8) $\hat{\beta}^1$ and $\hat{\beta}^2$ plotted in orange (right) using a batch-size ~ 100 for each of 150 random gaussian queries. The order of the recovered vectors and the ground truth vectors is reversed.

(c) The 100-dimensional ground truth vectors β^1 and β^2 with sparsity k = 5 plotted in green (left) and the recovered vectors (using Algorithm 8) $\hat{\beta}^1$ and $\hat{\beta}^2$ plotted in orange (right) using a batch-size ~ 600 for each of 150 random gaussian queries. The order of the recovered vectors and the ground truth vectors is reversed.

Conclusion and Future Work

- Our work removes any assumption for two unknown vectors that previous papers depended on.
- Our algorithm contains all main ingredients for extension to larger *L*. The main technical bottleneck is tight bounds in untangling Gaussian mixtures for more than two components.
- Can we handle other noise distributions?
- Lower bounds on query complexity?

