Amortized Finite Element Analysis for Fast PDE-Constrained Optimization

Tianju Xue, Alex Beatson, Sigrid Adriaenssens, Ryan P. Adams

Princeton University

ICML 2020



The Finite Element Analysis (FEA) in a Traditional Flow

Heat problem:







Can we learn from FEA?





The FEA Road Map





Amortized Finite Element Analysis (AmorFEA)

$$\min_{oldsymbol{u}\in\mathbb{R}^n}\mathcal{L}(oldsymbol{u},oldsymbol{\lambda})$$

FEA: per-control-vector optimization



$$\min_{\psi} \mathbb{E}_{p(\boldsymbol{\lambda})} [\mathcal{L}(g_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}), \boldsymbol{\lambda})]$$

AmorFEA: shared regression problem

$$g_\psi: \mathbb{R}^m o \mathbb{R}^n$$
 A neural network function parametrized by $\,\psi$



Connection to Amortized Variational Inference

	Variational Inference	Finite Element Analysis
Functional to minimize	KL divergence	potential energy
Approximate functions	Variational family of distributions	FEA basis functions

Both are variational procedures...



Connection to Amortized Variational Inference

	Amortized Variational Inference[1]	AmorFEA
Input	Observation data points	Control parameters
Output	Variational family parameters	Solutions

Both optimize over neural network parameters...



Amortization Gap

Comments:

- 1. Fast solver, jumping to the solution from parameter directly
- 2. Easy to train, no need of (expensive) supervised data
- 3. Only advantageous when problems need to be solved repeatedly
- 4. Induced error: Amortization gap (also see [1])





[1] Cremer et al. (2018)

Deployment of AmorFEA in PDE-constrained Optimization

Discretized PDE-constrained optimization

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}^m} \mathcal{J}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$$
s.t. $\boldsymbol{c}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 0,$

where $\mathcal{J}(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is the objective function $c(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the constraint function imposed by the governing PDE



Source Field Finding

$$\text{Minimize} \quad \mathcal{J}(u,\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u-u_d)^2 dx + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\Omega} \lambda^2 dx$$

Subject to
$$-\Delta u + 10(u + u^3) = \lambda$$
 in Ω ,
 $u = u_b$ on Γ ,





Source Field Finding

Compare with the adjoint method

Method	α	Optimized objective	Wall time [ms]	Iteration steps [#]
Adjoint Method	10^{-6}	3.35×10^{-4}	700	13
	10^{-3}	$2.47 imes 10^{-3}$	600	11
	1	$2.56 imes10^{-3}$	337	6
AmorFEA	10^{-6}	$3.40 imes 10^{-4}$	38	13
	10^{-3}	$2.47 imes 10^{-3}$	37	11
	1	$2.56 imes 10^{-3}$	16	7



Inverse Kinematics of a Soft Robot

Minimize
$$\mathcal{J}(u,\lambda) = \|u(x_0) - u_0)\|_2^2$$

Subject to Div
$$P(u) = 0$$
 in Ω ,
 $r(u, \lambda) = 0$ on Γ ,





Inverse Kinematics of a Soft Robot







