

Mathematical Institute

Bayesian Learning from Sequential Data using Gaussian Processes with Signature Covariances

CSABA TOTH JOINT WORK WITH HARALD OBERHAUSER Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford

International Conference on Machine Learning, July 2020

Oxford Mathematics

 Purpose of this work

1. Define a Gaussian process (GP) [6] over sequences/time series

Purpose of this work

1. Define a Gaussian process (GP) [6] over sequences/time series

3/62

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

► To model of functions of sequences $\{\text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}\}$ $(f_x)_{x \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(\cdot), k(\cdot, \cdot))$

Purpose of this work

1. Define a Gaussian process (GP) [6] over sequences/time series

► To model of functions of sequences
$$\{\text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}\}$$

 $(f_x)_{x \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(\cdot), k(\cdot, \cdot))$

Find a suitable covariance kernel

 $\mathsf{k}:\mathsf{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)\times\mathsf{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to\mathbb{R}$

Purpose of this work

1. Define a Gaussian process (GP) [6] over sequences/time series

► To model of functions of sequences
$${Seq(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}}$$

 $(f_x)_{x \in Seq(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(\cdot), k(\cdot, \cdot))$

Find a suitable covariance kernel

 k : Seq(ℝ^d) × Seq(ℝ^d) → ℝ

 Seq(ℝ^d) := {(x_{t1},...,x_{tL}) | (t_i,x_{ti}) ∈ ℝ₊ × ℝ^d, L ∈ ℕ}

5/62

Purpose of this work

1. Define a Gaussian process (GP) [6] over sequences/time series

► To model of functions of sequences
$$\{\text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}\}$$

 $(f_x)_{x \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(\cdot), k(\cdot, \cdot))$

Find a suitable covariance kernel

 $\mathsf{k}:\mathsf{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)\times\mathsf{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to\mathbb{R}$

► Seq(\mathbb{R}^d) := {($\mathbf{x}_{t_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{t_L}$) | (t_i, \mathbf{x}_{t_i}) $\in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, L \in \mathbb{N}$ }

2. Develop an efficient inference framework

Purpose of this work

1. Define a Gaussian process (GP) [6] over sequences/time series

► To model of functions of sequences
$$\{\text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}\}$$

 $(f_x)_{x \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(\cdot), k(\cdot, \cdot))$

Find a suitable covariance kernel

$$\mathsf{k}:\mathsf{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)\times\mathsf{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to\mathbb{R}$$

7/62

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{ (\mathbf{x}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t_L}) \mid (t_i, \mathbf{x}_{t_i}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, L \in \mathbb{N} \}$

- 2. Develop an efficient inference framework
 - Standard challenges: intractable posteriors, O(N³) scaling in training data

Purpose of this work

1. Define a Gaussian process (GP) [6] over sequences/time series

► To model of functions of sequences
$$\{\text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}\}$$

 $(f_x)_{x \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(\cdot), k(\cdot, \cdot))$

Find a suitable covariance kernel

$$\mathsf{k}:\mathsf{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)\times\mathsf{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)\to\mathbb{R}$$

► Seq(\mathbb{R}^d) := {($\mathbf{x}_{t_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{t_L}$) | (t_i, \mathbf{x}_{t_i}) $\in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d, L \in \mathbb{N}$ }

- 2. Develop an efficient inference framework
 - Standard challenges: intractable posteriors, O(N³) scaling in training data
 - Additional challenge: potentially very high dimensional inputs (long sequences)



Suitable feature map? Signatures from stochastic analysis [2]!



Suitable feature map? Signatures from stochastic analysis [2]! Can be used to transform vector-kernels into sequence-kernels Suitable feature map? Signatures from stochastic analysis [2]! Can be used to transform vector-kernels into sequence-kernels $\kappa : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ a kernel for vector-valued data

11/62

Suitable feature map? Signatures from stochastic analysis [2]!

Can be used to transform vector-kernels into sequence-kernels

- $\blacktriangleright \ \kappa: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ a kernel for vector-valued data
- ▶ [4] used signatures to define the kernel for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathsf{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\mathsf{k}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} \sigma_m^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_m \le L_{\mathbf{x}} \\ 1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_m \le L_{\mathbf{y}}}} c(\mathbf{i}) c(\mathbf{j}) \prod_{l=1}^{m} \Delta_{i_l, j_l} \kappa(\mathbf{x}_{i_l}, \mathbf{y}_{j_l})$$

for some explicitly given constants $c(i_1, \ldots, i_m), c(j_1, \ldots, j_m)$ $\Delta_{i,j}\kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j) = \kappa(\mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_{j+1}) - \kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_{j+1}) - \kappa(\mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_j) + \kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)$

12/62

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Suitable feature map? Signatures from stochastic analysis [2]!

Can be used to transform vector-kernels into sequence-kernels

- $\blacktriangleright \ \kappa: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ a kernel for vector-valued data
- ▶ [4] used signatures to define the kernel for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathsf{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\mathsf{k}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} \sigma_m^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_m \le L_{\mathbf{x}} \\ 1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_m \le L_{\mathbf{y}}}} c(\mathbf{i}) c(\mathbf{j}) \prod_{l=1}^{m} \Delta_{i_l, j_l} \kappa(\mathbf{x}_{i_l}, \mathbf{y}_{j_l})$$

for some explicitly given constants $c(i_1, \ldots, i_m), c(j_1, \ldots, j_m)$ $\Delta_{i,j}\kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j) = \kappa(\mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_{j+1}) - \kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_{j+1}) - \kappa(\mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \mathbf{y}_j) + \kappa(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)$ Strong theoretical properties!

> 13/62 《 다 › 《 큔 › 《 콘 › 《 콘 › 《 콘 › 《 콘 › 《 관



Our contributions

Bringing GPs and signatures together (+analysis)





Our contributions

Bringing GPs and signatures together (+analysis)
 Developing a tractable, efficient inference scheme





Our contributions

Bringing GPs and signatures together (+analysis)
 Developing a tractable, efficient inference scheme

1. Sparse VI [3]: non-conjugacy, large $N \in \mathbb{N}$

Our contributions

- Bringing GPs and signatures together (+analysis)
- Developing a tractable, efficient inference scheme
 - 1. Sparse VI [3]: non-conjugacy, large $N \in \mathbb{N}$
 - 2. Inter-domain inducing points: long sequences (sup_{$x \in X$} L_x large)

17/62

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Our contributions

- Bringing GPs and signatures together (+analysis)
- Developing a tractable, efficient inference scheme
 - 1. Sparse VI [3]: non-conjugacy, large $N \in \mathbb{N}$
 - 2. Inter-domain inducing points: long sequences (sup_{$x \in X$} L_x large)
- GPflow implementation, thorough experimental evaluation

4/1 1







Signatures are defined on continuous time objects, paths

$$\blacktriangleright \mathsf{Paths}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \, | \, \mathbf{x}_0 = 0, \|\mathbf{x}\|_{bv} < +\infty \right\}$$

Signatures are defined on continuous time objects, paths

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ Paths}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \mid \mathbf{x}_0 = 0, \|\mathbf{x}\|_{bv} < +\infty \right\}$$

21/62

$$\Phi_m(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m < T} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{t_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{t_m} dt_1 \dots dt_m$$

Signatures are defined on continuous time objects, paths

► Paths(
$$\mathbb{R}^d$$
) = { $\mathbf{x} \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) | \mathbf{x}_0 = 0, ||\mathbf{x}||_{bv} < +\infty$ }
Φ_m(\mathbf{x}) = $\int_{0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m < T} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{t_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{t_m} dt_1 \dots dt_m$
Φ_m(\mathbf{x}) $\in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes m}$ is what is known as a tensor of degree $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Signatures are defined on continuous time objects, paths

Paths(
$$\mathbb{R}^d$$
) = { $\mathbf{x} \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) | \mathbf{x}_0 = 0, ||\mathbf{x}||_{bv} < +\infty$ }
 $\Phi_m(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m < T} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{t_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{t_m} dt_1 \dots dt_m$
 $\Phi_m(\mathbf{x}) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes m}$ is what is known as a tensor of degree $m \in \mathbb{N}$
 $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = (\Phi_m(\mathbf{x}))_{m \ge 0}$ is an infinite collection of tensors with increasing degrees

23/62 《 ㅁ ▷ 《 큔 ▷ 《 흔 ▷ 《 흔 ▷ 《 흔 ▷ 《 은

Signatures are defined on continuous time objects, paths

Paths(
$$\mathbb{R}^d$$
) = { $\mathbf{x} \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) | \mathbf{x}_0 = 0, ||\mathbf{x}||_{bv} < +\infty$ }
 $\Phi_m(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m < T} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{t_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{t_m} dt_1 \dots dt_m$
 $\Phi_m(\mathbf{x}) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes m}$ is what is known as a tensor of degree $m \in \mathbb{N}$
 $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = (\Phi_m(\mathbf{x}))_{m \ge 0}$ is an infinite collection of tensors with increasing degrees

A generalization of polynomials for vector-valued data to paths (and sequences!)

24/62

Sequences as paths $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t_L}) \in \mathsf{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

25/62 《 ㅁ ▷ 《 큔 ▷ 《 흔 ▷ 《 흔 ▷ 《 은

Sequences as paths $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t_L}) \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ Define a mapping $\text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Paths}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Sequences as paths $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t_L}) \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ Define a mapping $\text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Paths}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ Straightforward choice? Linear interpolation! $t \mapsto (t_{i+1} - t_i)^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_{t_i}(t_{i+1} - t) + \mathbf{x}_{t_{i+1}}(t - t_i) \text{ for } t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$

27/62

Sequences as paths $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{t_L}) \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ Define a mapping Seq(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow Paths(\mathbb{R}^d) Straightforward choice? Linear interpolation!

$$t\mapsto (t_{i+1}-t_i)^{-1}({\sf x}_{t_i}(t_{i+1}-t)+{\sf x}_{t_{i+1}}(t-t_i) ext{ for } t\in [t_i,t_{i+1})$$







continuous time treatment of sequences





- continuous time treatment of sequences
- same feature space for sequences of different length





- continuous time treatment of sequences
- same feature space for sequences of different length
- universally approximates functions of sequences (paths)

32/62

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ



- continuous time treatment of sequences
- same feature space for sequences of different length
- universally approximates functions of sequences (paths)

33/62

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

learn the extent of parametrization (in)variance





Paths can be broken down into two constituents:





Paths can be broken down into two constituents:







Paths can be broken down into two constituents:

37/62

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

- trajectory
- parametrization



Paths can be broken down into two constituents:

- trajectory
- parametrization

Trajectory: an ordered collection of points the path crosses



Paths can be broken down into two constituents:

- trajectory
- parametrization

Trajectory: an ordered collection of points the path crosses Parametrization: the speed at which the trajectory is traversed

39/62



Paths can be broken down into two constituents:

- trajectory
- parametrization

Trajectory: an ordered collection of points the path crosses Parametrization: the speed at which the trajectory is traversed Parametrization invariance: only takes the trajectory into account, but factors out the parametrization

40/62

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・



Parametrization invariance: an illustration



Parametrization invariance: an illustration







Compare sequences of different length (same feature space)





- Compare sequences of different length (same feature space)
- Approximate functions of sequences (universality)



- Compare sequences of different length (same feature space)
- Approximate functions of sequences (universality)
- Learn functions of sequences that depend only on the trajectory (parametrization invariance)



- Compare sequences of different length (same feature space)
- Approximate functions of sequences (universality)
- Learn functions of sequences that depend only on the trajectory (parametrization invariance)
- Deal with irregularly sampled time series (parametrization invariance)

47/62

What the signature can do for you

- Compare sequences of different length (same feature space)
- Approximate functions of sequences (universality)
- Learn functions of sequences that depend only on the trajectory (parametrization invariance)
- Deal with irregularly sampled time series (parametrization invariance)

48/62

Deal with high-dimensional sequences (kernelization)

What the signature can do for you

- Compare sequences of different length (same feature space)
- Approximate functions of sequences (universality)
- Learn functions of sequences that depend only on the trajectory (parametrization invariance)
- Deal with irregularly sampled time series (parametrization invariance)
- Deal with high-dimensional sequences (kernelization)
- +1: Learn degree of smoothness by choice of base kernel, e.g. RBF, Matérn (kernelization)

49/62

Take away. signature features have many attractive properties for modelling sequences, and they can be kernelized to define Gaussian processes over sequences and paths

4.6 •

2

Compared GPs with signature covariances on 16 multivariate TSC datasets against baselines:

Compared GPs with signature covariances on 16 multivariate TSC datasets against baselines:

Recurrent deep kernels (LSTM, GRU) [1]

Compared GPs with signature covariances on 16 multivariate TSC datasets against baselines:

- Recurrent deep kernels (LSTM, GRU) [1]
- Convolutional kernels [5]

Compared GPs with signature covariances on 16 multivariate TSC datasets against baselines:

- Recurrent deep kernels (LSTM, GRU) [1]
- Convolutional kernels [5]

GPs with signatures consistently performed well, while alternatives did good on some datasets, but very poorly on others

54/62

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Compared GPs with signature covariances on 16 multivariate TSC datasets against baselines:

- Recurrent deep kernels (LSTM, GRU) [1]
- Convolutional kernels [5]

GPs with signatures consistently performed well, while alternatives did good on some datasets, but very poorly on others



Figure: Box-plots of misclassification errors and negative log-predictive probabilities (NLPP) on 16 multivariate time series classification datasets

(a)

Signatures are an exciting new way of modelling sequential data

56/62 《 ㅁ ▷ 《 圊 ▷ 《 볼 ▷ 《 볼 ▷ 옷 ᠿ

Signatures are an exciting new way of modelling sequential data

Feature extraction

- Rough paths, Signatures and the modelling of functions on streams, arXiv:1405.4537, 2014.
- A Primer on the Signature Method in Machine Learning, arXiv:1603.03788, 2016.
- ► A Generalised Signature Method for Time Series, arXiv:2006.00873, 2020.

57/62

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Signatures are an exciting new way of modelling sequential data

Feature extraction

- Rough paths, Signatures and the modelling of functions on streams, arXiv:1405.4537, 2014.
- A Primer on the Signature Method in Machine Learning, arXiv:1603.03788, 2016.
- ► A Generalised Signature Method for Time Series, arXiv:2006.00873, 2020.

Nonparametric methods

- Kernels for sequentially ordered data, Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2019.
- Signature moments to characterize laws of stochastic processes, arXiv:1810.10971, 2018.
- Persistence paths and signature features in topological data analysis, arXiv:1806.00381, 2018.
- This work

58/62

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Deep learning

- Sparse arrays of signatures for online character recognition, arXiv:1308.0371, 2013.
- Learning stochastic differential equations using RNN with log signature features, arXiv:1908.08286, 2019.
- Deep Signature Transforms, 33rd Conferenceon Neural Information Processing Systems, NeurIPS, 2019.
- Seq2Tens: An Efficient Representation of Sequences by Low-Rank Tensor Projections, arXiv:2006.07027, 2020.

59/62

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

... and many more!

Thank you!

C. Toth, and H. Oberhauser, "Bayesian Learning from Sequential Data using Gaussian Processes with Signature Covariances"

References

 Maruan Al-Shedivat, Andrew Gordon Wilson, Yunus Saatchi, Zhiting Hu, and Eric P. Xing.
 Learning scalable deep kernels with recurrent structure.
 J. Mach. Learn. Res., 18(1):2850–2886, January 2017.

I. Chevyrev and A. Kormilitzin.

A primer on the signature method in machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.03788, 2016.

James Hensman, Alexander G. de G. Matthews, and Zoubin Ghahramani.

61/62

Scalable variational gaussian process classification. JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings, 2015.

Franz J Király and Harald Oberhauser. Kernels for sequentially ordered data. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2019.

References

Mark van der Wilk, Carl Edward Rasmussen, and James Hensman.

Convolutional gaussian processes.

In I. Guyon, U. V. Luxburg, S. Bengio, H. Wallach, R. Fergus, S. Vishwanathan, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, pages 2849–2858. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.

62/62

Christopher KI Williams and Carl Edward Rasmussen. Gaussian processes for machine learning, volume 2. MIT press Cambridge, MA, 2006.