*Overvieu* 0000 Development of the algorithms 0000 *The Algorithms* 0000000

Conclusion 0

# Self-concordant analysis of Frank-Wolfe algorithms

# Pavel Dvurechensky<sup>1</sup> Shimrit Shtern<sup>2</sup> Mathias Staudigl<sup>3</sup> Petr Ostroukhov<sup>4</sup> Kamil Safin <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>WIAS <sup>2</sup>The Technion <sup>3</sup>Maastricht University <sup>4</sup>Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology



ICML2020, July 12-July 18

*Development of the algorithms* 0000

*The Algorithms* 0000000

Conclusion 0

# Self-concordant minimization

# We consider the optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) \tag{P}$$

#### where

- $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex compact
- *f* : ℝ<sup>n</sup> → (-∞,∞] is convex and thrice continuously differentiable on the open set dom *f* = {*x* : *f*(*x*) < ∞}. Given the large-scale nature of optimization problems in</li>

machine learning, first-order methods are the method of choice.

*The Algorithms* 

Conclusion 0

### **Frank-Wolfe methods**

Because of great scalability and sparsity properties, *Frank-Wolfe* (FW) methods (Frank & Wolfe, 1956) received lot of attention in ML.

- Convergence guarantees require Lipschitz continuous gradients, or finite curvature constants on *f* (Jaggi, 2013)
- Even for well-conditioned (Lipschitz smooth and strongly convex) problems only sublinear convergence rates guaranteed in general.



Overview ○○●○ *The Algorithms* 0000000

Conclusion 0

# Many canonical ML problems do not have Lipschitz gradients

Portfolio Optimization

$$f(x) = -\sum_{t=1}^{T} \ln(\langle r_t, x \rangle), x \in \mathfrak{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1\}.$$

• Covariance Estimation:

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= -\ln(\det(X)) + \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\Sigma}X), \\ x &\in \mathfrak{X} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{sym,+}^{n \times n} : \|\operatorname{Vec}(X)\|_1 \leq R \}. \end{split}$$

Poisson Inverse Problem

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle w_i, x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i \ln(\langle w_i, x \rangle),$$
  
$$x \in \mathfrak{X} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \|x\|_1 \le R \}.$$

*Development of the algorithms* 0000

*The Algorithms* 00000000

Conclusion 0

### **Main Results**

All these function are Self-concordant (SC), and have no Lipschitz continuous gradient. Standard analysis does not apply.

**Result 1:** We give a unified analysis of provable convergent FW algorithms minimizing SC functions.

**Result 2:** Based on the theory of Local Linear Optimization Oracles (LLOO) (Lan 2013, Garber & Hazan, 2016), we construct linearly convergent variants for our base algorithms.

iew			

Vanilla FW

1

Development of the algorithms 0000

# The analysis of FW involves (a) a search direction

$$s(x) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{s \in \mathfrak{X}} \langle 
abla f(x), s \rangle$$
.

(b) as merit function the gap function

$$gap(x) = \langle \nabla f(x), x - s(x) \rangle$$

# **Standard Frank-Wolfe method:**

If 
$$gap(x^{\kappa}) > \varepsilon$$
 then

• Obtain 
$$s^k = s(x^k)$$
;

2 Set 
$$x^{k+1} = x^k + \alpha_k (s^k - x^k)$$
 for some  $\alpha_k \in [0, 1]$ .

*Development of the algorithms* 000

*The Algorithms* 0000000

Conclusion 0

SC optimization

# **Definition of SC functions**

- $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to (-\infty, +\infty]$  a  $\mathbf{C}^3(\operatorname{dom} f)$  convex function
- dom *f* is open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

• f is SC if

$$\left|\varphi^{\prime\prime\prime}(t)\right| \le M\varphi^{\prime\prime}(t)^{3/2}$$

for  $\varphi(t) = f(x + tv)$ ,  $x \in \text{dom } f, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x + tv \in \text{dom } f$ .

*Development of the algorithms*  $\circ \circ \bullet \circ$ 

*The Algorithms* 0000000

Conclusion 0

SC optimization

# Self-concordant functions

- Self-concordant (SC) function have been developed within the field of interior-point method (Nesterov & Nemirovski, 1994)
- Starting with Bach (2010), they gained a lot of interest in Machine learning and Statistics (see e.g. Tran-Dinh, Kyrillidis & Cevher; Sun & Tran-Dinh 2018; Ostrovskii & Bach 2018)
- MATLAB toolbox SCOPT

*Development of the algorithms* 

*The Algorithms* 

Conclusion 0

Adaptive Frank Wolfe methods

#### **Basic estimates of SC functions**

 For all x, x̃ ∈ dom f we have the following bounds on function values

$$f(\tilde{x}) \ge f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), \tilde{x} - x \rangle + \frac{4}{M^2} \omega \left( \mathsf{d}(x, \tilde{x}) \right)$$
  
$$f(\tilde{x}) \le f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), \tilde{x} - x \rangle + \frac{4}{M^2} \omega_* \left( \mathsf{d}(x, \tilde{x}) \right)$$

where

$$\omega(t) := t - \ln(1+t), \text{ and } \omega_*(t) := -t - \ln(1-t)$$
  
$$d(x, y) := \frac{M}{2} \|y - x\|_x = \frac{M}{2} \left( D^2 f(x) [y - x, y - x] \right)^{1/2}.$$

*Development of the algorithms* 

*The Algorithms* 

Conclusion 0

# Algorithm 1

Let 
$$x_t^+ = x + t(s(x) - x), t > 0$$

Obtain the non-Euclidean descent inequality:

$$f(x_t^+) \le f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), x_t^+ - x \rangle + \frac{4}{M^2} \omega_*(t \in (x))$$
  
$$\le f(x) - \eta_x(t)$$

for  $t \in (0, 1/e(x))$ ,  $e(x) = \frac{M}{2} ||s(x) - x||_x^2$ . Optimizing the per-iteration decrease w.r.t *t* leads to

$$\alpha(x) = \min\{1, t(x)\}, t(x) = \frac{gap(x)}{e(x)(gap(x) + \frac{4}{M^2}e(x))}.$$

*Development of the algorithms* 0000

*The Algorithms* 

Conclusion 0

#### **Iteration Complexity**

Define the approximation error :  $h_k = f(x^k) - f^*$ . Let

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(x^0) &= \{x \in \mathfrak{X} | f(x) \leq f(x^0)\}, ext{ and } \ \mathcal{L}_{
abla f} &= \max_{x \in \mathcal{S}(x^0)} \lambda_{\max}(
abla^2 f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

#### Theorem

For given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , define  $N_{\varepsilon}(x^0) = \min\{k \ge 0 | h_k \le \varepsilon\}$ . Then,

$$N_{\varepsilon}(x^{0}) \leq \frac{\ln\left(\frac{h_{0}b}{a}\right)}{a} + \frac{L_{\nabla f} \operatorname{diam}(\mathfrak{X})^{2}}{(1+\ln(2))\varepsilon}.$$
  
where  $a = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2(1-\ln(2))}{M\sqrt{L_{\nabla f} \operatorname{diam}(\mathfrak{X})}}\right\} and b = \frac{1-\ln(2)}{L_{\nabla f} \operatorname{diam}(\mathfrak{X})^{2}}.$ 

Development of the algorithms 0000 *The Algorithms* 

Conclusion 0

### Algorithm 2: Backtracking Variant of FW

Let

$$Q(x^{k}, t, \mu) := f(x^{k}) - t \cdot gap(x^{k}) + \frac{t^{2}\mu}{2} \left\| s(x^{k}) - x^{k} \right\|_{2}^{2}.$$
  
On  $S(x^{0}) := \{ x \in \mathcal{X} | f(x) \le f(x^{0}) \}$ , we have  
 $f(x^{k} + t(s^{k} - x^{k})) \le Q(x^{k}, t, L_{\nabla f}).$ 

Problem:  $L_{\nabla f}$  is hard to estimate and numerically large. Solution: A backtracking procedure allows us to find a local estimate for the unknown  $L_{\nabla f}$  (see also Pedregosa et al. 2020) Overvieu 0000 *The Algorithms* 

# Backtracking procedure to find the local Lipschitz constant

# Algorithm 1 Function step(f, v, x, g, L)

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Choose } \gamma_{U} > 1, \gamma_{d} < 1 \\ \mbox{Choose } \mu \in [\gamma_{d}\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}] \\ \alpha = \min\{\frac{g}{\mu\|v\|_{2}^{p}}, 1\} \\ \mbox{if } f(x + \alpha v) > Q(x, \alpha, \mu) \mbox{ then } \\ \mu \leftarrow \gamma_{U}\mu \\ \alpha \leftarrow \min\{\frac{g}{\mu\|v\|_{2}^{p}}, 1\} \\ \mbox{end if } \\ \mbox{Return } \alpha, \mu \end{array}$ 

We have for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ 

$$f(x^{k+1}) \le f(x^k) - t \cdot gap(x^k) + \frac{t^2 \mathcal{L}_k}{2} \left\| s^k - x^k \right\|^2$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_k$  is obtained from Algorithm 1.

Overvieu 0000 *Development of the algorithms* 0000

*The Algorithms* 00000000

Conclusion 0

# **Main Result**

#### Theorem

Let  $(x^k)_k$  be the backtracking variant of FW using Algorithm 1 as subroutine. Then

$$h_{k} \leq \frac{2gap(x^{0})}{(k+1)(k+2)} + \frac{k\operatorname{diam}(\mathfrak{X})^{2}}{(k+1)(k+2)}\bar{\mathcal{L}}_{k}$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}_k \triangleq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{L}_i$ .

Development of the algorithms 0000 *The Algorithms* 

Conclusion 0

Linear Convergence

# Linearly Convergent FW variant

#### Definition (Garber & Hazan (2016))

A procedure  $\mathcal{A}(x, r, c)$ , where  $x \in \mathcal{X}, r > 0, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , is a LLOO with parameter  $\rho \ge 1$  for the polytope  $\mathcal{X}$  if  $\mathcal{A}(x, r, c)$  returns a point  $s \in \mathcal{X}$  such that for all  $x \in B_r(x) \cap \mathcal{X}$ 

$$\langle \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{x} \rangle \geq \langle \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{s} \rangle$$
 and  $\|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{s}\|_2 \leq \rho r$ .

- Such oracles exist for any compact polyhedral domain.
- Particular simple implementation for Simplex-like domains.

Development of the algorithms

*The Algorithms* 

Conclusion 0

Linear Convergence

Call

$$\sigma_f = \min_{x \in \mathcal{S}(x^0)} \lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 f(x)).$$

Theorem (Simplified version)

Given a polytope  $\mathfrak{X}$  with LLOO  $\mathcal{A}(x, r, c)$  for each  $x \in \mathfrak{X}, r \in (0, \infty), c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let

$$\bar{\alpha} \triangleq \min\{\frac{\sigma_f}{6L_{\nabla f}\rho^2}, 1\}\frac{1}{1+\sqrt{L_{\nabla f}}\frac{M\operatorname{diam}(\mathfrak{X})}{2}}.$$

Then,

$$h_k \leq gap(x^0) \exp(-k\bar{\alpha}/2).$$

In the paper we present a version of this Theorem without knowledge of  $L_{\nabla f}$ .

Development of the algorithms

*The Algorithms* 

Conclusion 0

Linear Convergence

#### **Numerical Performance**

Portfolio Optimization

$$f(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \ln(\langle r_t, x \rangle)$$
$$\mathfrak{X} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \}$$

Poisson Inverse problem

۰

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle w_i, x \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i \ln(\langle w_i, x \rangle) \\ x &\in \mathfrak{X} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n | \|x\|_1 \leq R \}. \end{split}$$



Figure: Portfolio Optimization (Right), Poisson Inverse Problem (Left)

*Development of the algorithms* 0000

*The Algorithms* 0000000

Conclusion

# Conclusion

- We derived various novel FW schemes with provable convergence guarantees for self-concordant minimization.
- Future directions of research include the following
  - Generalized self-concordant minimization (Sun & Tran-Dinh 2018)
  - Stochastic oracles
  - Inertial effects in algorithm design (Conditional gradient sliding (Lan & Zhou, 2016))