

Restarted Bayesian Online Change-point Detector achieves Optimal Detection Delay

Reda ALAMI Joint work with Odalric Maillard and Raphael Féraud. reda.alami@total.com

Presented at ICML 2020

A *pruning* version of the Bayesian Online Change-point Detector.

A *pruning* version of the Bayesian Online Change-point Detector.
High probability guarantees in term of:

- A *pruning* version of the Bayesian Online Change-point Detector.
- High probability guarantees in term of:
 - False alarm rate.

- A *pruning* version of the Bayesian Online Change-point Detector.
- High probability guarantees in term of:
 - False alarm rate.
 - Detection delay.

- A *pruning* version of the Bayesian Online Change-point Detector.
- High probability guarantees in term of:
 - False alarm rate.
 - Detection delay.
- The detection delay is asymptotically optimal

- A *pruning* version of the Bayesian Online Change-point Detector.
- High probability guarantees in term of:
 - False alarm rate.
 - Detection delay.
- The detection delay is asymptotically optimal (reaching the existing lower bound [Lai and Xing, 2010]).

- A *pruning* version of the Bayesian Online Change-point Detector.
- High probability guarantees in term of:
 - False alarm rate.
 - Detection delay.
- The detection delay is asymptotically optimal (reaching the existing lower bound [Lai and Xing, 2010]).
- Empirical comparisons with the original BOCPD [Fearnhead and Liu, 2007]

- A *pruning* version of the Bayesian Online Change-point Detector.
- High probability guarantees in term of:
 - False alarm rate.
 - Detection delay.
- The detection delay is asymptotically optimal (reaching the existing lower bound [Lai and Xing, 2010]).
- Empirical comparisons with the original BOCPD [Fearnhead and Liu, 2007] and the Improved Generalized Likelihood Ratio test [Maillard, 2019].

▶ $\mathcal{B}(\mu_t)$: Bernoulli distribution of mean $\mu_t \in [0, 1]$.

- ► $\mathcal{B}(\mu_t)$: Bernoulli distribution of mean $\mu_t \in [0, 1]$.
- ► Piece-wise stationary process: $\forall c \in [1, C], \forall t \in \mathcal{T}_c = [\tau_c, \tau_{c+1}) \mu_t = \theta_c$

- ► $\mathcal{B}(\mu_t)$: Bernoulli distribution of mean $\mu_t \in [0, 1]$.
- ► Piece-wise stationary process: $\forall c \in [1, C], \forall t \in \mathcal{T}_c = [\tau_c, \tau_{c+1}) \mu_t = \theta_c$
- Sequence of observations:

 $\mathbf{x}_{s:t} = (x_s, \dots x_t).$

- ► $\mathcal{B}(\mu_t)$: Bernoulli distribution of mean $\mu_t \in [0, 1]$.
- ► Piece-wise stationary process: $\forall c \in [1, C], \forall t \in \mathcal{T}_c = [\tau_c, \tau_{c+1}) \mu_t = \theta_c$
- Sequence of observations: $\mathbf{x}_{s:t} = (x_s, ...x_t).$
- Length: $n_{s:t} = t s + 1$.

- ► $\mathcal{B}(\mu_t)$: Bernoulli distribution of mean $\mu_t \in [0, 1]$.
- ► Piece-wise stationary process: $\forall c \in [1, C], \forall t \in \mathcal{T}_c = [\tau_c, \tau_{c+1}) \mu_t = \theta_c$
- Sequence of observations: $\mathbf{x}_{s:t} = (x_s, ...x_t).$
- Length: $n_{s:t} = t s + 1$.



Runlength inference

Runlength inference

Runlength inference

Runlength inference

Runlength r_t : number of time steps since the last change-point.

$$\forall r_t \in [0, t-1] \underbrace{p\left(r_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}\right)}_{\text{Runlength distribution at } t} \propto \sum_{\substack{r_{t-1} \in [0, t-2] \\ \text{hazard}}} \underbrace{p\left(r_t | r_{t-1}\right)}_{\text{UPM}} \underbrace{p\left(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\right)}_{\text{UPM}} p\left(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\right)$$

Runlength inference

Runlength inference

Runlength r_t : number of time steps since the last change-point.

$$\forall r_t \in [0, t-1] \underbrace{p\left(r_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}\right)}_{\text{Runlength distribution at } t} \propto \sum_{r_{t-1} \in [0, t-2]} \underbrace{p\left(r_t | r_{t-1}\right)}_{\text{hazard}} \underbrace{p\left(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\right)}_{\text{UPM}} p\left(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\right)$$

Constant hazard rate assumption ($h \in (0,1)$) (geometric inter-arrival time of change-point):

Runlength inference

Runlength inference

Runlength r_t : number of time steps since the last change-point.

$$\forall r_t \in [0, t-1] \underbrace{p\left(r_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}\right)}_{\text{Runlength distribution at } t} \propto \sum_{r_{t-1} \in [0, t-2]} \underbrace{p\left(r_t | r_{t-1}\right)}_{\text{hazard}} \underbrace{p\left(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\right)}_{\text{UPM}} p\left(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\right) \\ \text{Constant hazard rate assumption } (h \in (0, 1)) \text{ (geometric inter-arrival time of change-point):} \\ \begin{cases} p(r_t = r_{t-1} + 1 | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}) & \propto (1-h) p(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) p(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) \\ p(r_t = 0 | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}) & \propto h \sum_{r_{t-1}} p(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) p(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) \end{cases}$$

Runlength inference

Runlength inference

Runlength r_t : number of time steps since the last change-point.

$$\forall r_t \in [0, t-1] \underbrace{p\left(r_t | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}\right)}_{\text{Runlength distribution at } t} \propto \sum_{r_{t-1} \in [0, t-2]} \underbrace{p\left(r_t | r_{t-1}\right)}_{\text{hazard}} \underbrace{p\left(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\right)}_{\text{UPM}} p\left(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}\right) \\ \text{Constant hazard rate assumption } (h \in (0, 1)) \text{ (geometric inter-arrival time of change-point):} \\ \begin{cases} p(r_t = r_{t-1} + 1 | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}) & \propto (1-h) p(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) p(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) \\ p(r_t = 0 | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}) & \propto h \sum_{r_{t-1}} p(x_t | r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) p(r_{t-1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) \end{cases}$$

 $p(x_t|r_{t-1}, \mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}) \text{ is computed via the Laplace predictor as MLE:}$ $Lp(x_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_{s:t}) := \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{i=s}^{t} x_i + 1}{n_{s:t} + 2} & \text{if } x_{t+1} = 1\\ \frac{\sum_{i=s}^{t} (1-x_i) + 1}{n_{s:t} + 2} & \text{if } x_{t+1} = 0 \end{cases}$

4/14

Forecaster Learning

Forecaster Learning

Instead of runlength $r_t \in [0, t-1]$, use the forecaster notion. Forecaster weight: $\forall s \in [1, t] \ v_{s,t} := p(r_t = t - s | \mathbf{x}_{s:t})$

Forecaster Learning

Forecaster Learning

Instead of runlength $r_t \in [0, t-1]$, use the forecaster notion. Forecaster weight: $\forall s \in [1, t] \ v_{s,t} := p(r_t = t - s | \mathbf{x}_{s:t})$

$$v_{s,t} = \begin{cases} (1-h) \exp((-l_{s,t}) v_{s,t-1} & \forall s < t \\ h \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \exp((-l_{i,t}) v_{i,t-1} & s = t \end{cases}$$

Forecaster Learning

Forecaster Learning

Instead of runlength $r_t \in [0, t-1]$, use the forecaster notion. Forecaster weight: $\forall s \in [1, t] \ v_{s,t} := p(r_t = t - s | \mathbf{x}_{s:t})$

$$v_{s,t} = \begin{cases} (1-h) \exp((-l_{s,t}) v_{s,t-1} & \forall s < t \\ h \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \exp((-l_{i,t}) v_{i,t-1} & s = t \end{cases}$$

Instantaneous loss: $l_{s,t} := -\log \operatorname{Lp}(x_t | \mathbf{x}_{s':t-1}).$

Forecaster Learning

Forecaster Learning

Instead of runlength $r_t \in [0, t-1]$, use the forecaster notion. Forecaster weight: $\forall s \in [1, t] \ v_{s,t} := p(r_t = t - s | \mathbf{x}_{s:t})$

$$v_{s,t} = \begin{cases} (1-h)\exp\left(-l_{s,t}\right)v_{s,t-1} & \forall s < t, \\ h\sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\exp\left(-l_{i,t}\right)v_{i,t-1} & s = t. \end{cases} \quad v_{s,t} = \begin{cases} (1-h)^{n_{s:t}} h^{\mathbb{I}\{s\neq1\}}\exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{s:t}\right)V_s & \forall s < t \\ hV_t & s = t. \end{cases}$$

Instantaneous loss: $l_{s,t} := -\log \operatorname{Lp}(x_t | \mathbf{x}_{s':t-1}).$

Forecaster Learning

Forecaster Learning

Instead of runlength $r_t \in [0, t-1]$, use the forecaster notion. Forecaster weight: $\forall s \in [1, t] \ v_{s,t} := p(r_t = t - s | \mathbf{x}_{s:t})$

$$v_{s,t} = \begin{cases} (1-h)\exp(-l_{s,t})v_{s,t-1} & \forall s < t, \\ h\sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\exp(-l_{i,t})v_{i,t-1} & s = t. \end{cases} \quad v_{s,t} = \begin{cases} (1-h)^{n_{s:t}}h^{\mathbb{I}\{s \neq 1\}}\exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{s:t}\right)V_s & \forall s < t \\ hV_t & s = t. \end{cases}$$

Instantaneous loss: $l_{s,t} := -\log \operatorname{Lp}(x_t | \mathbf{x}_{s':t-1})$. $\widehat{L}_{s:t} := \sum_{s'=s}^{t} l_{s,t}$: cumulative loss and $V_t = \sum_{s=1}^{t} v_{s,t}$

.

$$V_t = (1-h)^{t-2} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{h}{1-h}\right)^{k-1} \tilde{V}_{k:t},$$

$$\begin{split} V_t &= (1-h)^{t-2} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{h}{1-h}\right)^{k-1} \tilde{V}_{k:t}, \textit{where:} \\ \tilde{V}_{k:t} &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{t-k} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{t-(k-1)} \dots \sum_{i_{k-1}=i_{k-2}+1}^{t-2} \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{1:i_1}\right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{i_j+1:i_{j+1}}\right) \times \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{i_{k-1}+1:t-1}\right), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} V_t &= (1-h)^{t-2} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{h}{1-h}\right)^{k-1} \tilde{V}_{k:t}, \textit{where:} \\ \tilde{V}_{k:t} &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{t-k} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{t-(k-1)} \dots \sum_{i_{k-1}=i_{k-2}+1}^{t-2} \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{1:i_1}\right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{i_j+1:i_{j+1}}\right) \times \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{i_{k-1}+1:t-1}\right), \\ \textit{with:} \sum_{i_1=1}^{t-k} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{t-(k-1)} \dots \sum_{i_{k-1}=i_{k-2}+1}^{t-2} 1 = \binom{t-2}{k-1} \quad . \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} V_t &= (1-h)^{t-2} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{h}{1-h}\right)^{k-1} \tilde{V}_{k:t}, \textit{where:} \\ \tilde{V}_{k:t} &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{t-k} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{t-(k-1)} \dots \sum_{i_{k-1}=i_{k-2}+1}^{t-2} \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{1:i_1}\right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{i_j+1:i_{j+1}}\right) \times \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{i_{k-1}+1:t-1}\right), \\ \textit{with:} \sum_{i_1=1}^{t-k} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{t-(k-1)} \dots \sum_{i_{k-1}=i_{k-2}+1}^{t-2} 1 = \binom{t-2}{k-1} \textit{ and } \widehat{L}_{s:t} := \sum_{s'=s}^{t} l_{s,t}. \end{split}$$

Lemma (Computing the initial weight V_t)

$$\begin{split} V_t &= (1-h)^{t-2} \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{h}{1-h}\right)^{k-1} \tilde{V}_{k:t}, \textit{where:} \\ \tilde{V}_{k:t} &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{t-k} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{t-(k-1)} \dots \sum_{i_{k-1}=i_{k-2}+1}^{t-2} \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{1:i_1}\right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{i_j+1:i_{j+1}}\right) \times \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{i_{k-1}+1:t-1}\right), \\ \textit{with:} \sum_{i_1=1}^{t-k} \sum_{i_2=i_1+1}^{t-(k-1)} \dots \sum_{i_{k-1}=i_{k-2}+1}^{t-2} 1 = \binom{t-2}{k-1} \textit{ and } \widehat{L}_{s:t} := \sum_{s'=s}^{t} l_{s,t}. \end{split}$$

Combinatorial number of cumulative losses: very difficult to use classical concentrations.

Some modifications of BOCPD to tackle the theoretical difficulty.

• Restart time $r \ge 0$ (updated for each time a change-point is raised).

- Restart time $r \ge 0$ (updated for each time a change-point is raised).
- Initial weight function: $\mathcal{V}_{r:t-1} := \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{r:t-1}\right)$ instead of V_t .

- Restart time $r \ge 0$ (updated for each time a change-point is raised).
- Initial weight function: $\mathcal{V}_{r:t-1} := \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{r:t-1}\right)$ instead of V_t .
- Hyper-parameter $\eta_{r,s,t}$ instead of the hazard rate $h \in (0,1)$.

- Restart time $r \ge 0$ (updated for each time a change-point is raised).
- Initial weight function: $\mathcal{V}_{r:t-1} := \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{r:t-1}\right)$ instead of V_t .
- Hyper-parameter $\eta_{r,s,t}$ instead of the hazard rate $h \in (0,1)$.
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Restart criterion: } \mathbb{R}\texttt{estart}_{r:t} = \mathbb{I}\big\{ \exists s \in (r,t] : \vartheta_{r,s,t} > \vartheta_{r,r,t} \big\}.$

Some modifications of BOCPD to tackle the theoretical difficulty.

- Restart time $r \ge 0$ (updated for each time a change-point is raised).
- Initial weight function: $\mathcal{V}_{r:t-1} := \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{r:t-1}\right)$ instead of V_t .
- ▶ Hyper-parameter $\eta_{r,s,t}$ instead of the hazard rate $h \in (0,1)$.
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Restart criterion: } \mathbb{R}\texttt{estart}_{r:t} = \mathbb{I}\big\{ \exists s \in (r,t] : \vartheta_{r,s,t} > \vartheta_{r,r,t} \big\}.$

R-BOCPD update rule

For some starting time *r*:

$$\vartheta_{r,s,t} \leftarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\eta_{r,s,t}}{\eta_{r,s,t-1}} \exp\left(-l_{s,t}\right) \vartheta_{r,s,t-1} & \forall s < t, \\ \eta_{r,t,t} \times \mathcal{V}_{r:t-1} & s = t. \end{cases}$$

Some modifications of BOCPD to tackle the theoretical difficulty.

- Restart time r >= 0 (updated for each time a change-point is raised).
- ▶ Initial weight function: $V_{r:t-1} := \exp\left(-\widehat{L}_{r:t-1}\right)$ instead of V_t .
- ▶ Hyper-parameter $\eta_{r,s,t}$ instead of the hazard rate $h \in (0,1)$.
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Restart criterion: } \mathbb{R}\texttt{estart}_{r:t} = \mathbb{I}\big\{ \exists s \in (r,t] : \vartheta_{r,s,t} > \vartheta_{r,r,t} \big\}.$

R-BOCPD update rule

For some starting time *r*:

$$\vartheta_{r,s,t} \leftarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\eta_{r,s,t}}{\eta_{r,s,t-1}} \exp\left(-l_{s,t}\right) \vartheta_{r,s,t-1} & \forall s < t, \\ \eta_{r,t,t} \times \mathcal{V}_{r:t-1} & s = t. \end{cases}$$

Recall BOCPD update rule

$$v_{s,t} \leftarrow \begin{cases} (1-h) \exp\left(-l_{s,t}\right) v_{s,t-1} & \forall s < t, \\ h \times V_t & s = t. \end{cases}$$

False alarm control

Theorem: False alarm rate control

Assume that $(x_r, ... x_t) \sim \mathcal{B}(\theta)$. Let: $\alpha > 1$. If:

$$\forall t \in [r, \tau), s \in (r, t] : \eta_{r, s, t} < \frac{\sqrt{n_{r:s-1} \times n_{s:t}}}{10n_{r:t+1}} \left(\frac{\log(4\alpha + 2)\delta^2}{4n_{r:t}\log((\alpha + 3)n_{r:t})}\right)^{\alpha}$$

then, with probability higher than $1 - \delta$, no false alarm occurs on the interval $[r, \tau)$:

$$orall \delta \in (0,1) \quad \mathbb{P}_{ heta} \Big\{ \exists \, t \in [r, au) : \mathtt{Restart}_{r:t} = 1 \Big\} \leqslant \delta.$$

For
$$\alpha \approx 1$$
, $\eta_{r,s,t} = O\left(\frac{1}{t-r+1}\right)$

Detection delay control

Theorem: Detection delay control

Let $(x_r, ...x_{\tau-1}) \sim \mathcal{B}(\theta_1)$, $(x_\tau, ...x_t) \sim \mathcal{B}(\theta_2)$ and $\Delta = |\theta_1 - \theta_2|$: the change-point gap. Then, let: $f_{r,s,t} = \log n_{r:s} + \log n_{s:t+1} - \frac{1}{2} \log n_{r:t} + \frac{9}{8}$. If $\eta_{r,s,t} > \exp \left(-2n_{r,s-1} \left(\Delta_{r,s,t} - \mathcal{C}_{r,s,t,\delta}\right)^2 + f_{r,s,t}\right)$, then, the change-point τ is detected (with a probability at least $1 - \delta$) with a delay not exceeding $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta,r,\tau}$, such that:

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta,r,\tau} = \min\left\{ d \in \mathbb{N}^{\star} : d > \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{C}_{r,\tau,d+\tau-1,\delta}}{\Delta}\right)^{-2}}{2\Delta^{2}} \times \frac{-\log \eta_{r,\tau,d+\tau-1} + f_{r,\tau,d+\tau-1}}{1 + \frac{\log \eta_{r,\tau,d+\tau-1} - f_{r,\tau,d+\tau-1}}{2n_{r,\tau-1} \left(\Delta - \mathcal{C}_{r,\tau,d+\tau-1,\delta}\right)^{2}} \right\},$$

with: $\mathcal{C}_{r,s,t,\delta} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1 + \frac{1}{n_{r:s-1}}}{n_{r:s-1}}} \log\left(\frac{2\sqrt{n_{r:s}}}{\delta}\right)} + \sqrt{\frac{1 + \frac{1}{n_{s:t}}}{n_{s:t}}} \log\left(\frac{2n_{r:t}\sqrt{n_{s:t}+1}\log^{2}(n_{r:t})}{\log(2)\delta}\right)} \right).$

$$\eta_{r,s,t} = \Omega\left(\exp(-n_{r,s,t})\right) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{r,s,t,\delta} = O\left(\sqrt{\log\left(n_{r:s}/\delta\right)/n_{r:s-1}} + \sqrt{\log\left(n_{s:t+1}/\delta\right)/n_{s:t}}\right)$$

9/14

Asymptotic analysis of the Detection delay

Asymptotic analysis of the Detection delay



10/14

Asymptotic analysis of the Detection delay



Asymptotic Analysis 2500 if $\eta_{r,s,t} = \frac{1}{t-r+1}$, then in the asymptotic 2000 regime: $\mathfrak{D}_{|\theta_2-\theta_1|,r,\tau} \xrightarrow[\tau \to \infty]{} \frac{o\left(\log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)}{2\left|\theta_2-\theta_1\right|^2}$ 1500 1000 500

Asymptotic analysis of the Detection delay



Asymptotic Analysis 2500 if $\eta_{r,s,t} = \frac{1}{t-r+1}$, then in the asymptotic 2000 regime: $\mathfrak{D}_{|\theta_2 - \theta_1|, r, \tau} \xrightarrow[\tau \to \infty]{} \frac{o\left(\log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)}{2 \left|\theta_2 - \theta_1\right|^2}$ 1500 $= O\left(\frac{o\left(\log\frac{1}{\delta}\right)}{\mathbf{K}\mathbf{L}\left(\theta_{2},\theta_{1}\right)}\right)$ 1000 500

Asymptotic analysis of the Detection delay



Asymptotic Analysis if $\eta_{r,s,t} = \frac{1}{t-r+1}$, then in the asymptotic regime: $\mathfrak{D}_{|\theta_2 - \theta_1|, r, \tau} \xrightarrow[\tau \to \infty]{} \frac{o\left(\log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)}{2 \left|\theta_2 - \theta_1\right|^2}$ $= O\left(\frac{o\left(\log\frac{1}{\delta}\right)}{\mathbf{KL}\left(\theta_{2},\theta_{1}\right)}\right)$ Existing lower bound [Lai and Xing, 2010].

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 1

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 1

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 1

Benchmark 1: Highlighting the use of the function $\mathcal{V}_{r:t-1}$ instead of V_t

• Generate 2500 trajectories (sequences) of length T = 5000.

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 1

- Generate 2500 trajectories (sequences) of length T = 5000.
- ► Vary the number of observation before the change in [10, 1000].

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 1

- Generate 2500 trajectories (sequences) of length T = 5000.
- ► Vary the number of observation before the change in [10, 1000].
- Vary the change-point gap Δ in [0.01, 1].

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 1

- Generate 2500 trajectories (sequences) of length T = 5000.
- ► Vary the number of observation before the change in [10, 1000].
- Vary the change-point gap Δ in [0.01, 1].
- Plot detection delays differences between R-BOCPD and BOCPD.

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 1

- Generate 2500 trajectories (sequences) of length T = 5000.
- ► Vary the number of observation before the change in [10, 1000].
- Vary the change-point gap Δ in [0.01, 1].
- Plot detection delays differences between R-BOCPD and BOCPD.



Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 2

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 2

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 2

Benchmark 2: Highlighting the use of the restart procedure $\texttt{Restart}_{r:t}$

Piece-wise stationary Bernoulli process $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = 301, \tau_3 = 701, \tau_4 = 1051.$

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 2

- Piece-wise stationary Bernoulli process $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = 301, \tau_3 = 701, \tau_4 = 1051.$
- ▶ Run R-BOCPD and BOCPD.

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 2

- Piece-wise stationary Bernoulli process $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = 301, \tau_3 = 701, \tau_4 = 1051.$
- ▶ Run R-BOCPD and BOCPD.
- Plot the change-point estimation $\hat{\tau}_t$ for both R-BOCPD and BOCPD.

Comparison with the original BOCPD: Benchmark 2

- Piece-wise stationary Bernoulli process $\tau_1 = 1, \tau_2 = 301, \tau_3 = 701, \tau_4 = 1051.$
- Run R-BOCPD and BOCPD.
- Plot the change-point estimation $\hat{\tau}_t$ for both R-BOCPD and BOCPD.



Comparison with the Improved GLR [Maillard, 2019]

Comparison with the Improved GLR [Maillard, 2019]

Improved GLR final formulation

$$\mathrm{IMPGLR}_{\delta}\left(y_{1},...,y_{t}\right) = \mathbb{I}\left\{\exists s \in [1,t): \left|\frac{1}{s}\sum_{i=1}^{s}y_{i} - \frac{1}{t-s}\sum_{i=s+1}^{t}y_{i}\right| \geq \mathscr{C}_{\delta,s,t}\right\}$$

Comparison with the Improved GLR [Maillard, 2019]

Improved GLR final formulation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IMPGLR}_{\delta}\left(y_{1},...,y_{t}\right) &= \mathbb{I}\left\{\exists s \in [1,t) : \left|\frac{1}{s}\sum_{i=1}^{s}y_{i} - \frac{1}{t-s}\sum_{i=s+1}^{t}y_{i}\right| \geq \mathscr{C}_{\delta,s,t}\right\} \\ \mathscr{C}_{\delta,s,t} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{s^{2}}\log\left(\frac{2\sqrt{s+1}}{\delta}\right)} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{t-s} + \frac{1}{(t-s)^{2}}\log\left(\frac{2t\sqrt{t-s+1}\log^{2}(t)}{\log(2)\delta}\right)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Comparison with the Improved GLR [Maillard, 2019]

Comparison with the Improved GLR [Maillard, 2019]

Benchmark:

Comparison with the Improved GLR [Maillard, 2019]

Benchmark:

• Generate 2500 trajectories (sequences) of length T = 2500.

Comparison with the Improved GLR [Maillard, 2019]

Benchmark :

- Generate 2500 trajectories (sequences) of length T = 2500.
- ► Vary the number of observation before the change in [10, 500].

Comparison with the Improved GLR [Maillard, 2019]

Benchmark :

- Generate 2500 trajectories (sequences) of length T = 2500.
- ► Vary the number of observation before the change in [10, 500].
- Vary the change-point gap $\Delta \in [0.01, 1]$.
- Plot the difference of detection delays between R-BOCPD and Improved GLR.

Comparison with the Improved GLR [Maillard, 2019]

Benchmark:

- Generate 2500 trajectories (sequences) of length T = 2500.
- ► Vary the number of observation before the change in [10, 500].
- Vary the change-point gap $\Delta \in [0.01, 1]$.
- Plot the difference of detection delays between R-BOCPD and Improved GLR.



Fearnhead, P. and Liu, Z. (2007).

On-line inference for multiple changepoint problems.

Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology), 69(4):589–605.

Lai, T. L. and Xing, H. (2010).

Sequential change-point detection when the pre-and post-change parameters are unknown.

Sequential analysis, 29(2):162–175.

Maillard, O.-A. (2019).

Sequential change-point detection: Laplace concentration of scan statistics and non-asymptotic delay bounds.

In Algorithmic Learning Theory, pages 610–632.