

Benchmarking Adversarial Robustness of Compressed Deep Learning Models

<u>bhvora@ucdavis.edu</u>, <u>kpatwari@ucdavis.edu</u>

Problem Motivation



- compression techniques are Model to fit deep learning (DL) proposed models resource-constrained on CPU/GPU edge devices to support ondevice deep learning for a variety of applications. Trade-off exists between model complexity and performance.
- Research robustness adversarial characterizes and attempts to limit the effect of adversarial attacks on DL models.
- adversarial attacks on Impact of DL models are less compressed explored.
- goal: build Our rigorous а benchmarking pipeline to characterize the effect of adversarial attacks with inputs crafted for base models on the pruned versions





Figure 1. Benchmark pipeline. Adversarial examples generated by various attacks from (attacker's) surrogate base models and evaluated on (victim's) base and pruned model.

Results & Impact

- model fitting environment.
- unaffected as compared to the base models.

Model		Base		Inference Tim 10%		me (ms) 30%	50%	50%	
VGG19 RN50 MN DN121		$\begin{array}{c} 113.80 \pm 7.81 \\ 95.07 \pm 4.82 \\ 23.48 \pm 2.46 \\ 71.13 \pm 5.27 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 104.95 \pm 8.81 \\ 88.35 \pm 4.29 \\ 20.86 \pm 2.08 \\ 65.10 \pm 3.80 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 101.13 \pm 6.12 \\ 89.40 \pm 5.71 \\ 20.39 \pm 1.41 \\ 61.09 \pm 4.17 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 103.65 \pm 5.5 \\ 81.41 \pm 3.8 \\ 21.46 \pm 1.9 \\ 60.55 \pm 4.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 103.65 \pm 5.56 \\ 81.41 \pm 3.82 \\ 21.46 \pm 1.97 \\ 60.55 \pm 4.05 \end{array}$	
-	Pruni Type	ng	Pruning %	MN	Benign DN121	Test Accura l RN50	vGG19		
-	Base		0%	79.2	83.3	78.1	79.6		
-	L2		10%	83.7	86.9	80.6	82.1		
	L2		20%	83.5	86.2	79	81.6		
	L2		30%	81.8	86.7	82	81.3		
	L2		40%	81.1	85.2	74.9	81.1		
-	L2		50%	80.0	81.3	70.4	82.1		

Brijesh Vora*, Kartik Patwari*, Syed Hafiz, Zubair Shafiq, Chen-Nee Chuah

The pruned models exhibit adversarial robustness comparable to their original base models even in a cross-

Compressing the model by up to 50% through filter pruning, the adversarial robustness remains relatively

Methodology

- Threat Model: Untargeted Attack Scenario, White-Box Adversary, Adversarial perturbations confined within the bounds of Lp norm.
- Image Classification Datasets: Cifar10 (10 classes), Cifar100 (100 classes) with 50k train and 10k test images.
- Popular Computer Vision DL Models from Keras/TensorFlow: MobileNetv1, DenseNet121, ResNet50, VGG19.
- Adversarial attacks from IBM ART: FastSignGradientMethod '14, Deepfool '15, CarliniWagner '16, BasicIterativeMethod '16, UniversalPerturbation **'**16, AutoPGD ProjectedGradientMethod '17.
- Filter Importance Criteria based on Intel NNCF and filter pruning: L1, L2, Geometric Norm.
- Intel NNCF Pruning: 10 50% (Iterative Magnitude Pruning).

A //1-	D		T	2 D	1		
Attack	Base	100	max				
		10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	δ
MobileNet							
CW	67.5	65.8	64.9	65.2	63.6	65.2	-1.7
DF	40.7	43	43	42.3	41.8	41.8	2.3
FGSM	12	12.4	12.3	11.3	12.8	11.1	0.8
BiM	5.1	4.1	3.5	3.8	3.9	5.6	0.5
PGD	7.4	4.1	4.6	4.2	4.7	6.7	-0.7
APGD	8.5	3.7	4.1	4.4	5.8	8	-0.5
UP	58.8	64.9	63	60.1	60.3	59	6.1
DenseNet121							
CW	72.1	70	68.1	69.9	69.1	66.9	-2.1
DF	38.7	38.1	38.2	38.8	37.8	35.6	0.1
FGSM	11.3	11.6	12	10.9	10.6	10.3	0.7
BiM	8	6.5	6.1	6.7	6.5	6.7	-1.3
PGD	7.1	6.7	6.8	6.8	6.7	7.1	0
APGD	4.5	3.9	4	3.8	3.6	3.8	-0.5
UP	10.2	10	10.6	11.7	10.3	10	1.5
ResNet50							
CW	68.3	71.4	69.4	72.7	65	60.4	4.4
DF	37.1	39.7	39.7	40.4	35.9	33.8	3.3
FGSM	15.4	11.9	13.6	13.6	13.2	13	-1.8
BiM	7	7.2	7.6	7.4	7.4	6.9	0.6
PGD	7.8	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.1	8.3	0.5
APGD	4.9	3.9	4.2	4.1	4.4	6.5	1.6
UP	15.6	14.1	11.8	14.9	11.4	11	-0.7









Pre-Print

'20,

Summary

• Our findings reveal that while the benefits of pruning – enhanced generalizability, compression, and faster inference times – preserved, adversarial robustness are remains comparable to the base model.

Challenges & Opportunities

- Devise a compression algorithm that produces an optimized model which is equally (if not more) robust to most (if not all) of the adversarial attacks.
- Root cause analysis of diverse effect of compression techniques against adversarial attacks.
- Build secure ML models from scratch utilizing neural architecture search – that are provably robust to an ensemble of adversarial attacks, attain better accuracy, and consume less energy tailored for resource-constrained devices.
- Investigate novel adversarial attack that is invariant to compression techniques.

References

- Adversarial Robustness Toolbox IBM: ART-IBM
- Intel Network Neural Compression Framework (NNCF): NNCF
- Image OnDeviceLearning, sources: CompressingMLModel

Acknowledgement

This project is based upon work supported in part by the UC Noyce Institute: Center for Cybersecurity and Cyber-integrity (C-CUBE).