

# **Hierarchical Generation of Molecular Graphs using Structural Motifs**

# Wengong Jin, Regina Barzilay, Tommi Jaakkola MIT CSAIL



# **Drug Discovery via Generative Models**

- Drug discovery: finding molecules with desired chemical properties The primary challenge: large search space

Criterion:

- Safe
- Cures COVID

Search



## Potential candidates

### **Remdesivir?**

# **Drug Discovery via Generative Models**

- Generative models can be used to efficiently search in the chemical space
- Given a specified criterion, the model generates a molecule with desired properties.

Criterion:

- Safe
- Cures COVID

Condition



# **Molecular Graph Generation**

- Consider connected graphs...
- Different type of graphs require different generation method.
- What kind of generation method is suitable for molecules?



## rent generation method. <a href="mailto:uitable for molecules"><u>uitable for molecules?</u></a>

# **Previous Methods for Molecule Generation**

GraphRNN (You et al. 2018), and more



Atom based

Atom based methods: CG-VAE (Liu et al. 2018), DeepGMG (Li et al. 2018),



# **Previous Methods for Molecule Generation**

- Atom based methods: CG-VAE (Liu et al. 2018), DeepGMG (Li et al. 2018), GraphRNN (You et al. 2018), and more
- Substructure based methods: JT-VAE (Jin et al., 2018)
  - Incorporating inductive bias (i.e., low tree-width) into generation
  - Each time generate a cycle or edge



Atom based



## Substructure based

# **Previous methods: limitation**

- Atom based methods: CG-VAE (Liu et al. 2018), DeepGMG (Li et al. 2018), GraphRNN (You et al. 2018), and more
- Substructure based methods: JT-VAE (Jin et al., 2018)



JT-VAE • CG-VAE O

# **Previous methods: limitation**

- Atom based methods: CG-VAE (Liu et al. 2018), DeepGMG (Li et al. 2018), GraphRNN (You et al. 2018), and more
- Substructure based methods: JT-VAE (Jin et al., 2018)



JT-VAE CG-VAE ••

Large molecules (e.g., peptides, polymers)

# Failure in Generating Large Molecules

GraphRNN (You et al. 2018), and more



Many Generation Steps: Vanishing gradient + error accumulation

Atom based methods: CG-VAE (Liu et al. 2018), DeepGMG (Li et al. 2018),

CG-VAE 70 atom predictions + 70 bond predictions

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Failure in Generating Large Molecules

- Atom based methods: CG-VAE (Liu et al. 2018), DeepGMG (Li et al. 2018), GraphRNN (You et al. 2018), and more
- Substructure based methods: JT-VAE (Jin et al., 2018)

![](_page_9_Picture_3.jpeg)

 JT-VAE decoder requires each substructure neighborhood to be assembled in one go, making it combinatorially challenging to handle large substructures.

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Larger Building Blocks: Motifs

- JT-VAE only considered single rings and bonds as building blocks How about using larger building blocks — motifs with flexible structures, not
- restricted to rings and bonds?
- Large molecules such as polymers exhibit clear hierarchical structure, being built from repeated structural motifs.

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

 Only 11 steps to generate this polymer structure.

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **NLP Analogy**

- Atom-based generation == character-based generation Substructure-based generation == word-based generation
- Motif-based generation == phrase-based generation

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Substructures
- (ring and bond only)
- Word-based generation

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

- Motifs
- (structures can be flexible)
- Phrase-based generation

# **Our New Architecture: HierVAE**

## Generates molecules motif by motif

- Faster and more efficient
- Much higher reconstruction accuracy for large molecules

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

Reconstruction Accuracy w.r.t. Molecule Size

Motif (Ours) • Substructure • • Atom

# **Our New Architecture: HierVAE**

## Motif extraction from data

- Motif extraction is based on heuristics

## Hierarchical Graph Encoder

- Representing molecules at both motif and atom level.
- Designed to match the decoding process

## Hierarchical Graph Decoder

- Each generation step needs to resolve:
  - 1. What's the next motif?
- 2. How it should be attached to current graph?

- Later I will discuss how motifs can be learned (based on given properties).

A molecule is decomposed into disconnected motifs as follows: 1. Find all the bridge bonds (u, v) such that either u or v is part of a ring.

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

- A molecule is decomposed into disconnected motifs as follows:
- 1. Find all the bridge bonds (u, v) such that either u or v is part of a ring. 2. Detach all bridge bonds from its neighbors.

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

- A molecule is decomposed into disconnected components as follows:
- 1. Find all the bridge bonds (u, v) such that either u or v is part of a ring. 2. Detach all bridge bonds from its neighbors.
- 3. Select all components as motifs if it occurs frequently in the training set.

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

- A molecule is decomposed into disconnected components as follows:
- 1. Find all the bridge bonds (u, v) such that either u or v is part of a ring. 2. Detach all bridge bonds from its neighbors.
- 3. Select all components as motifs if it occurs frequently in the training set. 4. If a component is not selected, further decompose it into basic rings and
- bonds.

![](_page_17_Figure_6.jpeg)

# Mark attaching points

- Motif decomposition loses atom-level connectivity information

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

For ease of reconstruction, we propose to mark attaching points in each motif.

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

• We can construct a motif vocabulary given a training set (usually <500)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

- - Usually less than 10 because motifs have regular attachment patterns.
  - The attachment vocabulary covers >97% of the molecules in test set.

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Motif Vocabulary**

Each motif also has a vocabulary of possible attaching point configurations.

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

During generation, we maintain all possible positions to which new motifs will be attached

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

**Step 1: Motif Prediction** 

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Generation Process**

### **Step 2: Attachment Prediction**

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Generation Process**

**Step 3: Graph Prediction** 

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

- JT-VAE assembles each neighborhood (multiple motifs) in one go.
- HierVAE decomposes the assembly process into multiple "baby steps"
  - First predict attaching points, then matching atoms.
  - Assembles one motif at a time, not the entire neighborhood.

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

d (multiple motifs) <u>in one go</u>. rocess into multiple "baby steps" ning atoms. ntire neighborhood.

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Atom layer serves graph prediction (step 3)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

 Atom layer serves graph prediction (step 3)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Motif layer designed for motif prediction (step 1)

 Attachment layer is designed for attachment prediction (step 2)

 Atom layer is designed for graph prediction (step 3)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Run motif layer message passing network

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

Propagate messages to corresponding nodes

 Run attachment layer message passing network

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

Propagate messages to corresponding nodes

 Run atom layer message passing network

# Hierarchical Graph Decoder (top down)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Motif Prediction
  - Classification: predict the right motif in the vocabulary

# Hierarchical Graph Decoder (top down)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Motif Prediction
  - Classification: predict the right motif in the vocabulary
- Attachment Prediction
  - Classification: predict the right attachment in the vocabulary

# Hierarchical Graph Decoder (top down)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Motif Prediction
  - Classification: predict the right motif in the vocabulary
- Attachment Prediction
  - Classification: predict the right attachment in the vocabulary
- Graph Prediction:
  - Classification: predict the corresponding matching atoms

# **Experiment 1: Polymer Generation**

## **Dataset** [1]: 86K polymers (76K training, 5K validation, 5K testing) **Evaluation Metrics:** Sample 5000 molecules from models

- Reconstruction accuracy
- Validity
- Uniqueness
- Diversity
- Property statistics: Frechet distance between property distributions of molecules in the generated set and test set (logP, QED, SA, molecular weight).
- Structural statistics:
  - Nearest neighbor similarity (SNN)
  - Fragment similarity (Frag)
  - Scaffold similarity (Scaf)

![](_page_33_Picture_14.jpeg)

<sup>[1]</sup> St. John et al., "Message-passing neural networks for high-throughput polymer screening." The Journal of chemical physics, 150 (23):234111, 2019

# **Experiment 1: Polymer Generation**

Method	Reconstruction / Sample Quality (†)				Property Statistics ( $\downarrow$ )				Structural Statistics (†)		
	Recon.	Valid	Unique	Div.	logP	SA	QED	MW	SNN	Frag.	Scaf.
Real data	-	100%	100%	0.823	0.094	6.7e-5	1.7e-5	82.3	0.706	0.995	0.462
SMILES	21.5%	93.1%	97.3%	0.821	1.471	0.011	<b>5.4e-4</b>	4963	0.704	0.981	0.385
CG-VAE	42.4%	100%	96.2%	0.879	3.958	2.600	0.0030	3944	0.204	0.372	0.001
JT-VAE	58.5%	100%	94.1%	0.864	2.645	0.157	0.0075	10867	0.522	0.925	0.297
HierVAE	<b>79.9%</b>	100%	97.0%	0.817	0.525	0.007	5.7e-4	1928	0.708	0.984	0.390

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Experiment 1: Polymer Generation**

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Training speed (mol/sec)

![](_page_35_Figure_3.jpeg)

Learning Multimodal Graph-to-Graph Translation for Molecular Optimization, W. Jin, R. Barzilay, T. Jaakkola, ICLR 2019

# **Experiment 2: Lead optimization**

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Source Molecule (QED=0.784)

QED=0.924

# **Experiment 2: Lead optimization**

- Similar but ...
- Better drug-likeness

Learning Multimodal Graph-to-Graph Translation for Molecular Optimization, W. Jin, R. Barzilay, T. Jaakkola, ICLR 2019

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

Source Molecule (QED=0.784)

QED=0.924

![](_page_38_Figure_5.jpeg)

# **Experiment 2: Lead optimization**

- Similar but ...
- Better drug-likeness

- Similar but ...
- Better solubility

Learning Multimodal Graph-to-Graph Translation for Molecular Optimization, W. Jin, R. Barzilay, T. Jaakkola, ICLR 2019

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

Source Molecule (QED=0.784)

QED=0.924

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

<u>Need to learn a molecule-to-molecule mapping (i.e., graph-to-graph)</u>

# **Experiment 2: Lead optimization**

- Similar but ...
- Better drug-likeness

- Similar but ...
- Better solubility

Learning Multimodal Graph-to-Graph Translation for Molecular Optimization, W. Jin, R. Barzilay, T. Jaakkola, ICLR 2019

# Lead optimization as Graph Translation

design specifications (first introduced in Jin et al., 2019)

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

Learning Multimodal Graph-to-Graph Translation for Molecular Optimization, W. Jin, R. Barzilay, T. Jaakkola, ICLR 2019

# Lead optimization as Graph Translation

design specifications (first introduced in Jin et al., 2019)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

The training set consists of (source, target) molecular pairs, e.g.,

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)

Learning Multimodal Graph-to-Graph Translation for Molecular Optimization, W. Jin, R. Barzilay, T. Jaakkola, ICLR 2019

# Lead optimization as Graph Translation

design specifications

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

The training set consists of (source, target) molecular pairs, e.g.,

![](_page_42_Picture_4.jpeg)

Easy to modify HierVAE into a translation model (just add attention layers)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

Single property optimization: DRD2 success % (from inactive to active)

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

• We use a property predictor [1] to evaluate DRD2 activity of generated compounds

# **DRD2 Optimization**

![](_page_43_Figure_7.jpeg)

<sup>[1]</sup> Olivecrona et al., Molecular de-novo design through deep reinforcement learning, J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2017

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

Single property optimization: drug-likeness (QED) success %

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

QED(X) < 0.8QED(Y) > 0.9

QED is computed by RDKit

# **QED** Optimization

![](_page_44_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Summary

- Molecular graph generation is an important problem for ML and drug discovery
- In this paper, we proposed HierVAE to generate molecules motif by motif.
- HierVAE works better than previous methods, both in large molecules (polymers) as well as small molecules (graph translation).
- Since motifs structures are flexible, how should we construct a good motif vocabulary?

  - Jin et al., Multi-objective molecule generation using interpretable substructures. ICML 2020 - Use interpretability techniques to construct a motif vocabulary relevant for downstream task (poster ID 2748)

![](_page_45_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_8.jpeg)