# Neural Contextual Bandits with UCB-based Exploration

#### Dongruo Zhou<sup>1</sup> Lihong Li<sup>2</sup> Quanquan Gu<sup>1</sup>



<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science, UCLA

<sup>2</sup>Google Research

## Outline

#### Background

- Contextual bandit problem
- Deep neural networks

## Outline

#### Background

- Contextual bandit problem
- Deep neural networks
- Algorithm NeuralUCB
  - Use a neural network to learn the reward
  - Use neural network's gradient to explore
  - Upper confidence bound strategy

## Outline

#### Background

- Contextual bandit problem
- Deep neural networks
- Algorithm NeuralUCB
  - Use a neural network to learn the reward
  - Use neural network's gradient to explore
  - Upper confidence bound strategy
- Main theory
  - Neural tangent kernel matrix and effective dimension
  - $\widetilde{O}(\sqrt{T})$  regret

## Background – decision-making problems

Decision-making problems are everywhere!

- As a gambler in a casino, find a slot machine, you will...
  - Limited budget, maximize the payoff !
  - Which arm to pull?
- As a movie recommender, you need to...
  - Recommend movies based on users' interests, maximize users' purchase rate
  - Which movie to recommend?



(a) Slot machine



(b) Movie recommendation

K-armed contextual bandit problem: movie recommendation

 $K\mbox{-}{\rm armed}$  contextual bandit problem: movie recommendation At round t,

 Agent observes K d-dimensional contextual vectors (user's movie purchase history)

$$\{\mathbf{x}_{t,a} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid a \in [K]\}$$

K-armed contextual bandit problem: movie recommendation At round t,

 Agent observes K d-dimensional contextual vectors (user's movie purchase history)

$$\{\mathbf{x}_{t,a} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid a \in [K]\}$$

Agent selects an action a<sub>t</sub> and receives a reward r<sub>t,at</sub> (recommends some movie and user choose to purchase or not)

 $K\mbox{-}{\rm armed}$  contextual bandit problem: movie recommendation At round t,

 Agent observes K d-dimensional contextual vectors (user's movie purchase history)

$$\{\mathbf{x}_{t,a} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid a \in [K]\}$$

- Agent selects an action a<sub>t</sub> and receives a reward r<sub>t,at</sub> (recommends some movie and user choose to purchase or not)
- The goal is to minimize the following pesudo regret

$$R_T = \mathbb{E}\bigg[\sum_{t=1}^T (r_{t,a_t^*} - r_{t,a_t})\bigg]$$

where  $a^*_t = \mathrm{argmax}_{a \in [K]} \mathbb{E}[r_{t,a}]$  is the optimal action at round t

## Background – contextual linear bandit

$$r_{t,a_t} = \langle {m heta}^*, {f x}_{t,a_t} 
angle + \xi_t$$
,  $\xi_t \sim 
u$ -sub-Gaussian

#### Background – contextual linear bandit

$$r_{t,a_t} = \langle oldsymbol{ heta}^*, \mathbf{x}_{t,a_t} 
angle + \xi_t$$
,  $\xi_t \sim 
u$ -sub-Gaussian

Build confidence set for θ\* and use optimism in the face of uncertainty (OFU) principle

#### Background – contextual linear bandit

$$r_{t,a_t} = \langle oldsymbol{ heta}^*, \mathbf{x}_{t,a_t} 
angle + \xi_t$$
,  $\xi_t \sim 
u$ -sub-Gaussian

- Build confidence set for θ\* and use optimism in the face of uncertainty (OFU) principle
- Leads to  $\widetilde{O}(d\sqrt{T})$  regret (Abbasi-Yadkori et al. 2011)
- Strongly depends on linear structure!

$$r_{t,a_t} = \frac{h(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t})}{1} + \xi_t$$
,  $0 \le h(\mathbf{x}) \le 1$ ,  $\xi_t \sim \nu$ -sub-Gaussian

 $r_{t,a_t} = \frac{h(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t})}{h(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t})} + \xi_t$ ,  $0 \le h(\mathbf{x}) \le 1$ ,  $\xi_t \sim \nu$ -sub-Gaussian

Including many popular contextual bandit problems

Linear bandit

• 
$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \langle oldsymbol{ heta}, \mathbf{x} 
angle$$
, where  $\|oldsymbol{ heta}\|_2 \leq 1$ ,  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq 1$ 

Generalized linear bandit

$$\blacktriangleright \ h(\mathbf{x}) = g(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x} \rangle), \text{ where } \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2 \leq 1, \ \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq 1, \ |\nabla g| \leq 1$$

 $r_{t,a_t} = \frac{h(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t})}{h(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t})} + \xi_t$ ,  $0 \le h(\mathbf{x}) \le 1$ ,  $\xi_t \sim \nu$ -sub-Gaussian

Including many popular contextual bandit problems

Linear bandit

• 
$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$$
, where  $\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2 \leq 1$ ,  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq 1$ 

Generalized linear bandit

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = g(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x} \rangle), \text{ where } \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2 \leq 1, \ \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq 1, \ |\nabla g| \leq 1$$

We do not know what h is...

 $r_{t,a_t} = \frac{h(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t})}{h(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t})} + \xi_t$ ,  $0 \le h(\mathbf{x}) \le 1$ ,  $\xi_t \sim \nu$ -sub-Gaussian

Including many popular contextual bandit problems

Linear bandit

Generalized linear bandit

$$\blacktriangleright \ h(\mathbf{x}) = g(\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x} \rangle), \text{ where } \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_2 \leq 1, \ \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq 1, \ |\nabla g| \leq 1$$

We do not know what h is...

Use some universal function approximator, such as neural networks!

Fully connected neural networks:

$$f(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sqrt{m} \mathbf{W}_L \sigma \Big( \mathbf{W}_{L-1} \sigma \big( \cdots \sigma (\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x}) \big) \Big)$$



Fully connected neural networks:

$$f(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sqrt{m} \mathbf{W}_L \sigma \Big( \mathbf{W}_{L-1} \sigma \big( \cdots \sigma(\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x}) \big) \Big)$$



•  $\sigma(x) = \max\{x, 0\}$  is the ReLU activation function

Fully connected neural networks:

$$f(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sqrt{m} \mathbf{W}_L \sigma \Big( \mathbf{W}_{L-1} \sigma \big( \cdots \sigma (\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x}) \big) \Big)$$



- $\sigma(x) = \max\{x, 0\}$  is the ReLU activation function
- W<sub>i</sub> is the weight matrix
  - $\blacktriangleright$  **W**<sub>1</sub>  $\in$   $\mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$
  - $\blacktriangleright \mathbf{W}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, 2 \le i \le L 1$
  - $\blacktriangleright \mathbf{W}_L \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$

Fully connected neural networks:

$$f(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sqrt{m} \mathbf{W}_L \sigma \Big( \mathbf{W}_{L-1} \sigma \big( \cdots \sigma(\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x}) \big) \Big)$$



•  $\sigma(x) = \max\{x, 0\}$  is the ReLU activation function •  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = [\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{W}_1)^\top, \dots, \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{W}_L)^\top]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $p = m + md + m^2(L-1)$ 

Fully connected neural networks:

$$f(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sqrt{m} \mathbf{W}_L \sigma \Big( \mathbf{W}_{L-1} \sigma \big( \cdots \sigma(\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x}) \big) \Big)$$



- $\sigma(x) = \max\{x, 0\}$  is the ReLU activation function
- $\bullet \ \boldsymbol{\theta} = [\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{W}_1)^\top, \dots, \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{W}_L)^\top]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^p, \ p = m + md + m^2(L-1)$
- ▶ Gradient of the neural network  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} f(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \in \mathbb{R}^p$

### Question

- Neural network-based contextual bandit algorithms (Riquelme et al. 2018; Zahavy and Mannor 2019)
- No theoretical guarantee

### Question

- Neural network-based contextual bandit algorithms (Riquelme et al. 2018; Zahavy and Mannor 2019)
- No theoretical guarantee

Can we design provably efficient neural network-based algorithm to learn the general reward function?

### Question

- Neural network-based contextual bandit algorithms (Riquelme et al. 2018; Zahavy and Mannor 2019)
- No theoretical guarantee

Can we design provably efficient neural network-based algorithm to learn the general reward function?

Yes! NeuralUCB

- ▶ Neural network to model reward function, UCB strategy to explore
- Theoretical guarantee on regret  $\widetilde{O}(\sqrt{T})$
- Matches regret bound for linear setting (Abbasi-Yadkori et al. 2011)

## NeuralUCB - initialization

▶ Special initialization on  $\theta_0$ 

For 
$$1 \leq l \leq L-1$$
,

$$\mathbf{W}_{l} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{W} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{W}_{\{i,j\}} \sim N(0, 4/m)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ For } L, \mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{w}^{\top}, -\mathbf{w}^{\top}), \mathbf{w}_{\{i\}} \sim N(0, 2/m)$$

## NeuralUCB - initialization

▶ Special initialization on  $\theta_0$ 

For 
$$1 \leq l \leq L-1$$
,

$$\mathbf{W}_{l} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{W} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{W}_{\{i,j\}} \sim N(0, 4/m)$$

For 
$$L$$
,  $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{w}^{\top}, -\mathbf{w}^{\top})$ ,  $\mathbf{w}_{\{i\}} \sim N(0, 2/m)$ 

Normalization on  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}$ : for any  $1 \le i \le TK$ ,  $\|\mathbf{x}^i\|_2 = 1$  and  $[\mathbf{x}^i]_j = [\mathbf{x}^i]_{j+d/2}$ 

For any unit vector  $\mathbf{x}$ , construct  $\mathbf{x}' = (\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x})/\sqrt{2}$ 

## NeuralUCB - initialization

▶ Special initialization on  $\theta_0$ 

For 
$$1 \leq l \leq L-1$$
,

$$\mathbf{W}_{l} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{W} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{W}_{\{i,j\}} \sim N(0, 4/m)$$

For L, 
$$\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{w}^{\top}, -\mathbf{w}^{\top}), \ \mathbf{w}_{\{i\}} \sim N(0, 2/m)$$

Normalization on  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}$ : for any  $1 \le i \le TK$ ,  $\|\mathbf{x}^i\|_2 = 1$  and  $[\mathbf{x}^i]_j = [\mathbf{x}^i]_{j+d/2}$ 

For any unit vector  $\mathbf{x}$ , construct  $\mathbf{x}' = (\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x})/\sqrt{2}$ 

Guarantee that  $f(\mathbf{x}^i; \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = 0!$ 

At round t, NeuralUCB will...

 $\blacktriangleright$  Observe  $\{\mathbf{x}_{t,a}\}_{a=1}^{K}$ 

At round t, NeuralUCB will...

- Observe  $\{\mathbf{x}_{t,a}\}_{a=1}^{K}$
- Compute upper confidence bound for each arm a, which is

$$U_{t,a} = \underbrace{f(\mathbf{x}_{t,a}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})}_{\text{mean}} + \gamma_{t-1} \underbrace{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})^{\top} \mathbf{Z}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})/m}}_{\text{variance}}$$

At round t, NeuralUCB will...

- Observe  $\{\mathbf{x}_{t,a}\}_{a=1}^{K}$
- Compute upper confidence bound for each arm a, which is

$$U_{t,a} = \underbrace{f(\mathbf{x}_{t,a}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})}_{\text{mean}} + \gamma_{t-1} \underbrace{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})^{\top} \mathbf{Z}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})/m}}_{\text{variance}}$$

Compared with LinUCB (Li et al. 2010)

$$U_{t,a} = \underbrace{\langle \mathbf{x}_{t,a}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1} \rangle}_{\text{mean}} + \gamma_{t-1} \underbrace{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}_{t,a}^{\top} \mathbf{Z}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{t,a}}}_{\text{variance}}$$

At round t, NeuralUCB will...

- Observe  $\{\mathbf{x}_{t,a}\}_{a=1}^{K}$
- Compute upper confidence bound for each arm a, which is

$$U_{t,a} = \underbrace{f(\mathbf{x}_{t,a}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})}_{\text{mean}} + \gamma_{t-1} \underbrace{\sqrt{\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})^{\top} \mathbf{Z}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})/m}}_{\text{variance}}$$

Compared with LinUCB (Li et al. 2010)

$$U_{t,a} = \underbrace{\langle \mathbf{x}_{t,a}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1} \rangle}_{\text{mean}} + \gamma_{t-1} \underbrace{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}_{t,a}^{\top} \mathbf{Z}_{t-1}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{t,a}}}_{\text{variance}}$$

Select  $a_t = \operatorname{argmax}_{a \in [K]} U_{t,a}$ , play  $a_t$  and observe reward  $r_{t,a_t}$ 

## NeuralUCB – update parameter

After receiving reward, NeuralUCB will...

 $\blacktriangleright$  Update  $\mathbf{Z}_t$ 

$$\mathbf{Z}_t = \mathbf{Z}_{t-1} + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})^\top / m$$

#### NeuralUCB – update parameter

After receiving reward, NeuralUCB will...

 $\blacktriangleright$  Update  $\mathbf{Z}_t$ 

$$\mathbf{Z}_t = \mathbf{Z}_{t-1} + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})^\top / m$$

Update θ<sub>t</sub> using gradient descent
 Denote loss function L(θ) as

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} (f(\mathbf{x}_{i,a_i}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) - r_{i,a_i})^2 / 2 + m\lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}\|_2^2 / 2$$

#### NeuralUCB – update parameter

After receiving reward, NeuralUCB will...

 $\blacktriangleright$  Update  $\mathbf{Z}_t$ 

$$\mathbf{Z}_t = \mathbf{Z}_{t-1} + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1}) \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}_{t,a_t}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{t-1})^\top / m$$

Update θ<sub>t</sub> using gradient descent
 Denote loss function L(θ) as

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} (f(\mathbf{x}_{i,a_i}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) - r_{i,a_i})^2 / 2 + m\lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}\|_2^2 / 2$$

Run J step gradient descent on L(θ) starting from θ<sub>0</sub>, take θ<sub>t</sub> as the last iterate

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0, \ \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j+1)} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)} - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)}), \ \boldsymbol{\theta}_t = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(J)}$$

### NeuralUCB – confidence radius

After update neural network function, NeuralUCB will compute  $\gamma_t$ , which is ...

• Under the overparameterized setting  $(m \gg 1)$ ,

$$\gamma_t = O\left(\underbrace{\sqrt{\lambda}S + \nu\sqrt{\log\frac{\det \mathbf{Z}_t}{\delta\det\lambda\mathbf{I}}}}_{\text{confidence radius}} + \underbrace{(\lambda + tL)(1 - \eta m\lambda)^{J/2}\sqrt{t/\lambda}}_{\text{function approximation error}}\right)$$

### NeuralUCB – confidence radius

After update neural network function, NeuralUCB will compute  $\gamma_t$ , which is ...

• Under the overparameterized setting  $(m \gg 1)$ ,

$$\gamma_t = O\left(\underbrace{\sqrt{\lambda}S + \nu\sqrt{\log\frac{\det \mathbf{Z}_t}{\delta\det\lambda\mathbf{I}}}}_{\text{confidence radius}} + \underbrace{(\lambda + tL)(1 - \eta m\lambda)^{J/2}\sqrt{t/\lambda}}_{\text{function approximation error}}\right)$$

Compared with LinUCB,

$$\gamma_t = O\left(\sqrt{\lambda}S + \nu\sqrt{\log\frac{\det \mathbf{Z}_t}{\delta\det\lambda\mathbf{I}}}\right)$$

no function approximation error part!

#### Assumption

There exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{H} \succeq \lambda_0 \mathbf{I}$ , where  $\mathbf{H}$  is the neural tangent kernel matrix (Jacot et al. 2018; Cao and Gu 2019) on contexts  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$ .

#### Assumption

There exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{H} \succeq \lambda_0 \mathbf{I}$ , where  $\mathbf{H}$  is the neural tangent kernel matrix (Jacot et al. 2018; Cao and Gu 2019) on contexts  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$ .

Satisfied if *no* two contexts in  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$  are parallel.

#### Assumption

There exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{H} \succeq \lambda_0 \mathbf{I}$ , where  $\mathbf{H}$  is the neural tangent kernel matrix (Jacot et al. 2018; Cao and Gu 2019) on contexts  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$ .

Satisfied if *no* two contexts in  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$  are parallel.

#### Definition

The effective dimension  $\widetilde{d}$  of the neural tangent kernel matrix on contexts  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$  is defined as  $\widetilde{d} = \log \det(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H}/\lambda)/\log(1 + TK/\lambda)$ .

#### Assumption

There exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{H} \succeq \lambda_0 \mathbf{I}$ , where  $\mathbf{H}$  is the neural tangent kernel matrix (Jacot et al. 2018; Cao and Gu 2019) on contexts  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$ .

Satisfied if *no* two contexts in  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$  are parallel.

#### Definition

The effective dimension  $\widetilde{d}$  of the neural tangent kernel matrix on contexts  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$  is defined as  $\widetilde{d} = \log \det(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H}/\lambda)/\log(1 + TK/\lambda)$ .

 Notion adapted from Valko et al. (2013) and Yang and Wang (2019)

#### Assumption

There exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{H} \succeq \lambda_0 \mathbf{I}$ , where  $\mathbf{H}$  is the neural tangent kernel matrix (Jacot et al. 2018; Cao and Gu 2019) on contexts  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$ .

Satisfied if *no* two contexts in  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$  are parallel.

#### Definition

The effective dimension  $\widetilde{d}$  of the neural tangent kernel matrix on contexts  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^{TK}$  is defined as  $\widetilde{d} = \log \det(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{H}/\lambda)/\log(1 + TK/\lambda)$ .

- Notion adapted from Valko et al. (2013) and Yang and Wang (2019)
- $\tilde{d} \sim \log T$  in several special cases (Valko et al. 2013)

#### Theorem

Let 
$$\mathbf{h} = [h(\mathbf{x}^i)]_{i=1}^{TK} \in \mathbb{R}^{TK}$$
. Set  $J = \widetilde{\Theta}(TL/\lambda)$ ,  
 $\eta = \Theta((mTL + m\lambda)^{-1})$  and  $S = 2\sqrt{\mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{h}}$ . Under the overparameterized setting  $(m \gg 1)$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ 

$$R_T = \widetilde{O}\left(\sqrt{\widetilde{d}T}\sqrt{\max\{\widetilde{d}, S^2\}}\right).$$

#### Theorem

Let 
$$\mathbf{h} = [h(\mathbf{x}^i)]_{i=1}^{TK} \in \mathbb{R}^{TK}$$
. Set  $J = \widetilde{\Theta}(TL/\lambda)$ ,  
 $\eta = \Theta((mTL + m\lambda)^{-1})$  and  $S = 2\sqrt{\mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{h}}$ . Under the overparameterized setting  $(m \gg 1)$ , with probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,

$$R_T = \widetilde{O}\left(\sqrt{\widetilde{dT}}\sqrt{\max\{\widetilde{d}, S^2\}}\right).$$

▶ h belongs to the RKHS space  $\mathcal{H}$  spanned by  $\mathbf{H} \Rightarrow S \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ 

#### Theorem

Let 
$$\mathbf{h} = [h(\mathbf{x}^i)]_{i=1}^{TK} \in \mathbb{R}^{TK}$$
. Set  $J = \widetilde{\Theta}(TL/\lambda)$ ,  
 $\eta = \Theta((mTL + m\lambda)^{-1})$  and  $S = 2\sqrt{\mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{h}}$ . Under the overparameterized setting  $(m \gg 1)$ , with probability at least  $1 - 1$ 

$$R_T = \widetilde{O}\left(\sqrt{\widetilde{dT}}\sqrt{\max\{\widetilde{d}, S^2\}}\right).$$

- ▶ h belongs to the RKHS space  $\mathcal{H}$  spanned by  $\mathbf{H} \Rightarrow S \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$
- R<sub>T</sub> does not depend on p, the dimension of the dynamic feature mapping g(x; θ)

δ.

#### Theorem

Let 
$$\mathbf{h} = [h(\mathbf{x}^i)]_{i=1}^{TK} \in \mathbb{R}^{TK}$$
. Set  $J = \widetilde{\Theta}(TL/\lambda)$ ,  
 $\eta = \Theta((mTL + m\lambda)^{-1})$  and  $S = 2\sqrt{\mathbf{h}^\top \mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{h}}$ . Under the overparameterized setting  $(m \gg 1)$ , with probability at least  $1 - 1$ 

$$R_T = \widetilde{O}\left(\sqrt{\widetilde{d}T}\sqrt{\max\{\widetilde{d}, S^2\}}\right).$$

- ▶ h belongs to the RKHS space  $\mathcal{H}$  spanned by  $\mathbf{H} \Rightarrow S \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}$
- R<sub>T</sub> does not depend on p, the dimension of the dynamic feature mapping g(x; θ)
- Recover the regret for linear contextual bandit O(d\sqrt{T}) (Abbasi-Yadkori et al. 2011)

δ.

NeuralUCB uses neural network f(x; θ<sub>t</sub>) to predict, gradient g(x; θ<sub>t</sub>) to explore

- NeuralUCB uses neural network f(x; θ<sub>t</sub>) to predict, gradient g(x; θ<sub>t</sub>) to explore
- $\blacktriangleright$  NeuralUCB achieves  $\widetilde{O}(\sqrt{T})$  regret, matches result for linear setting

- NeuralUCB uses neural network f(x; θ<sub>t</sub>) to predict, gradient g(x; θ<sub>t</sub>) to explore
- $\blacktriangleright$  NeuralUCB achieves  $\widetilde{O}(\sqrt{T})$  regret, matches result for linear setting
- NeuralUCB also works well empirically



- NeuralUCB uses neural network f(x; θ<sub>t</sub>) to predict, gradient g(x; θ<sub>t</sub>) to explore
- $\blacktriangleright$  NeuralUCB achieves  $\widetilde{O}(\sqrt{T})$  regret, matches result for linear setting
- NeuralUCB also works well empirically



Thank you!