

Vandex

Vandex

Optimal Non-parametric Learning in Repeated Contextual Auctions with Strategic Buyer

Alexey Drutsa

Setup





Repeated Contextual Posted-Price Auctions

Different goods (e.g., ad spaces)

- > described by d-dimensional feature vectors (contexts) from $[0,1]^d$
- > are repeatedly offered for sale by a seller
- > to a single buyer over T rounds (one good per round).

The buyer

- > holds a private fixed valuation function $v: [0,1]^d \rightarrow [0,1]$) used to calculate his valuation v(x) for a good with context $x \in [0,1]^d$,
- $\rightarrow v$ is unknown to the seller.

At each round t = 1, ..., T,

-) a feature vector x_t of the current good is observed by the seller and the buyer
- > a price p_t is offered by the seller,
- > and an allocation decision $a_t \in \{0,1\}$ is made by the buyer: $a_t = 0$, when the buyer rejects, and $a_t = 1$, when the buyer accepts.

Seller's pricing algorithm and buyer strategy

The seller applies a pricing algorithm A that sets prices $\{p_t\}_{t=1}^T$ in response to buyer decisions $\mathbf{a} = \{a_t\}_{t=1}^T$ and observed contexts $\mathbf{x} = \{x_t\}_{t=1}^T$. The price p_t can depend only on

- > past decisions $\{a_s\}_{s=1}^{t-1}$
- > feature vectors $\{x_s\}_{s=1}^t$
- > the horizon T

Strategic buyer

The seller announces her pricing algorithm A in advance

The buyer has some distribution (beliefs) D about future contexts.

In each round t, given the history of previous rounds, he chooses his decision a_t s.t. it maximizes his future γ -discounted surplus:

$\mathbb{E}_{x_s \sim D}\left[\sum_{s=t}^T \gamma^{s-1} a_s(v(x_s) - p_s)\right], \qquad \gamma \in (0,1]$

The game's workflow and knowledge structure



D	
er	

Seller's goal

The seller's strategic regret: SReg $(T, A, v, \gamma, x_{1:T}, D)$: = $\sum_{t=1}^{T} (v(x_t) - a_t^{Opt} p_t)$

it is Lipschitz (a standard requirement for non-parametric learning):

The seller seeks for a no-regret pricing for **worst-case** valuation function:

 $\sup_{v \in \operatorname{Lip}_L([0,1]^d), x_{1:T}, D} \operatorname{SReg}(T, A, v, \gamma, x_{1:T}, D) = o(T)$ **Optimality**: the lowest possible upper bound for the regret of the form O(f(T)).

We will learn the function v in a non-parametric way. For this, we will assume that $\operatorname{Lip}_{L}([0,1]^{d}) \coloneqq \{f \colon [0,1]^{d} \to [0,1] \mid \forall x, y \in [0,1]^{d} \mid f(x) - f(y) \mid \leq L \|x - y\| \}$

Background & Research question



Background	
[Kleinberg et al., FOCS'2003]	Non-co Horizor myopic
[Amin et al., NIPS'2013]	Non-co The stra ∄ no-reg
[Drutsa, WWW'2017]	Non-co Horizor strateg
[Mao et al., NIPS'2018]	Our nor Horizor myopic

d = 0.

n-dependent optimal algorithm against buyer ($\gamma = 0$) with truthful regret $\Theta(\log \log T)$.

d = 0. ategic setting is introduced. gret pricing for non-discount case $\gamma = 1$.

d = 0.

n-independent optimal algorithm against ic buyer with regret $\Theta(\log \log T)$ for $\gamma < 1$.

n-parametric contextual setup (d > 0). n-dependent optimal algorithm against

buyer ($\gamma = 0$) with truthful regret $\Theta(T\overline{d+1})$.





Research question

The key approaches of the non-contextual optimal algorithms ([pre]PRRFES) cannot be directly applied to contextual algorithm of [Mao et al., NIPS'2018]

In order to search the valuation of the strategic buyer without context:

- > Penalization rounds are used

> We do not propose prices below the ones that are earlier accepted In the approach of [Mao et al., NIPS'2018]:

- > Standard penalization does not help

In this study, I overcome these issues and propose an optimal non-parametric algorithm for the contextual setting with strategic buyer

> Proposed prices can be below the ones that are earlier accepted by the buyer



Novel optimal algorithm

PELS has three parameters:

- > the price offset $\eta \in [1, +\infty)$
- the degree of penalization $r \in \mathbb{N}$
- > the exploitation rate $g: \mathbb{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{Z}_+$

This algorithm keeps track of

- a partition \mathfrak{X} of the feature domain $[0,1]^d$

initialized to $[(4\eta + 6)L]^d$ cubes (boxes) with side length $l = 1/[(4\eta + 6)L]$: $\mathfrak{X} = \{I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_d \mid (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_d) \in \{[0, l], (l, 2l], \dots, (1 - l, 1]\}^d\}.$

For each box $X \in \mathfrak{X}$, PELS also keeps track of:

- > the lower bound $u^X \in [0,1]$,
- the upper bound $w^X \in [0,1]$, >
- > the depth $m^X \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

They are initialized as follows: $u^X = 0$, $w^X = 1$, and $m^X = 0$, $X \in \mathfrak{X}$.

The workflow of the algorithm is organized independently in each box $X \in \mathfrak{X}$. the algorithm receives a good with a feature vector $x_t \in [0,1]^d$

finds the box $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ in the current partition \mathfrak{X} s.t. $x_t \in X$. Then, the proposed price p_t is determined only from the current state associated with the box X, while the buyer decision a_t is used only to update the state associated with this box X.

In each box $X \in \mathfrak{X}$, the algorithm iteratively offers exploration price:

If this price is accepted by the buyer: > the lower bound u^X is increased by Ldiam(X).

If this price is rejected:

- the upper bound w^X is decreased
- 1 is offered as a **penalization** pric (if one of them is accepted, we co

- $u^X + \eta L \operatorname{diam}(X)$

d by
$$(w^X - u^X) - 2(\eta + 1)Ldiam(X)$$

there for $r - 1$ next rounds in this box X
to not in the remaining rounds in the remaining rounds in the remaining rounds in the remaining rounds is the remaining rounds in the remaining rounds is the remaining rounds in the remaining rounds is the remaining rounds in the remaining rounds is the remaining rounds in the remaining rounds is the remaining round rounds is t



then PELS:

- offers the exploitation price u^X for $g(m^X)$ next rounds in this box X (buyer decisions made at them do not affect further pricing);
- bisects each side of the box X to obtain 2^d boxes $\mathfrak{X}_X := \{X_1, \dots, X_{2^d}\}$ with ℓ_{∞} -diameter equal to diam(X)/2;
- refines the partition \mathfrak{X}_X replacing the box X by the new boxes \mathfrak{X}_X . > These new boxes \mathfrak{X}_X
 -) inherit the state of the bounds u^X and w^X from the current state of X, > while their depth $m^Y = m^X + 1 \quad \forall Y \in \mathfrak{X}_X$.

If, after an acceptance of an exploration price or after penalization rounds we have $(w^{X} - u^{X}) < (2\eta + 3)Ldiam(X)$,

PELS is optimal

Theorem 1. Let $d \geq 1$ and $\gamma_0 \in (0,1)$. Then for the pricing algorithm PELS A with:

- > the number of penalization rounds $r \ge \left| \log_{\gamma_0} \frac{1 \gamma_0}{2} \right|$ the exploitation rate $g(m) = 2^m, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

> the price offset $\eta \ge 2/(1 - \gamma_0)$

- for any valuation function $v \in \operatorname{Lip}_L([0,1]^d)$, discount $\gamma \leq \gamma_0$, distribution D and feature vectors $x_{1:T}$, the strategic regret is upper bounded:
 - SReg $(T, A, v, \gamma, x_{1:T}, D) \leq C \left(N_0 (T + N_0)^d \right)^{\frac{1}{d+1}} = \Theta(T^{\frac{d}{d+1}}),$
 - $C \coloneqq 2^d r (2\eta + 3 + L^{-1}) + 1$ and $N_0 \coloneqq [(4\eta + 6)L]^d$.

PELS: main properties and extensions

- Can be applied against myopic buyer ($\gamma = 0$) (setup of [Mao et al., NIPS'2018]) >
- PELS is horizon-independent (in contrast to [Mao et al., NIPS'2018])

What if the loss is symmetric?

- We can generalize the algorithm to classical online learning losses >
- For instance, we want to optimize regret of the form $\sum_{t=1}^{T} |v(x_t) p_t|$
- But interacting with the strategic buyer still >

d-1Slight modification of PELS has regret $O(T^{-d})$, which is tight for d > 1.



Thank you!

Alexey Drutsa Yandex

