


Training Well-Generalizing Classifiers for Fairness Metrics and Other Data-Dependent Constraints



Andrew Cotter¹, Maya Gupta¹, Heinrich Jiang¹, Nathan Srebro², Karthik Sridharan³,
Serena Wang¹, Blake Woodworth², Seungil You⁴

¹Google Research, ²Toyota Technological Institute at Chicago, ³Cornell University, ⁴Kakao Mobility
(Partly performed while N.S. was visiting Google, and S.Y. was employed by Google)

Constrained Optimization

$$\underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\text{minimize}} : \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}} [\ell_0(x; \theta)]$$

$$\text{subject to} : \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}} [\ell_i(x; \theta)] \leq 0 \\ \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$$

- Applications include ML fairness, churn reduction, constraining true/false positive/negative rates, and more
- We want the constraints to hold *in expectation*, but will typically train using a finite training set. In other words, we're interested in *constraint generalization*
- We give a “trick” for improving constraint generalization (at a cost to the objective function)

Intuition: Hyperparameter Optimization

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\ell_0(x; \theta) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \ell_i(x; \theta) \right]$$

Thought Experiment

- Have two *i.i.d.* samples, “training” and “validation”
 - a. For several fixed λ s, train a model $\theta^*(\lambda)$ that minimizes the Lagrangian on *the training set*
 - b. Choose a λ^* such that $\theta^*(\lambda^*)$ satisfies the constraints *on the validation set*
- If it works, validation constraint generalization will depend on the complexity of the space of Lagrange multipliers λ , *not* of the model parameters θ

Two-Player-Game

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \lambda) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[\ell_0(x; \theta) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \ell_i(x; \theta) \right]$$

Our “trick” for improving constraint generalization:

- Think of constrained optimization as a two-player game
- Assign different independent samples to the two players

The resulting game is *non-zero-sum*:

- The two players have different datasets, so they optimize different functions
- In recent work [ALT'19], we considered a Lagrangian-like non-zero-sum game
 - Here, we extend this work to prove better constraint generalization bounds

Results - Upper Bounds

Suboptimality Bound

Infeasibility Bound

One dataset:

Depends on model complexity (e.g. Rademacher)

Two datasets:

Depends on model complexity

Independent of model complexity

We provide several algorithms for playing this two-player game:

- Under certain assumptions, the in-expectation bounds satisfy the above
 - Instead of depending on the model complexity, the two-dataset infeasibility bound depends on the number of constraints
- We also perform experiments
 - In practice, using two independent datasets generally improves constraint generalization



{acotter,mayagupta,heinrichj,serenawang}@google.com



sridharan@cs.cornell.edu

Thank You!

Poster: Pacific Ballroom #203



{nati,blake}@ttic.edu



seungil.you@gmail.com