

Uncertainty Estimation Using a Single Deep Deterministic Neural Network

Paper ID: 4538

Joost van Amersfoort, Lewis Smith, Yee Whye Teh, Yarin Gal Email: <u>hi@joo.st</u>





DUQ - 4 min Overview

Why do we want uncertainty?

Many applications need uncertainty

- Self-driving cars
- Active Learning
- Exploration in RL



Deterministic Uncertainty Quantification (DUQ)

- A robust and powerful method to obtain uncertainty in deep learning
- Match or outperform Deep Ensembles uncertainty with the **runtime cost of a single network**
- Does not extrapolate arbitrarily and is able to detect OoD data



Deep Ensembles¹

(1) Lakshminarayanan, Balaji, Alexander Pritzel, and Charles Blundell. "Simple and scalable predictive uncertainty estimation using deep ensembles." Advances in neural information processing systems. 2017.



DUQ



The Model

- Uncertainty = distance between feature representation and closest centroid
- Deterministic, cheap to calculate
- Old idea based on RBF networks



Overview

- Use "One vs Rest" loss function to update model $f_{\theta}(x)$
- Update centroids with exponential moving average
- Regularise centroids to stay close to origin
- Need $f_{\theta}(x)$ to be well behaved \rightarrow penalty on the Jacobian



Standard RBF





Results

- Training is easy and stable
- Accuracy same as common softmax networks
- Match or outperform Deep Ensembles uncertainty with the **runtime cost of a** single network



Train on FashionMNIST Evaluate on FashionMNIST + MNIST

DUQ - Deep(er) Dive

Uncertainty Estimation

- Uncertainty estimation for classification
- Use a deep neural network for feature extraction
- Single centroid per class
- Define uncertainty as distance to closest centroid in feature space



Uncertainty Estimation

- Uncertainty estimation for classification
- Use a deep neural network for feature extraction
- Single centroid per class
- Define uncertainty as distance to closest centroid in feature space
- Deterministic and single forward pass!



DUQ - Overview

- Use "One vs Rest" loss function to update model $f_{\theta}(x)$
- Update centroids with exponential moving average
- Regularise centroids to stay close to origin
- Need $f_{\theta}(x)$ to be well behaved \rightarrow penalty on the Jacobian



Standard RBF





Learning the Model

- "One vs Rest" loss function
- Decrease distance to correct centroid, while increasing it relative to all others
- Avoids centroids collapsing on top of each other
- Regularisation avoids centroids exploding

$$K_c(f_ heta(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{e}_c) = \expigg[-rac{1}{n}\|\mathbf{W}_cf_ heta(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{e}_c\|_2^2}{2\sigma^2}igg]$$

$$L(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = -\sum_c y_c \log(K_c) + (1-y_c) \log(1-y_c) \log(1-y$$



Learning the Centroids

- Exponential distance from centroid is bad for gradient based learning
- When far away from the correct centroid, the gradient goes to zero
- No learning signal for model



Data

Learning the Centroids

- Move each centroid to the mean of the feature vector of that class
- Use exponential moving average with heavy momentum to make this work with mini-batches.



Centroid moves towards the data

$$egin{aligned} n_{c,t} &= \gamma * n_{c,t-1} + (1-\gamma) * n_{c,t} \ \mathbf{m}_{c,t} &= \gamma * \mathbf{m}_{c,t-1} + (1-\gamma) \sum_i \mathbf{W}_c f_{ heta}(\mathbf{x}_{c,t,i}) \ \mathbf{e}_{c,t} &= rac{\mathbf{m}_{c,t}}{n_{c,t}} \ \end{aligned}$$
 Set to 0.99(9)

DUQ - Overview

- Use "One vs Rest" loss function to update model $f_{\theta}(x)$
- Update centroids with exponential moving average
- Regularise centroids to stay close to origin
- Need $f_{\theta}(x)$ to be well behaved \rightarrow penalty on the Jacobian



Standard RBF





Why do we need to regularise *f*?

- Classification is at odds with being able to detect OoD input
- Is the black star OoD?
- Classification means we ignore features that don't affect the class



Stability & Sensitivity

- Two-sided gradient penalty
- From above: low Lipschitz constant commonly used
- From **below:** *sensitive* to changes in the input

 $||f(x) - f(x + \delta)|| > L$

 $\lambda \cdot \left[\left| \left| \nabla_x \sum_{c} K_c \right| \right|_2^2 - L \right]^2$

Stability & Sensitivity

- Two-sided gradient penalty
- From above: low Lipschitz constant commonly used
- From **below:** *sensitive* to changes in the input

 $||f(x) - f(x + \delta)|| > L$



DUQ - penalty from above



DUQ - two sided penalty

Results

• FashionMNIST vs MNIST Out of Distribution detection

 Rejection Classification on CIFAR-10 (training set) and SVHN (out of distribution set)

Method	AUROC
DUQ	0.955
Single model	0.843
5 - Deep Ensembles (ours)	0.861
5 - Deep Ensembles (11)	0.839
Mahalanobis Distance (ll)	0.942



Summary

- A robust and powerful method to obtain uncertainty in deep learning
- Match or outperform Deep Ensembles¹ uncertainty with the runtime cost of a single network
- No arbitrary extrapolation and able to detect OoD data





Limitations and Future Work

- multiple classes.
- there are interesting similarities to inducing point GPs with parametrised ("deep") kernels

• Aleatoric Uncertainty. DUQ is not able to estimate this. The one class per centroid system makes training stable, but does not allow assigning a data point to

• Probabilistic Framework. DUQ is not placed in a probabilistic framework, however

Come chat with us

Time slots available on ICML website - Missed us? Email at hi@joo.st



Joost van Amersfoort



Lewis Smith



Yee Whye Teh



Yarin Gal